CITY OF JACKSONVILLE

DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD (DDRB) MEETING

DATE: Thursday, January 9, 2020

TIME: 2:01 p.m. - 2:57 p.m.

PLACE: City Hall at St. James Building

Lynwood Roberts Room 117 West Duval Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Christian Harden, Chairman
Trevor Lee, Vice Chairman
William J. Schilling, Jr., Board Member
Joseph Loretta, Board Member
Frederick Jones, Board Member
J. Brent Allen, Board Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Guy Parola, DIA Operations Manager Karen Underwood, DDRB Executive Secretary Jason Teal, Office of General Counsel Lori Radcliffe-Meyers, DIA Redevelopment Coordinator

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

Amanda E. Robinson, RPR,
Notary Public, State of Florida
First Coast Court Reporters
2442 Atlantic Boulevard
Jacksonville, Florida 32207
904-396-1050

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I would like to call
3	this January 9th, 2020, meeting of the DDRB
4	to order.
5	Go ahead and go around the room. We've
6	got Ms. Lori Radcliffe-Meyers; Mr. Parola;
7	Mr. Schilling; Mr. Lee; Mr. Loretta just
8	stepped out for one moment, but will be
9	right back; Mr. Allen; Mr. Jones;
10	Mr. Gaffney.
11	Do we have anybody else we're waiting on
12	from staff? Is Jason expected to come?
13	MR. PAROLA: He is expected to come.
14	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Do we have
15	any new business on the agenda? I see a
16	letter that's here from Ms. Boyer. Is that
17	intended to be something to discuss?
18	MR. PAROLA: To the Chair and to the
19	Board, that is just a letter from our CEO
20	talking about one of the aspects of the zona
21	plan; and that is the a riverfront
22	setback, and the formula on how it's been
23	reviewed. And you kind of keep it there, if
24	you would like.
25	I think it would be prudent to kind of

1	go through the plan; and if any questions
2	were raised specifically to that issue, you
3	can refer to staff, and staff will also
4	refer to the letter.
5	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: So it's more of a
6	reference material for the one of the
7	applications?
8	MR. PAROLA: Much shorter way of putting
9	it. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Great.
11	First order of business, Action Item A,
12	approval of the December 12th, 2019, DDRB
13	regular meeting minutes. Seeking motion for
14	approval on those.
15	BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: So moved.
16	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Motion by
17	Mr. Allen.
18	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Second by Mr. Lee.
20	All in favor of that, say aye.
21	COLLECTIVELY: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Any opposed? Motion
23	carries.
24	Next, we have one major action item
25	today, it's DDRB 2020-001, conceptual

1	approval for the FIS New World Headquarters.
2	Call the applicant forward.
3	Who will be representing the applicant?
4	Anybody who plans on speaking at the
5	application, probably be best to come on up.
6	If you could, state your name and
7	address.
8	MR. GARDNER: Yes. Good afternoon.
9	Lane Gardner, I'm with Hines. My address is
10	11512 Lake Mead Avenue, Suite 603,
11	Jacksonville, Florida 32256.
12	You want their name in the record now or
13	when they speak?
14	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: That would be helpful
15	if you could now and just reference it
16	during the presentation.
17	MR. STUART: Kristopher Stuart, with
18	Gensler, in the Houston office. Our address
19	is 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 300, Houston
20	Texas 77000.
21	MR. LEMON: Christian Lemon, Lemon
22	Brooke Landscape Architects, address 56
23	Winthrop Street, Concord, Massachusetts
24	01742.

MR. HAINLINE: T.R. Hainline, with

1	Rogers Towers, 1301 Riverplace Boulevard.
2	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Thank you. Who will
3	be providing the presentation?
4	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Excuse me,
5	Chairman Harden.
6	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Please.
7	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: We do have a
8	staff report.
9	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Oh, please. I'm
10	sorry.
11	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: I'll go ahead and
12	present the staff report prior to the
13	applicant presenting. Thank you.
14	My name is Lori Radcliffe-Meyers, with
15	the Downtown Investment Authority. And I
16	will be presenting the FIS New World
17	Headquarters. DDRB Application 2020-001 is
18	seeking conceptual approval for the
19	development of a 12-story office tower with
20	an 8-story parking structure. The project
21	site is 5.71 acres and is located in the
22	Brooklyn Overlay District. The zoning is
23	Commercial Central Business District and the
24	land use category is Central Business
25	District.

1	The project site is bordered to the east
2	and west by the St. Johns River and
3	Riverside Avenue, respectively. To the
4	north and south by the Northwestern Mutual
5	Building and the TIAA Bank Building,
6	respectively.
7	The project is proposing the development
8	of a 12-story, 350,000 square foot office
9	tower and an 8-story, 570,000 square foot
10	parking structure, which will provide 1,603
11	spaces, along with 3,600 square feet of
12	mixed use office space.
13	The project also proposes a large urban
14	open space along Forest Street, which will
15	provide additional landscaping, seating, and
16	special features.
17	Staff has provided a recommendation that
18	these features shall be a form of public art
19	or sculpture that will help engage the
20	pedestrians.
21	Forest Street, which is shown here, is
22	for context. The Forest Street realignment
23	is a City of Jacksonville improvement
24	project and is not part of the FIS
25	Headquarters project.

1	Based on the foregoing, the Downtown
2	Development Review Board staff supports
3	conceptual approval of DDRB Application
4	2020-001, with the following
5	recommendations: At final review the
6	developer shall provide enough detail so as
7	to illustrate that the pedestrian zone meets
8	the definition of such in the ordinance
9	code, and meets the various requirements and
10	design amenity features for the pedestrian
11	zone.
12	Streetlights, benches, and street
13	furnishings shall be placed in the amenity
14	area. Street furnishings shall be in
15	accordance with the downtown streetscape
16	design guidelines.
17	Interactive public art, public art, or
18	sculpture shall be placed within the urban
19	open space area, which are identified on the
20	landscape plan as special features.
21	At final the detailed landscape plan
22	must show all required items to include a
23	detail for the proposed green wall.
24	To continue the visual esthetics between
25	the two buildings, incorporate the landscape

1	treatment, the trees, which are utilized on
2	the open balcony of the main tower, to the
3	upper level parking deck perimeter, this
4	will help visually tie the two buildings
5	together and soften the view of the deck
6	from the upper floors of the office complex
7	Signs to and from the riverwalk shall
8	follow the riverwalk design criteria for
9	signage.
10	And due to the unconventional size of
11	the retail office space proposed, a user
12	shall be identified at the time of issuance
13	of a certificate of occupancy.
14	This concludes the staff report. Staff
15	is available for questions. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Thank you.
17	I'll hand that over to the applicant
18	now. Thank you.
19	MR. GARDNER: So what we would like to
20	do, if okay with you I'm Lane Gardner,
21	I'm with Hines. We're the development
22	manager for FIS, and I'm the representative
23	here on FIS' behalf today.
24	I've also got Kristopher Stuart, with
25	Gensler; and Christian Lemon, with the

landscape architect. What I'd like to do is
introduce the project and then have them

present a few slides for maybe ten minutes
or so. And then we're available for any
questions you have, if that's okay with you,

Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Please.

2.2

MR. GARDNER: We're here, obviously, to present the conceptual plans for FIS' World Headquarters. This will be 100 percent occupied and owned by FIS. So they are -- some of you may know FIS is number one on the Fintech 100 list. They're a Fortune 400 company. They're growing tremendously through acquisition of Worldpay and others.

They are making a very significant capital investment here in Jacksonville by building these new headquarters. And they are also bringing new jobs to Jacksonville as part of this process. So we're growing employment base locally as well.

Gensler has been working on this for a number of months. And we've created a great design here that will convert an existing surface lot into an incredible headquarters

facilities specifically for FIS that we believe will enhance the Jacksonville skyline. It is a great-looking building.

2.2

I would like to thank Ms. Boyer and the staff for working with us very closely on this as we've been going through the process. Our team collectively has studied the code and the overlay intensively. This application meets all code and overlay provisions. And we are seeking no deviations to the code or the overlay.

We've reviewed the staff
recommendations, as Lori has mentioned, A
through G. And we agree with all of those
recommendations, and we will follow all the
recommendations as we lead into the final
submittal. We will be providing a lot more
detail as requested. And we're happy to do
that as we advance the plans.

On numerous occasions before and after filing, we have met with the immediate neighbor, the owner of the TIAA building.

And we provided info and we've received input from them. And we will continue to communicate with them through this process

1	and seek their input as well.
2	So with that, I would like to turn it
3	over to Kristopher Stuart, with Gensler, to
4	run through just a few of the slides that
5	are in your packet, and we'll point to page
6	numbers as we go through as well.
7	MR. STUART: Thank you, Lane.
8	Again, Kristopher Stuart, from the
9	Houston office. We are responsible for the
10	core and shell design, as well as the
11	interior design. We've been working very
12	closely and collaboratively with Hines and
13	FIS.
14	And if it pleases everybody, I would
15	like to assuming everybody knows the
16	context maybe skip ahead in the
17	presentation to page 7, which places us on
18	the site plan, to talk a little bit about
19	the siding of the building.
20	And certainly, if anybody has any
21	questions on any of the slides that we skip
22	over, please let me know, we can move back
23	to them, again, assuming everybody knows the
24	context.

This is the site plan for the project.

To orient everybody, Riverside Avenue, sort of the north, northwest of the project;

St. Johns River, obviously, the south, southeast. The fundamental approach to the project is to put the building along the riverfront. As you can all imagine, that is the prime portion of the site. So we've done that. We moved the building towards the riverfront, rotated it according to one of the options contained within the zoning ordinance, which is geared towards simply opening up the view corridors so all of Jacksonville can experience the riverfront in as much entirety as possible.

2.2

Parking is then located along Riverside
Avenue. And then along Riverside Avenue we
have some program amenity space for the
facility that we'll talk about as we move
through the presentation. Service access
for the facility is going to be sort of
north of the building and the structured
parking along Dora Street. Primary entrance
for users and visitors will be to the south
on the extension of Forest Avenue arriving
at a motor court between the building and

1 the structured parking.

2.2

The building, as Lane mentioned, is a headquarters building. And that puts a different type of burden on us as a design team. It really needs to express the functional needs, as well as the esthetic desires and the brand of the tenant of the building; in this case, FIS. They're a contemporary company, a technology company. They have a strong, clear leadership team and vision for their future. And we feel that this building really expresses who they are as an organization, and they do as well.

They wanted a modern building, and we've given that to them. They want a building that's forward-thinking, and that's expressed in the design of the exterior of the building as well. They wanted a building that has a timeless elegance, and, again, I think we've provided that to FIS.

It's also important as a piece of the infrastructure of Jacksonville. We also were trying to evoke something of Jacksonville. In this, we acknowledge the maritime culture, both through commercial

shipping and recreational boating up and down the St. Johns River. And hopefully, you see a little bit of a maritime lean towards the design.

2.2

Materials of the building are general, and we can touch on those in a little more detail. Contemporary, high-performance glass. The building, we envision it being a gem, sitting on the St. Johns River. And even though it's not a terribly large building, we see it as something that's really going to enhance the overall public environment of the city of Jacksonville.

Moving around to look at some other
views of the building. The left view is the
arrival to the project along the extension
of Forest Avenue -- Forest Street. This
would be at the arrival court for the
building and then the extension down towards
the riverfront for pedestrians in the
community. And then the image on the right
showing how we're really beginning to
develop the building, not only as an amenity
for FIS, outdoor terraces for the users of
the building, but also terraces that can be

1	used for community events as well.
2	And then another view of the arrival of
3	the building, a very simple, elegant,
4	timeless expression that we see as kind of a
5	little gem sitting along the St. Johns
6	River.
7	Flat elevations, this is the south of
8	the building showing the parking on the
9	left, the building on the right, the
LO	St. Johns River. Again, modern,
11	contemporary glass. The garage will be clad
12	with a combination of metal panel and glass,
L3	perforated metal. We'll be developing
L 4	patterning so that it has a life to it so
15	it's not just a dead facade.
16	Moving around to the river side of the
L7	building. Again, presenting the building
18	the narrow facade of the building to the
L 9	river to open up the view corridors, but
20	also stepping the building back on each side
21	so that, as it lands on the ground, it's
22	more pedestrian friendly to the users in the
23	park and the riverfront.
24	And then a view of the north side along

Dora Street. Again, showing the building

stepped back from the river. The parking structure being designed with a cladding system that's compatible with the building but more appropriate for structured parking to provide openness and natural ventilation.

2.2

And then a view from Riverside Avenue showing the amenity program space at the base of the garage. And that's in support of a desire of the zoning ordinance to proceed urban activation all along Riverside Avenue. That's something that we'll develop with the tenant moving forward. And we'll talk a little about some of those if you'd like, some of those opportunities.

Pallets, preliminary material pallets for the building, high-performance glass in the blue, blue-gray scheme, something that has some pop to it. We will, as we move forward, bring back physical samples to the committee to show you what those are as we develop it. And then a very neutral kind of gray, silver, metal scheme to go along with the light blue glass.

Section of the building and garage, I can go back to that if you want. And then

1 looking at the cladding scheme on the 2 garage, again, pulling in some of the glass 3 of the building, utilizing metal panels in both solid and perforated in a variegated pattern to allow for natural ventilation, 5 and then developing in the lower left the program amenity space that FIS will program 7 8 as we develop the project further.

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And then a view along Riverside Avenue. Again, the program amenity space across the face of the structured parking.

And then some early thoughts about the siding for the garage. Again, it's going to be compatible with the building, so silver, gray, metal, solid and perforated panels. Applied in such a way that we're screening the cars within the garage and providing the openness that we'll need for natural ventilation.

View corridors, I think these are self-explanatory. They're in your packet. We can touch on any points if you'd like. But we're maintaining open view corridors as we can possibly maintain on both sides of the building.

And then the volume studies, certainly a complicated calculation, but we have the calculations. We reviewed them with the zoning team. And we're confident that we are complying with the zoning ordinance 100 percent.

Christian.

2.2

MR. LEMON: Thank you, Kris.

I'll be transitioning to landscape under the other parts of the ordinance. On the riverwalk is a 70 percent shade ordinance, and that is being achieved through much of the existing landscape. There is some nice existing oak trees inside the riverwalk and adjacent to it; so we're meeting that requirement on the riverwalk.

As we move forward, in terms of overall site plan, we're focusing mostly on Forest Street and River Street, the pedestrian zone and the urban activation area. So on Forest Street, from our first meeting, pre-app meeting, we looked at the streetscape along Forest Street. And one of the changes was interest in moving away from the date palms that are currently on Forest Street and

Riverside. So on Forest Street only we're looking at a shade tree along there.

2.2

Once we move across the property line, the area of urban activation, so it's a landscape zone approximately 21 feet between the property line and the face of the garage. And a garden walk in the sense that it will have updated landscaping, colorful, seasonal, a lot of seating, sort of a play on form, a little bit sculptural as you move through there, and patio trees with color and so forth providing shade so it's a hospitable place to be most of the year.

There will also be a green wall that was mentioned on the parking garage, which will extend roughly first two floors.

On Riverside Avenue we are keeping the date palms, but they will be new date palms. It will add spacing that's shorter than what's out there today. So it creates a little more density, maybe a little more shielding of the garage on Riverside.

There is also some urban activation on the northern point of Riverside there, above the amenity space. And that is consistent

with the urban activation zone on Forest

Street.

2.2

Moving forward, we are keeping all the public parking for the riverwalk that exists. And we talked about the shade ordinance already on river -- on the riverwalk. And you can see how this illustrates the amount of landscaping that exists out there on the riverwalk.

So moving forward, the sections, just quick reference, this spells out all the requirements of the pedestrian zone in the ordinance. And we're meeting all those requirements, if not exceeding them. And showing how we're using the date palm there. Using street lighting that's required in the ordinance, as well, on Riverside.

Switching to Forest Street section, it starts to show how we begin with a new lane to go through there. There is a new landscape median that will be consistent with the Forest Street landscape. It shows the new shade tree in the pedestrian zone there with the same light requirements in the ordinance. And then the 21-foot zone of

1	urban activation with landscape and the
2	green wall on the garage.
3	Some image we had shown before started
4	to give a flavor of the materials, seating,
5	landscape, so kind of make this a hospitable
6	place to be. And I guess that was it.
7	MR. GARDNER: Public art comment.
8	MR. LEMON: Okay. Yeah. One thing, as
9	we already mentioned, and we acknowledged
10	all the recommendations, and if we go back
11	to the public art, if we go back to this
12	plan right here, we do acknowledge, through
13	the last number there, special features.
14	And we've talked about it internally, that
15	public art should be there. What it is, is
16	something to be discussed, how big, so
17	forth. So when we come back, we'll have a
18	lot more detail on that.
19	MR. STUART: If I can jump back to page
20	19 of your package and just add a little bit
21	more color to the discussion about the
22	riverfront facade.
23	MR. GARDNER: Before you do that, one of
24	the other conditions that we're in full
25	acceptance of is the use and programming of

the space along Riverside Avenue needs to be identified prior to the CO. So we're in full acceptance of that. And Kris is going to cover some of the options that are being discussed.

2.2

2.4

MR. STUART: The conversations with the tenant are that they would like to use that for their own personal amenity space or program space. The broad programs that are in the building currently are office space, conference space. They have a wellness component. They have a food service component, and then they have support spaces.

So in any of those categories, there are a number of things that we're discussing, whether it be including wellness in there and having something related to bicycles and sustainability, so we can have a bike shop of some sort possibly or a fitness studio. There can be a cafe that serves their employees that could also be opened.

We've talked about creating a mail facility or package facility that might incorporate Amazon lockers and UPS drop-offs

1 and things like that. So there are a number 2 of things that have been discussed, or 3 office space for them, accessory office space, or nonprofit office space as well. 4 So the intent is to have activities in 5 there that include people, but we're just 6 7 not completely settled on that. 8 CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Let me ask one 9 question about that really directed to 10 staff. I did have a question about that 11 requirement G saying that, Due to the 12 unconventional size of the retail office 13 space proposed, the user shall be identified 14 at the time of issuance of CO. 15 Could you elaborate further on what you 16 mean by that? 17 MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes, Chairman 18 Harden. What we proposed is that 19 considering Florida Blue just coming through 20 with their garage and the conversations we 21 had with their retail space, we felt that 2.2 this space that they were proposing may not 23 meet exactly what we would like to see in 24 regards to retail space. So we really felt 25 that they needed to have a user already in

place to ensure that that wasn't just going
to end up being a glass box on the corner.

2.2

2.4

And so by saying that, prior to issuance of CO they would have to show that they already have a tenant or user in place, shows that that space would be activated.

And that's why we decided to make that a recommendation.

CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: I have a follow-up question to that. If I'm not mistaken, does the code require the user of the space to be open to the public, though? It doesn't?

MR. PAROLA: If I could, through the Chair. It doesn't, but that doesn't mean we don't want to see the same hallmarks as if it were. So we want to see entrances from the street. We want to see transparency. We want to see people in it. We're sort of agnostic as to who the people are and where they work and who their employer is. They just need to be there so when we're walking down Riverside Avenue, we have all the hallmarks as if it were a separate organization.

1	BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: Awesome. Thank
2	you.
3	MR. PAROLA: You're welcome.
4	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Please.
6	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Just to
7	clarify, I think I understand the answer.
8	You're saying the certificate of occupancy
9	for the World Headquarters with it, not
10	necessarily that space, the CO for that
11	space?
12	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Correct.
13	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Okay. Please
14	continue. Sorry. Unless you had is that
15	it?
16	MR. GARDNER: Again, this is Lane
17	Gardner. That's the end of our
18	presentation. I'll just close with some
19	comments. I want to thank the Board and the
20	Staff for hearing our presentation. Our
21	application meets all the code and
22	ordinance. We're seeking no deviations. We
23	do agree with all the staff recommendations,
24	we just want to reiterate that. And as we
25	move to final design, we'll incorporate

1 those.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 We will continue to communicate with our 3 neighbor at the TIAA building as we move into final design. We've had very open 4 5 discussions with them. We have specifically committed to provide them a final landscape 6 plan for Forest Street as we move along for 7 8 their input, as well as the top of the 9 garage plan with the recommendation of trees 10 and landscape treatment on the top of the 11 garage. So we're talking to the neighbor 12 about that currently.

And we will continue to communicate to them about the orientation of the Forest Street realignment, which is not part of this application, but in the engineering of that to improve the traffic condition there for all.

So we appreciate your consideration of this conceptual package. We would request your approval. And we're here to answer any questions you have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Great. We do have one speaker card. Paul Harden.

MR. HARDEN: I'm M.C. Ceree Harden. I'm

the general partner for Riverside Avenue

Partners. Paul was going to be here, going

to return, and I see that he's not yet

returned. So I'm going to stand in for him.

2.2

We are the developers of the TIAA Bank
Building. We've been occupying that space
for approximately 11 years. And we just
wanted to register our interest in the
project. We think it's a great project.
We're excited about the opportunities to
work with Hines.

And as the project is finalized, there are really three issues we have a concern about. One is the traffic logistics that he just referred to. And they've been -- Hines folks have been most generous and collaborative with their time. And we continue to have dialogue as late as last evening. So traffic concerns are, one, how it impacts the access and egress from our building, the F&F Building, and the Black Knight Building, which are buildings contiguous and, essentially, on the general campus, and we'll be exiting through what will now become the Forest Street exit. And

we're concerned about the logistics.

2.2

But as I mentioned and representative from Hines mentioned, they have been very collaborative and interested in helping solve that problem for all parties involved.

The second interest we have is,
obviously, the impact of the view corridors
of our tenants. We're a speculative office
building, and it's going to dramatically
change the view corridors. And we're
working with Hines, as well, as late as this
morning. They mark off where the tower
south wall will be. And we're assessing the
impact it will have on our building. So
we're exceedingly interested in how that
works out.

And then the third thing, that the Hines folks just mentioned also, is the landscape plan. That will impact dramatically the northern view of our site. And, again, they've been most collaborative and most generous with their time. We continue to talk with them. And we just wanted to register our interest as the plan is finalized.

1	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Thank you.
2	Does the applicant want to respond to any of
3	those comments? It's not necessary, but
4	okay. Thanks.
5	We have one other speaker card,
6	Mr. Scott. Please have a seat at any of
7	these.
8	MR. SCOTT: Thank you for this
9	opportunity. Thank you.
10	Stanley Scott, my address is on file. I
11	am elated about this great design, the
12	company, because it employs a lot of African
13	Americans in my community.
14	This is a great idea. It's overdue.
15	It's needed for the city. And I support it
16	100 percent. When it comes to traffic,
17	traffic will not be a problem. Too often in
18	the city we talk about traffic. I fail to
19	understand why we let everybody off at the
20	same time. I mean, I just don't understand.
21	They should make it possible, if you're
22	talking about traffic, that people get off
23	every 15 minutes, or go to work or get off
24	so that's not a problem.

This is one of the greatest things to

1	have happen to Jacksonville. I'm a native
2	of Jacksonville. And I support it 100
3	percent. Whatever we need to do to make
4	this happen, make it happen, as far as I'm
5	concerned. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Thank you, Mr. Scott.
7	We'll go ahead and go around the room.
8	Start with Mr. Schilling.
9	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Okay. Thank
10	you, Mr. Chairman.
11	Let me start just real quickly by
12	declaring ex parte. And I know that
13	Mr. Hainline and I actually exchanged phone
14	messages, but did not actually get to speak,
15	but just to mention that for the record.
16	Let me start by saying it's a
17	great-looking project. And thank you for
18	the investment that y'all are looking to
19	make in downtown Jacksonville. Again, an
20	exciting project.
21	I just have a couple of questions at
22	this point to ask, just out of just pure
23	curiosity. One is I know there is the
24	reference to the green wall where exactly
25	is that in the plan? I don't know that I

Τ	ever could figure out where that was.
2	MR. GARDNER: Let's see if I can get us
3	there.
4	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: So that
5	MR. GARDNER: So this is the bottom
6	street is the Forest Street extension. And
7	so the wall immediately to the north of
8	there I can't see the number on it.
9	MR. LEMON: Number 9.
10	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Okay. Perfect.
11	Well Mr. Lemon has it up there, the same
12	question is, I'm assuming the intent is that
13	that's a living green wall with vegetation,
14	et cetera.
15	MR. LEMON: Yes. It's the full length
16	along there. And right now we're looking at
17	a cable system that goes on the surface of
18	the parking garage. So the soil volume will
19	be at the base, so a sufficient soil volume
20	up that wall.
21	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Great. And
22	then, actually, while you're up there, also,
23	I don't know that I entirely followed where
24	the public art was intended to be.
25	MR. LEMON: If we can go back to our

Τ	MR. GARDNER: Inal's the green wall, of
2	behind the trees there.
3	MR. LEMON: The green wall is up to
4	here, and that's roughly the first two
5	floors. And then you get right there, okay.
6	Yeah, so still to be finalized or
7	determined, but we acknowledge that special
8	features or public art, we're looking at
9	acknowledging some places that are visible
10	on the corner here, maybe on this corner
11	here. So it's probably, you know, possibly
12	more than one piece. And we don't know the
13	size or height. When it comes to public
14	art, there is lot of ideas, and it's
15	somewhat subjective. We acknowledge we need
16	to develop that further.
17	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Okay. Great.
18	That's excellent. Thank you for providing
19	that clarification.
20	And then the other question again,
21	which from some prior presentations and
22	other projects there has been a lot of
23	discussion about the size of the retail
24	space. And I guess the question I have
25	there is I saw in the documents provided

1	that there weren't any dimensions that I can
2	tell from that. What just, so order of
3	magnitude, what is roughly the dimension of
4	that space upfront on Riverside?
5	MR. GARDNER: So, again, the way we read
6	the ordinance, it's not only retail space.
7	It needs to be activated space, which could
8	be a multitude of things. It's 3,600 square
9	feet is the total square footage. It ranges
10	from roughly 45 feet to
11	MR. STUART: At the wide end down to 20
12	at the
13	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Excellent. The
14	other thing is I definitely want to applaud
15	y'all for working to put together a plan
16	that meets the overlay requirements. I
17	recognize that y'all aren't asking for any
18	deviations, which I know takes a lot of
19	work. I applaud you for that.
20	Those are all the questions and comments
21	that I have right now. Thank you.
22	MR. GARDNER: We spent extensive time
23	with Ms. Boyer on the overlay, so thank you
24	for that.
25	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Mr. Chairman,

1	that reminded me of another comment I was
2	going to make. Just in looking at it and
3	I know this is conceptual review, but I did
4	want to mention that I felt it was a very
5	good package, even though at conceptual
6	review y'all provided a great amount of
7	detail. So thank you very much.
8	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Thank you,
9	Mr. Schilling.
10	Mr. Lee.
11	BOARD MEMBER LEE: I'll reiterate that.
12	I think the package was extremely well done.
13	And I appreciate that.
14	I think the design overall is really
15	beautiful and elegant. I think you guys
16	have done a great job in the design, and a
17	great job too in trying to take a garage and
18	not make it look like a garage. If you
19	drive down Riverside Boulevard, it seems
20	like we've got garages everywhere on
21	Riverside. And I think the hope, long-term
22	hope, for the future of Riverside is that
23	it's not that way. That it really is an
24	activated corridor.

I don't know. How many employees are

going to work at FIS, in this location?

MR. GARDNER: Initially, it's, in round

numbers, about 1,500, and they're looking

for growth up to about 1,800.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER LEE: 1,800 employees. So you have a lot of employees that will be looking for places for lunch and breakfast and all sorts of things. So you'll have even more people -- if you drive down Riverside at lunchtime, you'll see an en masse attempt to try to cross it to get to the only retail that's really serving them, which can be kind of scary.

So I guess my comments are really related around encouraging you to think about not only your employees that are going to be there, but the other employees that are on this side of Riverside and where they're going to eat and where they're going to shop and where they're going to be able to do those things. You have a great opportunity. You have frontage on Forest and frontage on Riverside. And right now, even though you provided 3,600 square feet, it wouldn't nearly be enough to really make

1	an impact on engaging all those employees
2	that you have on the site. So I think it's
3	a lost opportunity, honestly. And it would
4	be a small sacrifice in parking to have
5	shops along Forest or have some kind of
6	opportunity in the future.
7	And FIS may not own this building
8	forever. There may be another corporate
9	tenant at some time in the future. And if
10	you build it in such a way that it is never
11	available for that, then it really is a
12	permanently lost opportunity. So I'd
13	encourage you to think about that.
14	The other comment I had or question I
15	had was, is there a direct connection from
16	FIS to the riverwalk?
17	MR. GARDNER: Yes.
18	BOARD MEMBER LEE: There is?
19	MR. GARDNER: Yes. A couple things
20	while we're getting there, Mr. Lee. One of
21	the things this one?
22	MR. LEMON: Yeah, right there.
23	MR. GARDNER: The client is planning on
24	the third level, with terrace seating, a
25	full cafeteria that's roughly 12 to 13,000

1	square feet. So to take care of their
2	employees. They are pursuing the well
3	standard in their building, as well as a
4	very high LEED certification for this
5	building also. So the cafeteria will be
6	first notch to service those employees, but
7	we recognize they may not all want to go
8	there, and there is an opportunity there as
9	well.
10	MR. LEMON: So there is a terrace at the
11	base of the building that goes around here.
12	So there is a set of doors at the base level
13	here. You can come out, and there will be a
14	short set of stairs to mitigate that two or
15	three feet of gray down to the riverwalk
16	there.
17	MR. GARDNER: In addition, Christian,
18	you may want to point out there is a stair
19	from the terrace down to that level as well
20	on the northeast I guess, southeast
21	corner.
22	MR. LEMON: You can come from different
23	levels out here.
24	MR. GARDNER: If you're on the terrace
25	on the third level, you can walk down to the

1	riverwalk as well.
2	BOARD MEMBER LEE: And the nobody
3	really talked about the proposed dock. Is
4	that a private dock? I mean, are you
5	including any kind of public interaction
6	with that?
7	MR. GARDNER: Yet to be determined.
8	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Okay. I think that's
9	really all the comments I had. I mean,
10	again, I just encourage as much engagement
11	as we can get with Riverside and Forest for
12	the future. I do believe Riverside may go
13	through a road diet at some point like
14	Prudential. And when it becomes a
15	pedestrian wayfare it would be horrible if
16	Riverside was parking garages all the way
17	down. I think you guys would probably agree
18	with me.
19	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right.
20	Mr. Loretta.
21	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Thank you guys
22	very much. If you could, I'd appreciate you
23	going to slide 26, Lemon Brooke slide 26.
24	I'm going to echo everybody's opinions:

fantastic project, fantastic presentation.

25

At this point I do believe you guys aren't meeting all the portions of the design guidelines. I would just like to go through that with you.

2.2

Really, on Forest Street, we don't actually have a pedestrian connection from Riverside to the waterfront on your portion of the property. What you're showing right now is basically walking to the midway block and then kind of going south and then going east, or however you want to go west, then south, however you want to look at it.

So I think -- I'm not really sure that truly conforms with the code. At the same time, a little bit can -- I asked T.R. in my conversation -- I had a conversation with T.R. as well.

On the top of the sheet here where you have identified -- number 7, so on architectural plans, you guys are showing a sidewalk there on Private Way, whatever you want to call it; on the landscape plan you're not showing a sidewalk there. So my question is, is there a sidewalk or is there not.

MR. LEMON: We were planning not to show sidewalks, and if there is a sidewalk that exists on the northern side of Private Way. BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So I think that -- again, I feel as though Ms. Boyer has been working with you all to come away -- I'm not sure I agree with her interpretation -- but come away with the

2.2

ability to go more vertical than really the code allows by the mathematical aspect that you all came through. But that's by having these view corridors. And these view corridors really should be pedestrian

activated for the community. So right now on both sides we don't actually have a pedestrian connection from Riverside to the

waterfront. So I think that's a

requirement, that just needs to be included.

And the only other thing is if you state -- we're on 26 still. I heard you mention dimensions of 20 to 45 feet depth for the commercial area. Typically, these garages would have 18 foot depth parking space. You look at it, it's obvious that the northern portion of that is not 20 feet

wide and the southern is not 45 feet. And so I think we're a little misspoken with that dimension.

2.2

What we have done in the past is really truly looked to recommend, you know, the ability to cut into this garage that first row of parking on the first and second floor and try to get this commercial depth to be a legitimate 35 to 40 foot depth commercial so something can be activated.

So you had some questions on what does the code read. Well, activation -- well, staff said activation doesn't mean bikes sitting there, and somebody coming in and getting a bike or a line and going out.

That's not activation.

Activation is truly people working inside that facility or even if it's a yoga facility or something of that nature, at least there is people utilizing that from 6:00 to 11:00 and then, you know, 5:00 to 8:00.

So I think both -- all of this is very easy to achieve. And I think you guys have done a great job, but I believe those three

1	things I mentioned really need to occur.
2	MR. GARDNER: Great. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Mr. Allen.
4	BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: Thank you,
5	Mr. Harden.
6	I would like to disclose some ex parte
7	communication with Mr. Chris Hagan. He
8	spoke in support of the project. It does
9	not sway my unbiased view one way or the
10	other. And I can look at it as a fair and
11	impartial reviewer.
12	I want to start off by echoing
13	Mr. Scott's comments from the back. I do
14	agree that this is a wonderful opportunity
15	for Jacksonville. FIS is investing great
16	resources, and we thank them as the citizens
17	of Jacksonville for that. They're also
18	giving us a building that is going to change
19	our skyline forever. And it's going to be
20	done for the good.
21	I support staff recommendations. And I
22	think it's a good project all the way
23	around. Thank y'all.
24	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Thank you, Mr. Allen.
25	Mr. Jones.

BOARD MEMBER JONES: Thank you very

much. I've only had ex parte communications

with Mr. Jim Gilmore.

2.2

Again, I think this project should be celebrated. I think I've said it time and time again over the years of being on this Board, that we need more cranes in our downtown. I go to Tampa, I go to Orlando and I see 10 and 12 in the skyline. We need that here. So this is certainly a project in the right direction, and looking forward to this materializing.

I think -- echoing a lot of the sentiments that you've heard here from the balance of the board members, I think the public art opportunity is really critical.

Maybe that's something we can get with the Cultural Council to come up with something.

If we truly want to make this a public space through here, there may be an opportunity to work with them to commission some artists and do something that's truly genuinely Jacksonville.

To Mr. Loretta's point, I also kind of agree just with the sidewalk issue. I think

just maybe a little bit more work in looking how we can get a real 8-to-10-foot-wide sidewalk along that Forest Street corridor that's contiguous and doesn't require multiple crossings. I think it's just, as you get past the midblock, it looks a little vague as to where you would go. But I think that's something that can easily be worked through.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Again, the biggest elephant in the room is not this building, it's Riverside Avenue, to Trevor's point. We certainly need to work to bring that down from six lanes to four, even two, I think at some point based on the traffic that's there. And to truly make this a pedestrian-friendly corridor, a walkable city, you know, the six lane urban arterial through here is probably the bigger issue that we have. And that would probably allow -- and, again, I think this desire to put date palms long there just reinforces the high speed nature of the corridor. It's beautiful for the cars as they drive by. Pedestrians want shade. So if we can kind of do that concurrently, we would eliminate

1	the date palms and go with more of a shade
2	tree.
3	And, again, I think to the future
4	proofing of the garage, which I think you're
5	starting to see that trend happen nationwide
6	when we talk about parking, you know, just
7	something to consider. I don't know.
8	What's the floor-to-floor height for that
9	garage now? Do you know?
10	MR. STUART: It's ten feet.
11	BOARD MEMBER JONES: Okay. Ten feet.
12	I've seen 11 feet is a direction, because at
13	some point, if you ever thought about if we
14	didn't need it, if we were ever to repurpose
15	this garage for residential, going that
16	extra foot may be, you know, something to
17	consider. But certainly, that's such a good
18	point about we don't want to have garages
19	being the focal point of the corridor.
20	So but other than that, I think this is a
21	great project and should be celebrated.
22	MR. GARDNER: Thank you for the
23	feedback.
24	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Thank you.
25	I also had ex parte communication with

1 Mr. Gilmore on behalf of the project. 2 I had just a couple comments. I think that the collective feedback was good. 3 think it is a brilliant project. It's a 4 5 beautiful building. It is going to be a great monument for people to see on 6 Riverside Avenue and through the St. Johns 7 8 River and across and especially coming 9 through 95 to see our city to have a new 10 building, to see cranes up in that 11 neighborhood and continuing. But I will say that I think the feedback 12 13 that Mr. Lee provided, I think he put that 14 very eloquently, that, you know, it would be 15 a lost opportunity not to provide, you know, 16 more transparency on Riverside Avenue. 17 know the requirement is only 50 percent. 18 And I know that the alternative was the open 19 urban space. But I feel like, you know,

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But I think Riverside Avenue is a throwaway from Five Points all the way to

that's off of the main drag, so to speak.

there is certain situations in which we feel

it's appropriate to provide that as an

exception because it's maybe in a block

1	downtown that is going to be really critical
2	from a pedestrian standpoint and the
3	transparency exists for a pedestrian
4	perspective to make sure the pedestrians do
5	feel safe and you have activation and light.
6	And so I think it is important how you treat
7	that area.
8	I wasn't quite clear on exactly what's
9	happening in that area. If you look at it
10	from the Riverside elevation, it just looks
11	like it's sort of asymmetrical,
12	especially with how well designed everything
13	else is in the building. It looks like a
14	concrete wall on that northern side of do
15	you know what I'm talking about?
16	MR. GARDNER: What page? This page.
17	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: So it appears that the
18	retail, really that 3,600 square feet, if
19	I'm not mistaken, is really a cut-out that's
20	that long, narrow space. Is that correct?
21	So the retail doesn't actually bleed into
22	the parking garage rectangle?
23	MR. GARDNER: Currently, that's correct.
24	So it goes all the way from the corner down
25	50 percent of the garage. The discussion we

had with Ms. Boyer and staff is there needs to be glass so it's visible, you see activity, it is activated. And that's -our owner and occupant absolutely intends to occupy that space or partner with a user for that space to have it fully occupied so it's not a dark space on the street. That's clearly their intent.

2.2

CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I mean, I don't have a concern that it would be dark. I don't have a concern at all about how that space is used. Ultimately, a user, whether it's within the organization or otherwise, will find need in that area. Even though we don't have -- the road diet wasn't applied that was designed a few years back on this road, there's still adjusting this intersection -- I don't think we've even brought that to the attention of this conversation, but that intersection is going to completely transform right now, and it's much needed.

But, yeah, I do think that's important because we do want that continuity back and forth. I don't know, as far as the sidewalk

1	is concerned coming all the way back to
2	riverwalk, it probably would be good on one
3	of the blocks from Riverside Avenue, whether
4	it's on Dora or on Forest, to have the
5	sidewalk go all the way from Riverside to
6	the riverwalk. I would think that you would
7	prefer that just from a safety standpoint
8	because you might have pedestrians getting
9	lost if they don't have that direct access
10	along the block, you have to cross the
11	street.
12	MR. GARDNER: We'll revisit both sides
13	of that.
14	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I don't know the right
15	answer to that. It seems like there is some
16	space on one of the sides.
17	MR. GARDNER: It's a good comment.
18	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I think that might
19	have been the only actually, I'm glad
20	Mr. Jones brought up the date palms versus
21	the shade trees, because I was thinking that
22	during the presentation. We have encouraged
23	that almost every other project that we've
24	gone through. And the only reason we
25	wouldn't staff might not even suggest

that is because you have Riverside Avenue
that has that campus look with the palms,
but it probably is a good time to start.
I mean, I drive that route ever single

2.2

I mean, I drive that route ever single day. And half of those trees over the past ten years have collapsed because of inadequate root structure. So that might be a good place to start if you think it would make sense on your campus.

MR. GARDNER: So Mr. Parola and I, we had a very detailed discussion on date palms, and his lack of desire for date palms.

CHAIRMAN HARDEN: They're not even native to Northeast Florida.

MR. GARDNER: I drive that street almost every day as well, so I appreciate your comments. And we were trying to stay consistent on Riverside Avenue. We're happy to look at other alternatives if the Board feels that's appropriate. The concern, I think, was just being inconsistent with what's already there. We originally had shown -- the way we read the code, if Christian wants to speak, was to put date

1	palms down Forest as well, and Mr. Parola
2	suggested strongly against it. So we were
3	happy that it changed to shade trees.
4	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I'd be curious to hear
5	the opinion of the Board on that point.
6	MR. GARDNER: I think it's a consistency
7	issue down Riverside is the reason we left
8	it there, but we're not sold on having
9	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I mean, the
10	architecture is inconsistent. There are
11	lots of other inconsistent items
12	BOARD MEMBER JONES: I think this is an
13	opportunity for you to be inconsistent so
14	that everybody else changes.
15	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I mean, that is the
16	City right-of-way, I think, on both sides of
17	the road. The City is responsible for
18	maintenance of all those trees. But I
19	think, as they fall out, it probably would
20	be appropriate to replace it, because there
21	isn't a lot of shade during the summer
22	months. And as, I think, a few of the board
23	members mentioned, you see the exodus of
24	folks from the current F&F campus down
25	Riverside Avenue towards the Fresh Market,

1	and there is zero shade.
2	So all right. I think that was the only
3	comment that I had. Are there any other
4	modifications that you see from the
5	requirements, staff requirements, based on
6	the conversation we've had? Want to stick
7	with those? Okay.
8	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: That's a yes?
10	MR. PAROLA: If I could.
11	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Please.
12	MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair. I think
13	the one thing that came out of here that I
14	think we fully anticipate or at least
15	I did, if I didn't pick it up, I
16	apologize that there was always
17	connection on their side to our riverwalk,
18	that one didn't have to cross the street to
19	get to the riverwalk. If they can really
20	revisit that issue, it will make us very
21	happy.
22	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Okay. I think that's
23	probably it seems like from the
24	requirements I mean, this presentation is
25	much more baked than we typically get at

1	conceptual; we appreciate that. But I think
2	that at final, the landscape is what we'll
3	probably pay particular attention to; and
4	maybe the materials on the garage, having
5	some more realistic elevations of that to
6	try to understand what that looks like.
7	I don't know if the design that you're
8	using here has been applied at another
9	garage, that you might have some actual
LO	photos of that ribbed treatment, the
11	louvered ribbed treatment.
12	MR. GARDNER: I'm sure we can find
L3	photos. Great comments.
L 4	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: That and the green
15	wall. So I think paying closer attention to
16	that. I think we have a couple of our board
L7	members that will be paying particular
18	attention to that when you come back.
L 9	MR. GARDNER: Again, for conceptual I
20	think it's pretty far advanced.
21	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I think so, yeah. I'm
22	just pointing that out.
23	MR. GARDNER: We have a lot of detail to
24	continue to provide feedback, we realize
25	that, and we'll provide that detail with the

1	final.
2	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Okay. Great.
3	MR. TEAL: Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Please, Mr. Teal.
5	MR. TEAL: One question: The signage
6	that's depicted in the presentation, is that
7	your final sign package or are you
8	anticipating changes being made to what
9	signage you're requesting?
10	MR. GARDNER: Yeah. I think we need to
11	add specificity to the package for the
12	signage. It's probably not there in the
13	conceptual. Some of the building signage, I
14	believe it does meet we'll have to
15	double-check that, but I think it meets the
16	sign ordinance. But there is some
17	discussion near the motor court about a
18	monument-type sign that I don't know that we
19	have shown the plan today, but we need to go
20	ahead and show it.
21	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: I was also going to
22	add, on the parking garage, I think that the
23	best treatment of garages has been using
24	lighting around the garage, so not just on
25	the level on the street level, but on the

Ι	upper levels to try to give it a better
2	what's the right word I'm looking for? Just
3	so that it doesn't look just a barren wall.
4	And I think that it appears that there
5	is it's just a louvered system, so it's
6	not a solid wall. It's really hard to tell
7	from that elevation, except for the Forest
8	Street and Dora Street corners, is that
9	completely solid on Riverside Avenue in
10	between levels?
11	MR. STUART: It's completely concealing.
12	The vehicles park within, but it's dealing
13	with a combination of solid and open panels,
14	offset to provide the texture, but also the
15	ventilation, open ventilation.
16	MR. GARDNER: We'll look at the
17	lighting, that's a good comment as well.
18	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: All right. Well, with
19	that being said, and the recommendations
20	from staff being part of a motion, I'll look
21	back to the Board if there is a motion to
22	move forward with conceptual approval.
23	BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: So moved.
24	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: We have a motion by

1	Mr. Allen, a second by Mr. Loretta to move
2	forward with conceptual approval of DDRB
3	2020-001 with recommendations A, B, C, D, E,
4	F, and G. Do we need to state all those or
5	is that acceptable?
6	MR. TEAL: That's sufficient.
7	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Perfect. Thank you.
8	With that in mind, all in favor say aye.
9	COLLECTIVELY: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN HARDEN: Any opposed? There is
11	none. Conceptual approval is granted.
12	Do we have any other presentations
13	today? No other presentations. Any old
14	business? No old business. Any additional
15	public comment today? Appears none.
16	With that, I move to adjourn. Thank
17	you.
18	(Meeting concluded at 2:57 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF DUVAL
3	
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 56, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 17th day of January, 2020.
12	
13	
14	Churte & Mar
15	Amanda E. Robinson,
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	