CITY OF JACKSONVILLE

DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD (DDRB) MEETING

DATE: Thursday, December 12, 2019

TIME: 2:00 p.m. - 5:50 p.m.

PLACE: City Hall at St. James Building

Lynwood Roberts Room 117 West Duval Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Trevor Lee, Vice Chairman
William J. Schilling, Jr., Board Member
Joseph Loretta, Board Member
Brenna Durden, Board Member
Craig Davisson, Board Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Guy Parola, DIA Operations Manager
Karen Underwood, DDRB Executive Secretary
Jason Teal, Office of General Counsel
Cherry Pollock, Office of General Counsel
Lori Radcliffe-Meyers, DIA Redevelopment
Coordinator

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

Amanda E. Robinson, RPR,
Notary Public, State of Florida
First Coast Court Reporters
2442 Atlantic Boulevard
Jacksonville, Florida 32207
904-396-1050

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: I'm going to go
3	ahead and call the meeting to order. It's
4	two o'clock.
5	We'll start with any new business. Do
6	we have any new business? Are we going to
7	hear the historic during new business?
8	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. Great. So
10	can we have them come up and okay.
11	Great. If you could state your name and
12	spell your name, and your address, please.
13	Thank you.
14	MS. MITURA: Katie Mitura, K-a-t-i-e
15	M-i-t-u-r-a. I'm the Vice President of
16	Marketing and Communications at Visit
17	Jacksonville.
18	I'm here today to present you with
19	something: The downtown Historic Building
20	Tour. We launched last summer. This was an
21	initiative of when she was City
22	Councilwoman Lori Boyer's efforts to put
23	together something that kind of could guide
24	us through our history, but isn't in a
25	museum. The opportunity to see the

architecture and the downtown buildings that live down here and tell a little bit of the story of those buildings.

2.2

So last summer we went ahead and put together a tour. This tour has 60 stops on it. It's a four-loop tour. So if you want to spend the whole day doing it, you're welcome to; but if you wanted to just do a portion of it. And this really touches upon the heart of downtown and those buildings that we just didn't have a ton of history about when people are just walking around, especially for visitors when they're here.

So we are proposing to install 60 sidewalk clings in the downtown area outside of these buildings. The clings are approximately 18-by-11. This is 17-by-11, but they're a little bigger than this. And they would be outside of these historic buildings to guide you as you go through them, with a website as well so that you can go and access this.

These tours are also available through our app. So you can follow them along geotracking through our app with an audio

1 tour as well.

2.2

The markers will be located directly in front of the buildings where appropriate.

And there is a manager in the building -- if it is something that isn't occupied, we won't do this, but we'll coordinate with the building management to make sure they're comfortable with the placement of these.

The planned duration for these is to last at least a year. And at that point we'll reevaluate how they're holding up and determine if we need to preprint or print using a different material. It will be a laminated 3mm cast gloss, but it will not be slick. So for safety regulations, it will be good. And it's laminated to ensure a longer lifespan. So we're hoping to get a year's worth out of it.

But in the meantime, should anything arise, if there is anything that happens to them, we have a plan to replace them or fix anything that we need to during the course of this year. We'll take on all the costs associated with placing them and the cost of everything having to do with upkeep on these

Τ	as well.
2	They're going to look like this
3	(indicating), as I held up this one, and is
4	in your little handouts. They're just very
5	basic, lists the name of it and where to
6	find it. And most likely we'll add the
7	number of the tour stop on here so that you
8	can coordinate it with the tour in the
9	brochure.
10	And then they will these are the
11	locations of them. It's on the back side of
12	your handout here or if you open up the
13	brochure, it's the map inside the brochure
14	as well. So these are the stops that we're
15	looking to install them at.
16	And that's all I have. So if you have
17	any questions, I'm happy to answer them.
18	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: No questions.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Mr. Schilling.
20	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: No questions,
21	actually. Thank you.
22	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: My only question:
23	Are you aware of Katie Derringer, who's got
24	the Jax Fat Tire and Segue Tours and stuff
25	like that?

Τ	MS. MITURA: Yes.
2	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Is she working
3	with you all or is there any connection
4	between that?
5	MS. MITURA: Yeah. We work really
6	closely with most of our tour companies. We
7	have been working with them before they ever
8	launched. We've talked a little bit about
9	it, but we haven't really gone into detail
10	about the decals being on the street or the
11	tours, but she is aware of all the materials
12	we have.
13	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Do we have many
14	tour companies?
15	MS. MITURA: Probably ten tour companies
16	in the city, throughout the city. You may
17	see the white tuck-tucks, Go Tuk'n does
18	tours here, downtown, and at the beach as
19	well.
20	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yeah, I know the
21	beach.
22	MS. MITURA: And then we have two
23	operators who do group tours more often than
24	individual tours every day of the week. But
25	we have probably five of those that are

1	doing group tours for conventions and things
2	like that in their afternoons off.
3	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Thank you.
4	MS. MITURA: You're welcome.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Mr. Davisson.
6	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: I'm good.
7	Thanks.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Ms. Durden.
9	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I'm excited. I'm
10	going to go on these tours.
11	MS. MITURA: Awesome.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you for
13	sharing this with us, appreciate it. Good
14	luck.
15	MS. MITURA: Thank you.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Is there any other
17	new business? If there is none, we'll go
18	ahead and move to the first item on our
19	action item list, approval of the meeting
20	minutes from the previous DDR meeting,
21	November 14, 2019. Are there any comments
22	or changes?
23	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Motion to
24	approve.
25	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Second.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Motion to approve
2	and second. All those in favor, say aye.
3	COLLECTIVELY: Aye.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Any opposed?
5	Great. This passes.
6	We'll move right into Action Item B,
7	DDRB 2019-015, conceptual approval of "That
8	Bar at the Arena." Do we have a staff
9	report?
10	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes, we do.
11	Thank you, Chairman Lee.
12	My name is Lori Radcliffe-Meyers. I'm
13	with the Downtown Investment Authority. And
14	I'm here to present "That Bar at the Arena."
15	DDRB Application 2019-15 is seeking
16	conceptual approval for a two-story deck
17	addition to "That Bar at the Arena." The
18	project site is .78 acres and is located in
19	the Sports and Entertainment Overlay
20	District. The zoning is planned unit
21	development, and the land use category is
22	Central Business District.
23	The site is bordered to the north by the
24	VyStar Veterans Memorial Arena Plaza, and
25	south by the VyStar Veterans Memorial Arena

Parking Garage, and bordered to the east by

A. Philip Randolph Street, and to the west

by the VyStar Veterans Memorial Arena.

2.2

The project proposes the addition of a two-story deck with a wraparound balcony to the front of the existing building. The two-story deck is heavy timber construction with cable railing and a pitched metal roof.

The balcony extension will need to place support columns within the public right of way. This proposal requires that the applicant receive an air rights easement for the balcony and columns. Additionally, a second air rights easement is requested for a portion of the deck adjacent to the Arena Plaza. Both easements will need to be recorded prior to final approval.

The project will also seek a deviation from Section 656.361.63, Public Realm Regulations, due to the constraints of the right of way. A deviation from the width requirements of the areas will be allowed only if such use and facilities enhance the overall quality of the public realm and do not impede pedestrian traffic or conflict

with access to onstreet parking. Staff will
work with the applicant to ensure the

pedestrian clear area remains the priority,

and the minimum requirement of five feet is

obtained.

2.2

2.4

Staff has provided to the applicant the requirements for final approval. Staff will work with the applicant to ensure that, prior to final submittal and review, that updated plans, perspectives, elevations and any additional information meet final submittal requirements.

Based on the foregoing, the Downtown

Development Review Board Staff supports the conceptual approval of DDRB Application

2019-15 with the following recommendations:

Prior to final approval by the DDRB, the applicant shall receive a deviation from Section 656.361.63, Public Realm

Regulations, to reduce the amenity area; and prior to final approval by the DDRB, the applicant will provide the recorded easements for the three-foot and six-foot air rights easements.

This concludes the staff report. Staff

1	is available for questions. Thank you.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you. Is the
3	applicant available for presentation?
4	Thank you. If you could, say and spell
5	your name when you come up, and give your
6	address, please.
7	MR. MERRILL: Warren Merrill, 381 Pablo
8	Point Drive, Jacksonville, 32225.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
10	MR. MAURER: Robert Maurer, architect,
11	RAM Architecture.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: And your address,
13	please.
14	MR. MAURER: Sorry. 1636 North Laura
15	Street, ZIP code 32206.
16	MR. WHITE: Robert White, Taylor &
17	White, 9556 Historic Kings Road South, Suite
18	102, 32257, civil engineers.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you. Welcome.
20	Go ahead.
21	MR. MAURER: The what I'll be showing
22	you is about nine slides for the
23	presentation. It will take you through the
24	conceptual development of this project. The
25	first, which is the introduction, basically,

1	is just location, which has been described
2	by Lori quite well.
3	Thank you. Appreciate that.
4	So it's pretty straightforward. Overall
5	in the scheme on page 2 you can see that
6	here is some basic site photos on just
7	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Speak into the
8	microphone. You can bend it towards you.
9	There you go.
10	MR. MAURER: Is that better?
11	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yeah.
12	MR. MAURER: The existing photos that
13	are taken are basically the adjacent
14	projects, the Veterans Arena, the ballpark,
15	the parking garage, other locales. The
16	new the construction is a historic
17	building, which was built back in 1912.
18	It's a (inaudible) structure, two-story,
19	wood frame.
20	The new construction that we're
21	proposing on this and there's some more
22	existing. The new construction that we're
23	proposing on this project will be a it's
24	going to basically be based on kind of a
25	historic shipyard. We have (inaudible)

1 construction (inaudible) wharf. We thought 2 that would be -- because we want to make it 3 as an entertainment space. So it's totally up in air, but enclosed from inclement weather.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So in the additional materials that we're introducing, this is really to compliment the adjacent architecture, the Veterans Memorial. We have a very large wall in the back, it's all brick masonry with accent horizontal brick coursing that matches what's been done at Veterans. On the top, the roof, which is a metal roof (inaudible) to some of the metal architecture from both the ballpark and in the arena a bit.

The space that we wanted to do is to keep it -- the whole idea was to keep it very, very open so that there is complete access from the plaza to the street. It is very pedestrian oriented.

It is a bar. We do have bar service on there, as well as there will be food service because there is a full restaurant and kitchen inside the existing building; we

1 serve that space as well.

2.2

Part of the design on this plate here is actually dealing with some of the aerial (inaudible) that we're going to need.

One thing that was really important on the second floor balcony connection between what is an existing second floor outside area on the south side versus what we're doing on the north side, there is no connection between the two. So we wanted to go back and make an external connection, a balcony, that was historically correct for that building.

Actually, if you go back and look at some of the old photos, they actually had projections off that building that were balconies and such. They were just removed sometime during its existence. We want to come back, put a new balcony system in there and make it wide enough so that it's actually a means of egress between two portions of the deck, as well as it deals with some life safety issues on that second floor which has no internal stairs at all to the building.

With that said, of course, we're going to have to get into the aerial easements, which was described to you in the two locations is that -- is the balcony that runs parallel with Philips -- A. Philip Randolph, and then a section that actually projects over toward the plaza itself, which actually becomes an entrance point off the plaza going into the two-story addition.

2.2

Street front elevation, which is what you see here, is that we kept the scale -- everything in scale with the existing building. Easily accommodate the two floors and keep them consistent with the internal floor elevations as well. And you can see that basically going to the heavy (inaudible) structure, we can space out the columns and keep it very open and just you have railing systems that are basically for fall protection, as well as some degree of crowd control, so that, you know, there are points of entry and exit onto that decking.

The view from the plaza, which you can see the entire length of this building, the palm trees are existing. That's part of the

arena landscaping; we weren't going to touch that. We wanted to respect everything that's been done at the arena and basically not touch it at all, but work with it, which is where we have the entrance going to and from the plaza and the new addition falls right between the palms themselves.

2.2

The back portion, you can see everything is pretty much open for the most part, where all the people would be. There is a back section, which is actually where there would be an entertainment area or platform. And we basically wanted to put in louvers there to keep the air flow and keep it open, but create a site barrier at that point, as well as contain some of the acoustics and the noise. And that's what -- and that elevation illustrates that.

You can see a little bit of the green roof up above, as well as what's also on part of that roof structure is actually a skylight system that runs the entire ridge of the roof to help bring daylight washing into the upper floor. That was one thing we wanted to do, is try to minimize the amount

1 of actual power going into this and try to 2 use as much daylight as possible within the 3 space. The rear elevation that's actually, you 4 5 know, facing the actual arena, this is where I'm saying is the large brick wall. 6 7 Actually, that wall esthetically, it 8 compliments the actual arena with the 9 layering of the brick and colors and tones. 10 The other thing, it's actually a firewall, 11 because we're going to be right up against 12 the property line at that point. There is

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The rest of the elevation there to the right, which is the low masonry wall, that actually is an existing stream wall that's already there, and that actually hides a lot of the utilities and the service part of the -- serve the existing building right now. So that would remain in place.

really not enough separation to create a

nonrated condition so that wall actually

serves several purposes.

The trees that you actually see there that were all drawn in, again, those are all existing trees that are all part of the

1	arena landscaping. Again, we're not
2	touching any of that or disturbing it.
3	This last page here just kind of gives
4	you an overall summary of the elevations,
5	the site, the actual conceptual of the
6	building as it wraps around the existing.
7	Just kind of give you an overall feel of
8	what you're what we're actually trying to
9	introduce here and propose. And that's
10	really pretty much it for the conceptual.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you.
12	Appreciate that. Anything else to add to
13	the presentation?
14	MR. MAURER: Warren? Robert?
15	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: We take public
16	comments. I didn't get any cards, but is
17	there anyone in the public that would like
18	to speak on this project? No.
19	Okay. Great. We'll move in to board
20	comments. Appreciate that.
21	Mr. Schilling, why don't you start us
22	off?
23	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Sure. So a
24	couple of questions. Regarding the I
25	guess I'd call it the balcony, or the

1	overhang on the front, where do those
2	columns line up related to the curb line?
3	Are they right on back of curb or
4	MR. MAURER: No. The actual curb the
5	walk is actually nine feet wide along A.
6	Philip Randolph. And the balcony itself,
7	the easement that we're asking for is
8	actually six feet. We're not going to go to
9	that six-foot line, but basically we'll be
10	in about the column lines will also be
11	about five feet. And we chose that to be
12	able to give us enough openness between the
13	columns in the existing building for
14	pedestrian traffic to navigate around it on
15	both sides, and as well as knowing that
16	utilities would be an issue with JEA,
17	because we're going to have to relocate the
18	primary, which currently runs along the
19	north side of the building. We're going to
20	have to bring it under the walk in front of
21	that building and go all the way around to
22	the south side.
23	And our coordination with JEA was that
24	locating our columns and our footers at five
25	feet would be plenty of room for them to be

able to clear it. And we're not doing

conventional footings on this; we're

actually going to bore down, auger it in

there and probably do a 16-inch-round,

48-inch-deep footer in order to be able to

not conflict with any utilities that would

actually be underneath that.

2.2

We've already submitted an application for that encroachment to JEA. Let's see, it was -- yeah, he already looked at it. Yeah, the initial comments from JEA, from the electrical department, said that looked really good; they didn't have a problem with it. It's just we have to go through the formality of getting the final approval for it.

BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: So one thing that I know -- and I recognize that this is conceptual approval and not final, but one thing that would be helpful, at least to me, and I don't know that I saw it in here, is a detailed site plan showing the detail of where that curb line is and where these columns are.

So what I hear you saying is -- or heard

T	you say is those columns were set,
2	basically, to be centered in that sidewalk
3	out in front of the building.
4	MR. MAURER: Yes, sir. If you look at
5	plate five that I have up there now, which
6	is really a site plan, and the rendered
7	colored orange area, which is actually all
8	the new construction for the second floor
9	you see projecting over the nine-foot-deep
LO	walk, the notes on there, this is right
L1	there, okay, there's your note for the
12	nine-foot walk, which goes from the face of
13	the building to the curb, which is that
L 4	line. And then right here you can see this
15	is where our six-foot easement point is that
16	runs along the face of that.
17	So the very outside edge of that balcony
18	will not exceed that six feet. And the
L 9	columns are actually spaced five feet off
20	the front of that building. Does that help?
21	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: That does help.
22	Thank you.
23	So the other thing so next question
24	is: So what is the intent of that front
25	balcony? Is there a balcony on the left

1	side of the building that you're connecting
2	to the two sides? Is that what the intent
3	is?

2.2

MR. MAURER: This projection right here, this area, okay, is a second floor outside deck that has a stair that serves that, and basically has doors that access to the second floor of the building. But that, literally, it's isolated. And in this plan, I have -- I'm trying to solve two issues here as a means of egress off this building and off this deck. I'm going to need two of them.

So one of the things was that we were going to have to locate a stair somewhere in here to serve that purpose, but we need a second means of egress, as well as just from the flow of -- in lieu of having people cutting through the building all of the time, to be able to come in with the outside balcony and make that connection work with the flow to connect both sides of the building, as well as an egress.

So it serves several purposes, but the design of that whole balcony was going to be

consistent with the historic style of the building. We're going to build it out of steel, but the post on it is not going to be a simple post. It will actually be a decorative post, decorative base. It's just that the footer that we're doing will actually project across the walk, you know, a couple inches.

2.2

And but, otherwise, when you look at it, it's all blacked out, which currently the existing structure here is black painted steel and brick. We were just going to go ahead and match that and continue it and tie it back into the new addition.

BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Okay. So there are other ways to get onto and off of these decks other than just the external stairs?

So you are able to access --

MR. MAURER: Right. You could literally come up and be on this side, walk around and access it; or if you're on the first floor here, there's going to be this stair -- there's going to be a main stair that will access and go directly to the second floor. The other thing that we had to do in this

1 project is deal with handicap accessibility. 2 And we're going to utilize a vertical wheelchair lift on that, it's an enclosed 3 space. And we're going to actually locate 4 5 that back on this side where you see this L shaped stair. That's basically where we're 6 7 going to locate that unit, at that location. 8 BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: And as a part 9 of this -- or is there going to be a bar 10 that's out on this deck or restroom, additional restroom facilities. 11 12 MR. MAURER: Yes. In the new section, 13 on both floors, there will be outside bars. 14 In the back section here, there's going to 15 be additional restrooms to meet. So we have 16 to meet the plumbing code because of the 17 increase in people count. So we were going 18 to provide some additional toilet facilities 19 on both floors, as well as we're going to 20 provide a lounge and an office space as 21 well. 2.2 So that will be the only air conditioned components will be in the very back section. 23 24 And that's where the plumbing is, other than 25 we'll have some plumbing servicing the bars

Τ	along the face of the existing building on
2	both decks.
3	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Okay. Again,
4	so as part of the final, if some of that
5	additional information could be provided, I
6	think that would be helpful.
7	And, Mr. Chairman, those are all the
8	questions I have right now. I might have a
9	couple more thoughts as I hear the other
10	board members' thoughts as well, but thank
11	you.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
13	Mr. Loretta.
14	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Really quick,
15	that circular stair at the bottom left,
16	that's still the bottom left of there;
17	correct?
18	MR. MAURER: Right. Let me
19	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: But you're not
20	showing it in your elevations?
21	MR. MAURER: No. And because that
22	was it was a concept, as far as we know
23	we need to put the stair in along with the
24	vertical lift.
25	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Okay. I just

1	want to confirm, right now we're just not
2	showing it with the elevations so I just
3	wanted to
4	MR. MAURER: Right. That is correct.
5	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Okay. So I want
6	to ask staff: Lori, if I heard this
7	gentleman correctly, I think it's
8	contradictory to what you had written in
9	your report. Basically, they're saying that
10	they're going to encroach the nine-foot
11	sidewalk by five feet and have columns four
12	feet off the back of sidewalk. So there is
13	not going to be able to be a six-foot open
14	walkway, a pedestrian threshold like you're
15	talking about, if I heard you correct.
16	And so one of the things I would almost
17	recommend is why don't we bump it out to be
18	eight-feet wide deep where the columns are
19	and then just from the whole porch extend
20	all the way to the back of curb almost,
21	because right now it just doesn't seem like
22	we're complying with what code is even going
23	to allow. And then it's just everything is
24	underneath the canopy.
25	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Correct, Board

1	Member Loretta. Through the Chair. What
2	they were showing is the columns are set at
3	five feet. So a portion of the base of the
4	column will be in the pedestrian in the
5	five-foot pedestrian zone that we look for
6	at a minimum. So
7	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So that's okay?
8	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Well, no. I
9	mean, it's not. And that's why, within the
10	presentation, I said that I would continue
11	to work with staff to ensure that we do get
12	the five-foot-clear pedestrian zone. So
13	that would be something, because we
14	that's a minimum requirement per our
15	ordinance.
16	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Okay. So what
17	she's saying is really you guys almost have
18	to have your columns either four feet off
19	the building so you have five feet of clear,
20	or maybe you could work with her and ask for
21	larger air rights, if they're okay with it,
22	and have the columns even farther out and
23	then the whole walkway is underneath. I
24	don't even know if that's allowable.
25	They're saying, no, it's not.

1	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Again, through
2	the Chair. I actually went out and measured
3	the sidewalk, and I measured back of curb to
4	the building, and it's 8.5. I think you
5	were measuring probably from front of curb.
6	MR. MAURER: Yeah.
7	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yeah. So back of
8	curb to building is 8.5. So we have
9	yeah.
10	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So it's even
11	tighter. So the likelihood is your columns
12	aren't going to be able to come out three
13	and a half feet and you may have to have
14	some sort of structural brace to make that
15	all work. You may be able to have the porch
16	extend to six feet, but the columns is what
17	Ms. Radcliffe-Meyers is saying is really
18	only going to be able to be three and a half
19	feet out. So I just want to kind of do
20	you understand what I'm saying?
21	MR. MAURER: Yes, I do.
22	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Okay. So my
23	initial blush, I looked at this a month and
24	a half ago when it didn't come through, is a
25	little I feel like I will allow the

architect to talk, I feel it's a little underwhelming of a design. I'm not sure that I completely like the overall wood I feel like the roof line, how it look. ties, again, is a little underwhelming, but I'm not the architect on the Board, so I'll allow the architects to speak for it on that.

2.2

And it just seems like it could be -obviously, there is an expense to
everything. And you've got to make a profit
and make it happen, but I'm just -- right
now I'm pretty underwhelmed by the overall
architectural design. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Mr. Davisson.

BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Well, I think it's a great idea, and I can imagine this down in that area. When I first looked at this, it was hard for me to comment because there is not a lot on these pages to comment to. And a lot of it is just left to your description of what the columns are, what the sidewalk is. And you're pointing to certain area s, That's the connection. I had no idea just looking at these drawings.

1	So when you describe something about how
2	you talk about the historical context or the
3	balconies and iron and stuff like that, I
4	don't know what to respond to, because I
5	don't see it.
6	MR. MAURER: Sure.
7	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: You're just
8	telling me what it is. And a lot of this
9	project is telling us what you're doing, and
10	not showing us on paper.
11	MR. MAURER: Okay.
12	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: For example,
13	you've got this wall in the back that is
14	this big, you know, 20-foot-high red wall.
15	Where on the plan is this?
16	MR. MAURER: It follows that.
17	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Okay. It's hard
18	to put things together if they're not drawn.
19	MR. MAURER: Sure. Okay.
20	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: I like the idea.
21	I think it's a great project. But I'm just
22	going to leave my comments at that because
23	there is just not enough to respond to.
24	MR. MAURER: Okay.
25	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: That's all.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
2	Mr. Davisson.
3	Ms. Durden.
4	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you.
5	So it's interesting to hear what the
6	other board members say because the two
7	things that I have great concern with on the
8	project are the two things that have already
9	been discussed: the architecture and the
10	pedestrian realm.
11	I'll start with the pedestrian realm.
12	Of all I shouldn't say of all the places
13	in Jacksonville. But this area needs as
14	much pedestrian accessibility and space as
15	we can possibly get. You know, on a game
16	night or, you know, a concert or any of
17	these other activities, which is exactly why
18	you're there, and I'm glad you're there, but
19	we have so many people on the street. I am
20	really concerned about the necessity for
21	this deck overhanging A. Philip Randolph on
22	that side.
23	I don't see the necessity for the
24	connection to the south side of the
25	building. You already said it's connected

to go inside. I understand your desire to have an outside patio, deck area on the north side of the building, but I just don't see the necessity for it hanging over the front of the building.

2.2

You know, it's a historic -- well, I shouldn't -- I don't want to say that in a technical sense. But you have a certain look of that building already and now you're going to put this -- proposing to put a deck across the front of it, that, quite frankly, doesn't -- it's six-feet wide. All I envision is a lot of people hanging over the side of the deck during a game or -- you know, it's not even wide enough to really have tables set up there or any anything.

So I just don't see the necessity for building -- for the impact that that deck has on the pedestrian realm on the street level to have these columns. And wherever they are, whether they're at five feet off the building, or they're at four feet off the building, or even all the way out at eight feet, they are an impediment to that pedestrian realm.

1	And so I would encourage you to delete
2	the deck across the front of the building.
3	Allow your people on the in the existing
4	portion on the south side that they can come
5	into the building, they're already there.
6	And I just think that that would be a much
7	better protection, if you will.
8	I mean, nine feet, we really, our goal,
9	our aspirational goal, is even wider
10	sidewalks to allow for additional pedestrian
11	accessibility. I don't feel like I could
12	even vote for this conceptually with those
13	columns there.
14	I like your concept, please, don't
15	misunderstand me about the concept. I just
16	don't see the necessity for that deck and
17	the impact that it will have.
18	Architecturally and again, I'm not an
19	architect. So that's not my, you know, my
20	silo, but I'm kind of disappointed in the
21	architecture. I think that you have a
22	really unique building, a neat building, and
23	I would like to see it doesn't feel
24	connected to me. It doesn't feel and
25	maybe that's the wrong word from an

architectural perspective. But it doesn't feel like it goes with the main building, you know, it doesn't compliment it.

2.2

It just seems like it's the -- you know, like a -- what's the right word? Maybe the easiest way or maybe the least expensive way to build that addition. But it doesn't really seem, to me, to really respect the existing building that it's going to be attached to.

And like Mr. Davisson has said, you know, I don't see heavy timbers in that area of our -- you know, in the sports area. So I'm not sure -- and also the roof.

So I would like you to think about some revisions. But like I said, the thing that bothers me the greatest is the interruption of an already only nine-foot-wide pedestrian area to begin with. And I would really encourage you to consider deleting the deck from the front of the building.

MR. MERRILL: If you look at the picture of the building as it exists now, there are two doors that open and just fall to the pavement. So the upstairs needs something

1 to keep people from going out those doors 2 and falling to the ground. The upstairs is 3 not developed yet. The upstairs is roughed And for us to finish off the upstairs, 4 in. there is doors both on the north elevation 5 and on the east elevation that just open. 6 7 And there used to be a balcony there at 8 some point in time. I don't know why they 9 would have doors otherwise. There wouldn't 10 be doors that fall out to the pavement. So 11 that would be another purpose too if we 12 finish off the upstairs, which is the 13 ultimate plan, so that we can utilize those 14 doors instead of having to brick them in. 15 And if you look at the picture, if you 16 thumb back, you'll see on the front 17 elevation -- or actually the actual picture, 18 you'll see the two doors that just open to 19 nowhere. They just -- if I had people 20 there, and they opened the doors, they would fall to their death. 21 2.2 VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you. 23 Mr. Davisson, please. Absolutely. 24 BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Well, let me 25

respond to that, I think there are ways --

1	there are other issues, I think, with the
2	doors, there are other ways you can handle
3	it other than bricking them in. So I don't
4	buy that one. Those could have been
5	Juliette, they could have been a different
6	balcony type.
7	But I also, and this is maybe in
8	contradiction, it's just a different way to
9	look at, I think having the balcony out on
LO	the street is kind of the charm of having
11	if it's done right and done well, having
12	that second story activity. It's Bourbon
13	Street, you name some of the areas in the
L 4	country. That second level is important too
15	and how it reaches out and engages the whole
L 6	street. It's how you do it. And I really
17	don't have enough here to even comment on
L8	what is it other than you've said its wood,
L 9	steel and cable.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
21	Mr. Davisson. Appreciate that.
22	Thank you for the presentation. I
23	appreciate that too. I somewhat agree with
24	the constricting of the sidewalk at the

placement of the existing column s. And ${\ \ \ }$

25

recognize the challenge that you guys have
in trying to add additional entertainment
space to this area, which is much needed, in
my opinion.

2.2

And spending some time on the balcony of Intuition, a second story space overlooking all the activity below, I think, could be very nice. And I would encourage you to continue working on this.

I do have a question though: You have black steel columns on the front and you moved to wood on the side. Was there a reason for that change in materiality? Why not just do all steel?

MR. MERRILL: I was trying to get that heavy timber look from the old wharfs down the street, 600 feet away, they had wooden wharfs with big, heavy timbers with the big steel gusset plates that held the timbers together.

So you have a 1912 building. In 1912 there was wood wharfs down the street. Just trying to go with the period of time. So that's why I was trying to do the heavy timber look.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: I appreciate that. 2 The materiality of that sports complex area 3 now is brick and that green steel and the green roofs and steel, black steel. 4 5 you've got that part. So I would encourage 6 you to explore maybe alternative design options where you might have black columns, 7 8 maybe heavy timber supports or trusses or 9 something like that where it's a little bit 10 more of a cohesive design. 11 Again, it's hard to tell what this is 12 really going to look like until we see those 13 renderings and those perspectives that we 14 should see at final. And that's really all 15 the comments I have at the moment. 16 BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Mr. Chairman. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Yeah, please. 18 BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Couple things, 19 which has been good to let a couple of my 20 thoughts marinate here. And I guess a 21 couple additional thoughts, is, one, I don't 2.2 know that I necessarily have an objection to 23 the balcony on the front. As Mr. Davisson 24 said, I think, if done right, it could 25 really create some energy on the front of

1 the building.

2.2

But as Ms. Durden said, and I guess this is what I was trying to wrap my mind around, because I know I happened to be out on the sidewalk this past Sunday after the Jags game. And I'm trying to visualize what the sidewalk looks like with columns running down the middle of it. And I think that's going to be an issue.

And certainly not being the designer, I don't know if there is any way that this balcony could be cantilevered or the columns could be put against the building and then cantilevered, but I'm struggling right now in thinking about recommending an approval with the columns right in the middle, because I don't think that's the right solution for right here.

And so I was just going to suggest -and, Mr. Chairman, I don't know if the
applicant may consider going back to work on
some of these items and maybe come back with
a little more detail, maybe look at a couple
of different solutions as to how to do the
balcony and come back for conceptual again

1	next meeting. Certainly, I know it's at
2	their discretion, but I was going to suggest
3	that and see if the applicant would consider
4	that.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: We have we have
6	to take a vote. So we have two
7	recommendations right now from staff. And I
8	feel like we've had another recommendation
9	or two come up. And so let's see if we
10	can't add some of this to the approval if
11	they were to get one on a vote. And the
12	recommendation would be to make sure that we
13	have, I think, at least five feet or six
14	feet?
15	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Five feet.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Five feet clear. So
17	that would take adjustment in the column
18	location. You heard that fairly consistent
19	feedback that we want to see more pedestrian
20	walkway in front of the building underneath
21	this balcony. Were there any others that we
22	wanted to make with the vote?
23	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Mr. Chairman.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Yes, please.
25	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I don't really

1 think that just going to the five feet is 2 what our recommendation might be, based upon comments. I know we haven't voted, but I 3 was thinking that it was more in line with 4 5 what Mr. Schilling was speaking to, which 6 was to ask the applicant to come back with a 7 proposal that shows that -- a different 8 design for the columns and a different 9 location for the columns, and possibly 10 taking into account the possibility of 11 cantilevering, I heard, for the deck. So 12 that seems to me to -- certainly from my 13 perspective would be more in keeping in 14 allowing me to support the conceptual with 15 that condition, that condition as opposed to 16 just the five feet. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So the condition is 18 they were to come back before final approval 19 with a column plan to staff? 20

BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I think that the applicant -- I think I heard Mr. Schilling encourage the applicant to consider coming back for conceptual, but I didn't hear them respond to that. Maybe we can ask them if they're -- get a response from them, if

21

2.2

23

24

1 they -- you know, and then from there we can 2 go to the next step. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. So I think we'll need some feedback from you at this 4 5 point. 6 MR. MAURER: The columns currently are 7 centered five feet off the building. And 8 we're talking very small post, maybe 9 three-inch-round post maximum. It's a 10 decorative column, though, because it will 11 have a capital base that's consistent 12 with -- well, think about the lamp posts 13 that are throughout the downtown area that 14 are historical in character. That would 15 basically be very similar to a column here 16 for this condition. 17 We're only talking about moving that 18 post out maybe another six inches to create 19 the 60-inch clear that you're talking about. 20 It's really not that much. Again, with the six-foot easement that we're currently 21 2.2 trying to get, it is easy to accommodate 23 that within that six-foot easement. 24 The issue is if you wanted to go and

actually create a deeper upper balcony such

1 as the full depth of the sidewalk, we'll 2 definitely do that, it's not a problem. I 3 just would want to bring the columns in to try to create any kind of cantilever 4 5 condition or try to create a pilaster condition to help create a cantilever of the 6 upper balcony, especially because JEA wants 7 8 to run that primary right in front of the 9 face of the building throughout that --10 underneath the entire walk. And that's 11 their concern was to make sure that they 12 were going to miss the footings that are 13 existing for the new -- the existing 14 building, but also any of the new footing 15 that would be supporting that balcony. So 16 we wouldn't want to actually get closer to 17 the building. We would rather go out 18 further. 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I guess, in that sense, if we had to increase that easement from six to seven feet to compensate for a 60-inch-clear way for pedestrian access, as well as, you know, maintaining the clearances that JEA would like for the primary, as well as esthetically creating a deeper upper section

for more people to populate, that would be a better route to go.

3 BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Just a moment,

5 please.

2.2

2.4

Mr. Loretta.

BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I somewhat think you're just not understanding really the code. And the bottom line is the code is saying five feet from back of curb, not five feet anywhere on the sidewalk. It's five feet from the back of curb. And so I was trying to hope initially that maybe it could be five feet from anywhere, but it was clearly defined five feet from the back of curb.

So my question to you all is do you have a structural engineer on board yet? Have you all looked at this? Can we not just create an L bracket and have this -- you know, even if it goes to four feet or six feet, and make this still clear? I think what you're hearing from two of the people on the board is that they don't want any columns within the outside of the building.

And so if you were able to kind of, you know, go with an L bracket bracing to be able to overhang this deck, nobody has an issue, I don't think, with the second floor deck. It's just the fact is the encroachment within to the nine-foot sidewalk below.

2.2

So is that a consideration you guys could look into or is that -- I just don't know if this is a 1912 building, structurally that may be a problem, that's my question.

MR. MAURER: It is. It's 12-inch solid brick walls that that building is made out of. I know for JEA their concern is missing the footings. And if we brought the columns in closer to the building, I think it's just the coordination issue with the JEA to say, instead of running the primary right in front of the face of the building, let's take advantage of the full depth of the walk and let's bring them out in front of the columns, which is clear, because from all the utilities, the water utilities, all are in the street. There is absolutely nothing

1	underneath that walk. It's clear. So they
2	actually JEA can actually anywhere withir
3	that nine foot depth
4	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So there is no
5	ability for you at this point you don't
6	think there is an ability for you to
7	cantilever the deck?
8	MR. MAURER: Structurally I don't
9	believe I'll have to get with the
10	structural engineer to verify, but just from
11	what we were looking at, with the way that
12	building is built and the way the existing
13	wood framing is actually tooth into the
14	existing masonry, that there is not enough
15	in there to actually take a load for a
16	five-foot or six-foot balcony.
17	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: So you're saying
18	it's a wood frame behind the brick
19	MR. MAURER: Correct.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Guy, were you going
21	to say something?
22	MR. PAROLA: I think I was just going
23	to, through the Chair, comment to something
24	Mr. Loretta said. If we have 12 feet to 16
25	feet of the pedestrian zone, then you're

right, we want to start seeing things in the

clear zone beginning after the first four

feet. We don't have that here. We have

eight and a half feet as measured by staff

from back of curb.

2.2

So what you see going along the section of A. Philip Randolph is you actually see maybe a foot and a half to a two-foot amenity zone. I mean, when you go -- I guess you would go by way of north, close to the arena, and you start seeing the lights come back again and start seeing the onstreet parking meters come back again.

They're within the 8 to 16 inches from the back of curb.

When you go the other direction, by way of example and you start heading south from -- I guess it would be south after the Duro building, you start seeing the benches that are brought up close.

So we have a constricted right of way here where, I think -- staff agrees with you, you have to pick something; it can't be middle, I guess, like Mr. Miyagi said. So you either pick close to the building or you

pick as close to the curb as you can possibly get, maximizing the distance between the two if you go back of curb.

2.2

So where staff is going with this is the closer you can get to the curb and still have people able to park there if there is onstreet parking there, then that's something we could support. We don't want to see something almost straddling the middle and having what the architect said, people going around each side, that's not really where we're going with this.

BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: That was actually what I was saying initially, is maybe if we move it farther out, then maybe it gives them more clear zone, it just happens to be underneath the canopy. If you're cool with that, I think I'm okay with that. It does still encroach the nine-foot sidewalk, but you now at least have seven-feet sidewalk from the columns up against the building.

MR. PAROLA: We know this isn't an area where we're going to start seeing curb, right, because the minute you get on the other side from A. Philip from -- the other

where the autonomous vehicle is scheduled to start making a left and then make a right back on Bay Street. We know we have set curbs there. We also know that, during the busiest times, north of the Adams Street, they shut the entire block down and it becomes a giant, you know, pedestrian zone anyway.

2.2

Let us not forget that a primary reason they shut it down as often as they do is because every single event by J Bill becomes a giant wet zone. So the first thing we do when we have an event there -- and right now, if you talk to SMG, they will tell you, thankfully, we have more events than we have days of the week -- or days of the year. So we're seeing more and more things shut down and we're seeing an exaggerated sidewalk.

So I guess, my kind of advice to the Board, guidance to the Board, would be maximize the distance between the columns, move the columns to the back of curb and then go from there. Staff is actually pretty excited about having that open space

1	along A. Philip. I don't remember which
2	board member said it, but mentioned Mardi
3	Gras. Maybe not Mardi Gras, but certainly
4	Jax Beach does it all the time. We've gone
5	to, you know, Mount Dora even in their
6	downtown encourages that. You see balconies
7	stretching off over the sidewalk and having
8	that embracement. So that would be our
9	just some observations and guidance.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: And to that point, I
11	can't remember a time walking by this
12	building when that street wasn't closed
13	during an event. So the whole street
14	becomes a sidewalk other than when there is
15	no event going on.
16	So, Ms. Durden, you were going to say
17	something?
18	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Going all the way
19	back, I think that those are very good
20	comments. I could it could be that we
21	end up with the columns all the way out next
22	to the curb as far out as possible. I think

that I would still be interested in your --

if you come back at final, I would like to

know what the structural engineer actually

23

24

1	says about the cantilever, because I think,
2	quite frankly, JEA would be happier with a
3	cantilever than the columns into the ground.
4	And I would be happier without the columns
5	in the pedestrian realm. And I think the
6	whole Board would be happier.
7	So if we can fashion a condition that
8	says to investigate the cantilever. And if
9	that's not structurally feasible, then to
10	consider or to move the columns all the
11	way out to the curb. I think those are good
12	comments, I appreciate that from staff, and
13	so if we could fashion that into a
14	condition.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Is it possible to
16	move the columns all the way out to curb?
17	You have a six-foot air right right now.
18	Would you have to go back and get another
19	air right?
20	MR. MAURER: We have to revise that.
21	MR. PAROLA: It's just a legal
22	description and a sketch right now. So it
23	hasn't been it hasn't gone through
24	ordinance.

VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So it's possible for

1	revision before is that an acceptable
2	condition?
3	MR. MERRILL: We just didn't want to asl
4	for too much.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Is that an
6	acceptable condition?
7	MR. MERRILL: Yeah, I think so.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: We have three
9	recommendations excuse me, we have three
10	recommendations to go along with this DDRB
11	action item. And the third one is going to
12	be the recommendation that we'll have the
13	columns out to the curb as far as possible,
14	and we'll have a structural evaluation of
15	the existing building to see if it could
16	support cantilevered balconies.
17	MR. MAURER: Correct.
18	MR. MERRILL: Without any undue
19	extraordinary expense to redo the inside of
20	the building to support that canopy. I
21	might have to redo the whole upstairs floor
22	just to support something that will stick
23	out.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Right. At that
25	point it wouldn't be feasible. We're

1	talking about if the existing structure can
2	support a balcony without undue burdening of
3	the existing structural building.
4	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: And they're
5	going to have to come with required
6	documents and materials and drawings that
7	you should be coming with.
8	MR. MAURER: Right. In the next
9	presentation, I will definitely clarify the
10	design details for the columns and
11	balconies, which will hopefully clarify
12	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Well, it should
13	have been on this conceptual, you should
14	have had it. Next so I'm kind of going
15	on a leap of faith that you'll have it next
16	time.
17	MR. MAURER: Okay. Yes, sir.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So we'll put it to a
19	vote. Do I have a motion to approve with
20	the following recommendations?
21	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I make that
22	motion.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: I have a motion to
24	approve with recommendations. Do I have a
25	second?

1	Am I allowed to second?
2	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Second, I'll
3	second.
4	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Mr. Chairman,
5	can we discuss for a second?
6	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Sure.
7	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: So the one
8	thing I just want to reiterate and I'm
9	with Mr. Davisson as well, this is a little
10	bit of a leap of faith. I agree with the
11	board members, I would like this concept.
12	So certainly going to go ahead and support
13	the conceptual. But I do want to emphasize
14	that, as Mr. Davisson said, there is going
15	to be expectation, we're going to need to
16	see a lot more information when you come
17	back for final.
18	And then I also wanted to add on the
19	and I don't know that the condition actually
20	needs to be amended. But, again, I think
21	it's important to look at cantilevering, but
22	also if you do end up moving the the
23	applicant moves the columns farther out to
24	the curb, they still have to provide the
25	required setback behind the curb so we don't

1	have bumpers as cars are parking and hitting
2	those. So just advise you to look at those.
3	I don't think we need to amend the motion to
4	that, just want to make those comments.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. Thank you.
6	Well, I have a motion to approve with
7	the recommendations and a second. All those
8	in favor, aye.
9	COLLECTIVELY: Aye.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: All opposed?
11	Motion is approved. Thank you.
12	So we'll go ahead and move on, as we
13	shuffle to the next action item on our
14	agenda today, DDRB 2019-19, conceptual
15	approval of LaVilla Townhomes. Do we have a
16	staff report?
17	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes, we do.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you.
19	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Thank you,
20	Chairman Lee.
21	So DDRB Application 2019-19 is seeking
22	conceptual approval for the construction of
23	88 townhomes in 14 separate buildings. The
24	project site is 4.16 acres and is located in
25	the LaVilla Overlay District. The zoning is

1	Commercial Central Business District and the
2	land use category is Central Business
3	District.
4	The site is bordered to the north and
5	south by West Adams Street and West Forsyth
6	Street, respectively; and bordered to the
7	east and west by Lee Street and Stuart
8	Street, respectively.
9	The project proposes 10 three-story
10	buildings with a total of 50 townhomes and 4
11	two-story buildings with a total of 38
12	townhomes. Drive aisles and parking have
13	been incorporated within the interior of the
14	complex and each townhome has a rear low
15	garage, which opens up the front of the
16	buildings.

Street trees, streetlights, benches and trash receptacles are provided to meet the pedestrian zone requirements. Once completed, the Lift Every Voice and Sing Park along with the LaVilla Heritage Trail will provide an additional amenity for the community members.

Based on the foregoing, the Downtown

Development Review Board Staff supports the

1	conceptual approval of DDRB Application
2	2019-19 with the following recommendations:
3	Prior to submittal for final review, the
4	developer shall meet with staff to identify,
5	if any, the deviations sought. At final
6	review the developer shall provide enough
7	detail so as to illustrate that the
8	pedestrian zone meets the definition of such
9	in the ordinance code, and meets the various
10	requirements and design amenity features for
11	the pedestrian zone.
12	Streetlights, benches and street
13	furnishings shall be placed in the amenity
14	area. Additional benches and trash
15	receptacles not currently shown on the site
16	plan shall be added once sales of the
17	townhomes reach 80 percent sold. Street
18	furnishings shall be in accordance with the
19	downtown street scape design guidelines.
20	Street scape design shall be the business
21	interdistrict design standard utilizing
22	brick pavers, which is consistent within the
23	area.
24	This concludes the staff report. And
25	staff is available for questions.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you.
2	Appreciate that.
3	Is the applicant here? And would they
4	like to give a presentation?
5	Thank you. Please state and spell your
6	name and provide your address.
7	MR. HOOVER: Thank you. My name is Ryan
8	Hoover, 3030 Hartley Road, Vestcor,
9	Jacksonville, Florida.
10	MR. BRAXTAN: My name is Jack Braxtan,
11	J-a-c-k B-r-a-x-t-a-n, Group 4 Design, we're
12	the architect, 1520 Prudential Drive,
13	Jacksonville, Florida.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
15	MR. HOOVER: Okay. I guess Lori did a
16	great job showing a lot of the slides we
17	already have on here. So I can scan through
18	them if you would like me to and we can get
19	to the any of the items you may have
20	questions about it. As was mentioned, 88
21	townhomes, 38 two-story units and 50
22	three-story units inside the LaVilla Overlay
23	District.
24	This is the location, as we previously
25	mentioned, along with an overhead site plan,

1	which will get into more detail here in a
2	second.
3	A larger overhead view. And even
4	farther back.
5	Here is your zoning and land use. Here
6	is the overall site plan. So we can just go
7	all the way through this and then come back
8	and discuss how you want.
9	So this is the first I'm calling this
10	one parcel A. It's the one that's bordered
11	between Stuart and Johnson just south of
12	Adams, so the northwestern-most block.
13	Here is B, the next one over east, which
14	will have the Lift Every Voice and Sing
15	Park, which will take up about half of the
16	site, along with a new right of way that's
17	being created, that we're creating between
18	Adams and Houston, or Houston, Street,
19	however you would like to say it.
20	And then this is C, which is just below
21	that block. Also, still again against Lee
22	Street and Johnson just north of Forsyth
23	Street.
24	We had some palms in that original
25	rendering that was shown, but we removed all

the palm trees and went with elms. There are a couple oaks existing on the south that are most likely not going to survive based on putting in the new sidewalk, but we're going to try. And here is just the standard finish, the standard street scape benches and trash receptacles and the flex pay service that we use around trees.

2.2

Here is an overhead shot. The blue is the development parcel. The portion not shaded there is the park parcel. This is looking south. You can see the JRTC and the Prime Osborn, as well as some other new development that's happened in the area over recent years.

Looking north you can kind of see where we are, just still very close to I95, at the end of what is the Central Business

District.

And here is some current photos of the existing land. This is from the northwestern-most corner looking back towards southeast, and you see JRTC there. This is looking south across the park parcel. Same one, but looking southeast --

I mean, southwest, sorry. And this is the

Lee and Forsyth intersection. Those are the

oak trees mentioned that may or may not

survive. We will try them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So we have the unit mixes, which we can, you know, go over if anyone has any specific questions about this, our floor plans, which I know there may be a question about, for each unit.

Here is the conceptual rendering. We're not showing anything on Lee Street at this point. We were asked to remove everything from there, because that is going to be the Emerald Trail. And in our agreement, which basically, if it comes to a point where we're about to open -- or before we've completed construction, if the Emerald Trail has not been built, we're going to build ourselves an agreed-upon 14-foot path and some other items to help so that, when it does come, they can finish the path, but at least we'll have the basic -- the startings of what will be the Emerald Trail. But the hope is that the City is going to have it built around the same time we're building

1	the townhomes.
2	Here are just some more renderings.
3	So I'm available to answer any questions
4	that you have.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you.
6	Appreciate that.
7	I don't have any speaking cards, so I
8	assume there is no public comment. So we'll
9	go right into the board comments.
10	Ms. Durden, would you start us off?
11	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Actually, I'm
12	going to declare conflict. I've been
13	working with Vestcor and feel like it would
14	not be appropriate for me to vote on the
15	matter.
16	Under the rule, I think I am allowed to
17	comment. And I would just say I like the
18	idea that we've got market rate going in. I
19	think it's a good kind of shot in the arm to
20	the area. You guys have done a lot to
21	assist in housing in the downtown area. And
22	I think that this project with market rate
23	makes a lot of sense to me.
24	I also like the design from an
25	architecture perspective. I am a little

1	concerned about some of the walls. And I'll
2	leave that up to some of the other
3	architects to discuss some of the blank
4	walls. I think maybe you could have some,
5	you know, interest with some windows added
6	in a few places.
7	And then I'd like to hear more about the
8	pedestrian zone when you have a chance.
9	And I also want to just say that I
10	appreciate the fact that you guys are
11	working with Groundwork Jacksonville on the
12	Emerald Trail and working through that. So
13	I'm not going to vote, but I do think I
14	do like the idea and the concept. Thank
15	you.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
17	Mr. Davisson.
18	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: It's a nice
19	project, nice design. My first question is
20	probably materials, because I think your
21	design is a nice design. My concern would
22	be what's happening at the next step,
23	because I can see these you're at the
24	tipping point whether these end up having
25	the connotation of track housing if you're

1	not using the right if they're not put
2	together well, that these are not urban. It
3	they're not done in the right manner. So
4	I'll let you just talk about that.
5	MR. BRAXTAN: Sure. All the materials
6	that we're using are fiber cement, because
7	part of this deal is that anything they sell
8	for over, what is it, 250,000 goes back to
9	the City. So we want them to be built
LO	affordably, but also have a modern look. So
L1	we're going to do a mixture of lap siding,
12	some traditional vertical board and batten,
L3	some reveal panel to give it a nice, clean
L 4	kind of masked look. But for the most part
15	the materials on the whole building will be
16	fiber cement.
L7	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: What's the roof?
L8	MR. BRAXTAN: The roof is going to be
L 9	shingled.
20	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Okay. I know
21	you're going to have a beehive of mechanical
22	units everywhere. What are you going to do
23	with those?
24	MR. BRAXTAN: So on the two-story units
>5	we have a garage out on the back and we'll

1 put them all up on top of that roof and on 2 the three-story units, we have a kind of portion in the back of the building where 3 we'll have a unit kind of behind each 4 5 garage, but they'll be down on grade for the three-story and up on the roof for the 6 7 two-story. BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: And I guess my 8 9 last comment -- well, you're getting into 10 the detail of street scape, you know, it's 11 -- probably what you've done on the corners 12 though, as far as kind of the blank walls. 13 I have no problem doing solid 14 void (inaudible) each other, but I think 15 it's in the wrong spot to have your corners 16 walled instead of engaging, not necessarily 17 that you want anybody to walk onto your 18 project, but at least you know the wall --19 the blank walls you've got on the 20 three-story are a bit too bold. 21 MR. BRAXTAN: Yeah. We're going to look 2.2 at that. And we've looked at it before this 23 meeting, because that was one of staff's 24 comments. And we have a couple 25 opportunities where we can add windows to

1	create interest on the side of the building
2	that we'll address that for the next
3	round.
4	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: My last question
5	is I looked at just looking at your floor
6	plans. Is it are all the front doors on
7	streets or I mean, authentically?
8	MR. BRAXTAN: Yeah. So the all the
9	front doors are kind of on a stoop out in
10	the front, the street-facing side of the
11	building, but there is also a back door on
12	this building. So you can have access from
13	both sides. Really the private entrance
14	would be on the back and the public entrance
15	would be on the front.
16	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Okay. Nice
17	project.
18	MR. BRAXTAN: Thank you.
19	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: That's it.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
21	Mr. Davisson.
22	Mr. Loretta.
23	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Thank you. I
24	think overall everything is very good. I'd
25	like to understand, when you guys transition

to the next stage, a little bit better how plant material and/or landscaping and/or anything kind of interacts between the front stoop of all these units and that sidewalk kind of where the right of way is. Seems like I'm not sure if it's just truly going to be all pavement. Seems -- I don't know that I really recommend it to be all pavement, as it could seem pretty stark then.

2.2

I feel as though you guys should recommend to your landscape architect to use a high rise live oak versus the cathedral live oak, because the high rise is significantly smaller. Cathedral can get huge. And you're showing a four-by-four planter, and it's very small.

And then to staff, I would really love to get a meeting with staff and Ms. Boyer, because I don't believe that this is the best -- I kind of think this is what some people are starting to do with the street scape design. And I just think this is not very good design, somewhat similar to what they actually did on the prior apartments

over in LaVilla that they just recently approved of over a month ago.

2.2

I just feel as though our current -- the way we've got the current text in the -- kind of this four-foot furnishing zone, so on and so forth, we've got like this little three-foot band of pavement that just wraps around. It just seems like where you have the bulb out, instead of a four-by-four planter, it should be an entire landscape area.

And, you know, I'd really love to sit

down with staff and Lori Boyer and come to a

much better design. We were supposed to

have a year to kind of vet this out, and I

think we failed pretty quickly, in my

opinion. And I don't really like what

they've designed in the street scape, albeit

conceptually, I guess, it's meeting what it

is we're saying the current code is. And so

what I don't want is this to be precedent

for the rest of the city, basically.

And I mean, on my end, really, as I've stated, I just think, you know, simply put, if you were to -- instead of these

1	four-by-four pits, you know, things become
2	significantly more enhanced and may even be
3	cheaper because you're going to have more
4	planting area and so forth, but I just think
5	we're missing the boat with the way we
6	should be with downtown street scape design
7	right now.
8	MR. PAROLA: Mr. Chairman.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Please.
10	MR. PAROLA: If I could, we would love
11	to meet you. Actually, the timing is very
12	well. On our DIA board agenda for our board
13	meeting next week, you're going to see
14	design standards that include the street
15	scape that we're putting out for RFP. So
16	your comments are very apropos. If you're
17	around next week, we'll get on your
18	calendar.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
20	Mr. Loretta.
21	Mr. Schilling.
22	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Just a real
23	quick question: On the site plan, south of
24	the park is a couple parcels that show
25	Johnson Homestead, so those aren't owned by

Ţ	y'all, those are out parcels, not part of
2	this project?
3	MR. HOOVER: The City will retain
4	ownership of those. Those are for the park.
5	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Through the
6	Chair to staff, to Ms. Radcliffe-Meyers. I
7	see one of the conditions, which is C, says
8	additional benches and trash receptacles not
9	currently shown on the site plan shall be
10	added once sales of the townhomes reach 80
11	percent sold. What is the intention of
12	and where are those going? Are those ones
13	going out on Emerald Trail? And is the
14	intent for the applicant to bring those
15	locations back at the final, I guess, just
16	as a question?
17	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Board Member
18	Schilling, through the Chair, yes. If you
19	look at the site plan, what they're
20	proposing right now is they were only having
21	benches and trash receptacles near the park.
22	And the rest of the site was lacking any of
23	those amenities. And so we requested to the
24	applicant that those be added once they
25	reach a certain level of sales in the town

1	homes.
2	There was concern that by adding them
3	right away that, you know, you may attract
4	people in that area that you don't want.
5	But then once you get eyes on the ground and
6	people are living in those townhomes, then
7	we expect to have those amenities along
8	those streets capes.
9	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Okay.
10	Excellent. Thank you. It's a great-looking
11	project. Thank you very much.
12	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
14	Thank you for the presentation. I also
15	agree, it's a pretty nice-looking project.
16	I did have a question. Are these going to
17	be market rate for sale townhomes?
18	MR. HOOVER: Correct.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: They're not rentals?
20	MR. HOOVER: No. They're for sale.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: I didn't see in any
22	in the plans, but there are no amenities, no
23	sales office or gym or anything like that;
24	it's just all units?
25	MR. HOOVER: No.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: I did want to echo
2	the very large I'm sure you've heard it
3	many times, I'll say it one time, very large
4	blank wall on the corner. The floor plan
5	might provide opportunities at least on that
6	unit to open it up. So I encourage you to
7	look at that before final.
8	And I agree with the recommendations of
9	the staff. And I don't have any further
10	comments at this time. I appreciate you
11	coming by. Well, if
12	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: I'm happy to
13	make a motion, Mr. Chair
14	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. Great.
15	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: to approve
16	conceptual approval for Application 2019-019
17	with the recommendations proposed by staff.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you,
19	Mr. Schilling.
20	We have a motion to approve conceptual.
21	Do we have a second?
22	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Second.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: We have a second.
24	All those in favor, say aye.
25	REMAINING: Aye.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Any opposed? We
2	have one recusal, Ms. Durden.
3	So the application is approved. Thank
4	you.
5	MR. HOOVER: Thank you.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. Let's go on
7	to the next action item, which is the Water
8	Street Parking Garage. Is there a staff
9	report?
10	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: A short one.
11	Thank you, Mr. Lee.
12	The Water Street Parking Garage is a
13	City-owned garage located at 541 Water
14	Street West in the Central Core Overlay
15	District. The site is 2.74 acres and is
16	located in a major gateway entry point into
17	downtown from the Acosta Bridge and
18	Riverside Avenue.
19	Per the Water Street Garage Public Art
20	Project Agreement, the project plans and
21	specifications recommended by the Art
22	Selection Panel shall be approved by the Art
23	in Public Places Committee and the DDRB
24	prior to the commencement of the work.
25	That concludes the staff overview.

1	Thank you.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you. And is
3	the applicant here? And would they like to
4	comment or make a presentation?
5	Great. If you could, please state and
6	spell your name and provide your address.
7	MR. WEISS: Glenn Weiss, Director of
8	Public Arts Program for the Cultural
9	Council, at 300 Water Street.
10	MS. GIBSON: Ronique Gibson, also at 300
11	Water Street, Cultural Council, Project
12	Manager.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
14	MR. WEISS: I will first, before I even
15	get into that, to let you know that this
16	sculpture, which you approved eight months
17	ago, will be installed next week. And the
18	editorial board of the Times endorsed and
19	supported your decisions at that time. So
20	just if you could pass it around just to say
21	thank you for supporting the arts in general
22	in downtown.
23	So what we're presenting today is the
24	work by Tom Drugan and Laura Haddad. They
25	are artists from Seattle. And they have

1	worked on this project for about six months.
2	And I'll just go through simply what the
3	project is. The project is a is
4	comprised of kind of three parts. One is a
5	large kind of traditional sign-like
6	structure that I don't know if we have
7	any oops, sorry, went the wrong way.
8	So it's on the corner. It's comprised
9	of a large sculptural element on the top of
10	the garage, a series of brightly painted
11	colored forms that come down on the main
12	face facing the bridge, and then a series of
13	silver lines, which then decompose into
14	musical staffs as it reaches out across the
15	garage.
16	This is just a quick introduction slide.
17	And you can see the light.
18	The project, as I said, there are the
19	three parts that then decompose into music
20	on the face, on the side facing LaVilla.
21	The music staffs will represent Lift Every
22	Voice and Sing a Song. On the side heading
23	to the symphony hall are pieces from the
24	recently composed symphony to Jacksonville,
25	which was unveiled last year.

The colors will, in a way, be kind of like the columns in terms of the multiple stripes. The silver stripes themselves, as they go down the line, will actually be composed of metal pieces which go in between where the spaces are where the openings are so the stripes can be continuous. This is the structure that will be on the roof itself, which the artists hope will also remind us of those traditional structures which used to be all over Jacksonville and all over the United States.

2.2

The piece is composed of heavy-duty plastic, which makes those kind of pink, orange shapes. It is also made of -- sorry, go back -- made of acrylic rods, which then turn and bend out into space and are lit up by LEDs. The entire -- these artists are specialists in using LED computerized lighting systems. And so the piece itself will move and change in many ways, both from the lighting on the sculpture itself and from the painted ribbons as it comes down.

They've learned and done many large-scale projects in Alaska, in San

Francisco, in which by painting the colors and then by changing the color of lights which project on them, you actually get this kind of transformation where, if you shoot red at it, the red disappears and then the greens -- it's backwards, the greens disappear and the reds pop out. So it will actually appear like the painted mural at night is kind of moving as the lights move on the building itself.

2.2

So these are just samples. There will be many different times to -- sequences for the project.

And just as the Director of the program, I'm very pleased to know that they are just finishing a piece in Denver, Colorado, which uses the same technology on the roof, and looks like it's solid and will hold up to our hurricanes, as it holds up to the great winds of the plains. So I'm glad to see that.

So I hope you can endorse this project.

It has been already approved by the Art in

Public Places Committee and Artist Selection

Panel, which reviewed and selected the

1	artists themselves.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
3	Appreciate that.
4	Mr. Schilling, will you start us off,
5	please.
6	MR. TEAL: Mr. Chairman, public
7	comments.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Public comments,
9	yes. Thank you.
10	Do we have any public comments? I don't
11	have a card. We don't.
12	Thank you, Mr. Teal.
13	Mr. Schilling.
14	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: I'll just say
15	that I'm excited to see this. It looks
16	terrific. And I have no questions or
17	comments.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Mr. Loretta.
19	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: It looks amazing.
20	I mean, if it can come close to even looking
21	50 percent as nice as the image is, it will
22	still look amazing. My only comment is
23	hopefully you're utilizing some stainless
24	steel hardware and bolts and stuff like
25	that. And I'm just excited to see this

1	thing come to fruition and hope it looks
2	pretty good in the pictures.
3	MS. GIBSON: It is entirely stainless
4	steel, except we use painted steel on the
5	back that's holding it up. Everything else
6	is stainless.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
8	Mr. Davisson.
9	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Thank you. I
10	look forward to seeing how this is executed.
11	MS. GIBSON: Thanks, Craig. Us too.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Ms. Durden.
13	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I'm excited to see
14	it. I do have one question: I initially
15	thought it would be lit from the inside, but
16	you're saying it's actually going to be lit
17	from lights are going to be projected
18	onto it? Where are the lights going to be
19	located that are going to project onto
20	the
21	MS. GIBSON: So there are lights
22	actually in the sculpture itself, but then
23	the other lights are all the way down at the
24	second floor level, and they shoot up on the
25	colored mural to change the colors of the

1	mural. And it does work, we've seen their
2	other work around the country.
3	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
5	Appreciate that.
6	And I agree, I think this is beautiful
7	and I can't wait to see it come to fruition
8	So no other comments from me.
9	So I would like to make a request for a
10	vote. Do we have a motion to approve?
11	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'll make that
12	motion.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Do we have a second?
14	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Second.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. So we have a
16	motion and second to approve. All those in
17	favor, say aye.
18	COLLECTIVELY: Aye.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: All opposed?
20	Great. Thank you.
21	We're ready to move on to our next
22	action item, DDRB 2019-18, conceptual
23	approval for the Southbank Crossing. Do we
24	have a staff report?
25	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes, we do,

Chairman Lee. DDRB Application 2019-18 is seeking conceptual approval for the redevelopment of two buildings for future restaurant use. The project site is .99 acres and is located in the Southbank Overlay District. The zoning is Commercial Central Business District, and the land use category is Central Business District.

2.2

The site is bordered to the north by

BB's restaurant and Crop Creative Media, to
the south by American Nationwide Mortgage

Company and Dogtopia, to the east and west
by Kings Avenue and Hendricks Avenue,
respectively.

The project is proposing the redevelopment of two existing structures for future restaurant use. The project includes the demolition of 10,000 square feet of Building B as seen in this slide. You can see the area to be demolished. The area cleared will be converted to additional service parking. Landscaping has been provided throughout the site and expanded pedestrian zone along Kings Avenue is proposed, which provides street trees,

street lighting and benches. This will be shown during the applicant's presentation.

2.2

2.4

Based on the foregoing, the Downtown
Development Review Board Staff supports
conceptual approval of DDRB Application
2019-18 with the following recommendations:
Prior to submittal for final review, the
developer shall meet with staff to identify,
if any, deviations sought. At final review
the developer shall provide enough detail so
as to illustrate that the pedestrian zone
meets the definition of such in the
ordinance code, and meets the various
requirements and design amenity features.

At final review the developer shall provide enough detail so as to illustrate that the screening and landscaping of surface parking meets the definition of such in the ordinance code, and meets the square footage and depth required.

Streetlights, benches and street furnishings shall be placed in the amenity area, street furnishings shall be in accordance with the downtown street scape design guidelines.

1	This concludes the staff report. Staff
2	is available for questions. Thank you.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
4	And we have the presenters here. Thank
5	you for coming. I appreciate that. If you
6	could, as you speak, please state your name,
7	spell your name and provide your address.
8	MS. TRIMMER: Cyndy Trimmer, C-y-n-d-y
9	T-r-i-m-m-e-r, One Independent Drive, Suite
10	1200, on behalf of the applicant.
11	MR. ERVIN: Russ Ervin with ELM, at 1035
12	Kings Avenue, here on behalf of the
13	applicant, and neighbor too.
14	MR. BALANKY: Michael Balanky,
15	B-a-l-a-n-k-y, 1478 Riverplace Boulevard,
16	Suite 107. I'm the developer for the
17	project.
18	MR. SKILES: Doug Skiles, S-k-i-l-e-s,
19	44461A Hendricks Avenue. I'm the civil
20	engineer.
21	MS. TRIMMER: So I think everybody
22	that's here today was here when we just came
23	through for the workshop. So I'll try to
24	keep everything focused more on changes that
25	we had from the last time we're here so

2.2

Slide three, we all know the Reddi-Arts project and where we are. Getting through.

So as Lori kind of detailed through, this is what we've got surrounding us, here is the Rinaman properties on the Kings

Avenue side, and the Crop Creative also on that side. And then north of us, BB's,

Dogtopia.

And then focusing in on the site itself, the street frontage that you see along Hendricks, and we talked a lot when we were here last time about -- thank you, this one, we need a pointer that has both in one.

This coming, working our way south down Hendricks, we've got this spot here that's got some utilities that we're looking at, seeing if we have to move them, if not we'll incorporate them in our outdoor seating area. We're going to be modifying this building frontage so that we have more unified frontage and we don't have the pinch point that's created here. We have some more detail on that.

We're going to be working on making a

thorough (inaudible) we're really creating
that dual block feature that we talked about
last time, improving this street scape so
that we actually have buffer between the
building, the parking area and the street
frontage and not just this log on the
street. And then actual screening over here
so that we've got appropriate enclosure for
the dumpster.

2.2

This just details that pinch point that we were talking about and what we're going to be modifying on that front building so we're really opening up Hendricks Avenue and creating an appropriate pedestrian experience there that doesn't exist today.

On the Kings Avenue side, there is absolutely no pedestrian engagement today. And we do understand the desire to maintain the building, and have looked at the pros and cons of what we can do along Kings Avenue.

At this point, looking at the economics of the deal and looking at the site and what's going on north and south on Kings

Avenue and surrounding it, maintaining a new

1 building or redeveloping this building just 2 doesn't work at this time. We have considered it. And like I said, we do 3 understand.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But in order to make the project thrive, we looked at the options of do we want three buildings that are going to be moderately successful or do we want two buildings that we know based on market data and everything that we've studied, we know we can make successful.

So that's what we're coming through with today is the two separate building models that we know we will have the parking for, that the market will support. And if something happens down the line, we can reevaluate the project maybe, but we don't want to put something on the site that we don't believe will be successful.

So with that said, the site plan is largely what we looked at last time, but with a handful of the tweaks that we talked about. So first and foremost, we've added in the speed table that was suggested so we don't turn this into just a major

thoroughfare cut-through for folks to be

speeding back and forth between the two.

We've also incorporated Mr. Schilling's

suggestion to tweak the parking so that it's

interior to here rather than having it along
this area.

2.2

2.4

And is there anything else on site planning we need to go through? I think those were the main changes in terms of the actual site plan itself.

You'll see when we get to the elevations on Kings Avenue, in particular, the dimensions of what we've got for the pedestrian plaza, that we have created this enhanced area. Recognizing we're taking a building away, we're adding parking. So we're doing something more on this side so we're not just leaving this void and having parking butt up against Kings Avenue.

And we've provided different options
that you've seen in there. Option one, when
we get to it, is based on increased feedback
that we've got. And we think we
incorporated and nailed it. You can tell us
if we missed the mark. By and large, the

site plan still shows kind of where the dimensions are and where we hit.

2.2

Hendricks Avenue, I know some of the feedback we got in the staff report was you couldn't tell exactly where things were and what we were hitting. So we'll try to go into more detail in that to make sure we're getting the data that we need. We believe we have the entrances where they need to be, that we're going to hit the 40 percent and everything with landscaping when we come back with the data on all that. But we'll make sure we get that to you so you're comfortable saying we nailed that part.

MR. ERVIN: As we begin to look at this project, or re-look at it, I know everyone saw it during the workshop, but we wanted to reexamine the buildings and the architecture. And look at something that we could preserve a little more, the existing buildings, although not architecturally historic, the bones of some of these buildings, the A building and in particular the B building, we call the B building a warehouse, it truly is a warehouse today.

But has a lot of the bones of that building are so good and we wanted to keep some of the architectural character of that. And so you'll see in the reformatting of these buildings from the workshop, we've stayed a little more true to the Southbank area in terms of the architecture, something akin to the surrounding buildings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so the site plan, Cyndy just described some of the subtle changes, again, they're depicted here on this graphic with parking flip. The only other subtle difference that we made is here where the handicapped space is. By moving it to the end cap, a crosswalk thing can be made here so pedestrians coming down Hendricks can indeed walk across into -- we'd have one front door here on the warehouse building. We have a regiment of front doors and storefronts along Hendricks in that warehouse building where we've simply punched those storefronts into the existing masonry walls, but that's just another subtle change of bringing pedestrians right into our storefronts and to our entryways.

This is an enlargement of the street scape and sidewalk. We do have benches along Hendricks and we've altered them where we have a bench on this side away from the street, then we have a bench out here in the landscape public zone amenity area, and then back again. So they kind of alternate on either side of the sidewalk. And the sidewalk has been enlarged a little bit larger than what is required here. Crosswalk going across. And once again we've brought a bench across to this side and a bench in the amenity area on Hendricks on that side. By the way, the street trees, over the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

By the way, the street trees, over the existing street trees, the two to the south are existing. And I believe we added another street tree in front of Building A in this graphic.

On the Kings Avenue side, something near and dear to us, we re-looked at that sidewalk, and we have two alternatives. One is the concave sidewalk that you saw in the earlier depiction. This sidewalk takes up the same amount of land, if you will, but

rather than a curb solution, this is more of
a sawtooth. And it has more of an
architectural edge to it.

2.2

And what we wanted to do is bring this garden space right into the sidewalk and pierce through these walls and bring out the landscape right up to the pedestrian. And so we've sawtoothed this back, and so the largest sawtooth comes all the way back here announcing an entrance gate, if you will, or gateway, from Kings. And this is then the public pedestrian zone that would link Kings back over to Hendricks.

And we brought some sculpture piece at the entrance too. So what we're thinking about is paying tribute to Reddi-Arts.

They've, obviously, been a neighbor here for quite some time. And so this plaza really could pay tribute to their arts and what they've done for the community. And we can do that through sculpture.

So that's the back side enhancements or changes since the workshop.

The architecture, this is the -- as we look at both buildings from Hendricks to the

north, Building A is the smaller frontage,
you see there. And then the warehouse
building to the south here in the roadway
going in between. This is the landscape
along the frontage that we have.

2.2

Building A most likely is going to be a restaurant space on this end cap. It is set up, it could be multi-tenant. It may end up just being the one restaurant. The market will tell us. But we've set it up where we've pulled back the face of the building from Hendricks. That gives us a much larger public zone. And with that public zone, we can bring out cafe tables and have cafe chairs and tables on Hendricks here. Main entrance would be announced here on the corner, and so you can come through the front door on that side.

On the north side, which is terrific,
we'll have lots of shade being in that
position. And this is a candidate for
outdoor seating for the restaurant. We kind
of perceive either roll-up doors or folding
windows, walls that would spill right out
onto that patio space.

Building B, the warehouse building, we kept the gable. And so this is the ridge of the gable. We took off the ventilating tops at the ridge. We would reroof that gable.

2.2

On the back side we would need a platform, a flat platform, for mechanical units. And so with that, the shape of the building then went from a gable to a gable with flat ends on either side forming the new architecture. And then, of course, we brought in storefront glass along the frontage for a shops. This could set up to be as many as five shops along that frontage. More likely it would be possibly another restaurant and maybe one or two shops that could go with it.

This is the north elevation. As you can see the gable roof, we're maintaining. On the back side we'll have a mechanical shelf here. So we just match that same profile on the other side and it forms this new shape and new look for that warehouse building. A service door down here to the east. And then again another outdoor area for outdoor seating and dining with a garden wall

1 alongside it.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 This is a south elevation of Building A. Hendricks is over on this side with the 3 walkway. Again, the announcement of a main entrance there. Most likely all restaurant along here. It too could be multi-tenant, 7 and there could be another tenant down here, 8 or it could be all one big restaurant.

> And this is the Kings Avenue side of Building A. This is an aerial perspective. And so you can see the public edge along here, the street lighting. We've brought the front of the building back, creating larger zones here, outdoor dining space there. Crosswalk that comes over and leads you to the front door at this corner. Storefront glass added to the warehouse building. Then outside dining there. Parking along the front here, parallel parking.

Primarily we can use this parallel parking for valet service here. We see -especially if there ends up with two restaurants, quality, we're thinking, valet parking becomes a must at this site. And so these front parallel spaces end up being
just terrific for parallel spaces and for
valet. This is as you look from the east -or west, I'm sorry, looking east.

2.2

2.4

This is from Hendricks coming up

Hendricks, driving north. Again, you can
see the landscape zone here, and how we've
switched the benches back and forth going
through there. Again, another shot from the
south. And this is our northern building.

And once again, the public zone here.

We brought out a site wall here, which is additional screening for this parking, but it also creates a great bench or zone here that we can pull the bench across, and people can sit and kind of watch the world go by, if you will. And then another bench on the other side there.

That's again from Hendricks, the other corner of the building and the outside dining space, a closer end version of the warehouse building.

Now we roll around to the Kings Avenue side. And this is the zone that we're talking about a sawtooth wall that goes

along Kings that steps back with each sawtooth, but we interrupt the wall rather than one continuous wall, and we pierce it with oak trees or shade trees and landscape so we can bring that landscape element right out to the walkway space. Benches and bike racks, those sort of things can be then rested behind those walls. And then creating a pedestrian per tall (ph), if you will, that leads you in and down to Hendricks from this space.

2.2

We again flipped the parking here, so that creates a nice landscape zone there.

And it disguises the parking and the cars on the other side. Here is a sculpture piece that's being insinuated here. And we see this as a candidate to pay tribute to Reddi-Arts, could be a placard or something of that there.

This is another view of that same position. This is the Rinaman building right next door. And then again a site wall, landscape trees, trees piercing these walls. And it begins a garden walk along Kings Avenue.

This was the old version, we just brought it for reference, but wanted to show what was presented before. And then this is the new version next to it. Wanted to point out -- let me back up for a second.

2.2

We have not -- we have shown solid

fencing in terms of these site walls, which

also pick up the architecture of the

buildings, what we have not shown is a metal

fence that goes with that. We didn't show

it here and we didn't show it on Hendricks

either. The thinking was we really want to

accentuate the landscape, and let the

landscape and the interruption of the walls,

let that play together and be the buffer for

the cars and for the parking area. We

certainly could bring a metal fence in

behind that.

On the case of Kings Avenue, I'll play owner across the street for a second. As a concerned property owner and not Mike's consultant, I personally wouldn't want to see the fence. We certainly can put it there. We don't see that as an additive feature to the architecture or to the

design, but, anyway, wanted to point that out.

2.2

And it felt a little bit -- we certainly could add it on Hendricks, we can put it right behind that hedge. Just our own personal opinion, it just didn't add to the esthetics, particularly in this case. But certainly could be added if that's something the Board truly wants to see.

Did I miss anything?

MS. TRIMMER: Nope, I think that was it.

We do want to specifically hear from you on those fencing and screening elements, particularly the Hendricks hedge, doing the enhanced hedge without a fence or without a wall, and the fencing and eliminating the fencing on the Kings side. We understand that might require an actual deviation to eliminate the fence, but that is something that's required because it's considered part of screening surface parking lots. I don't know how strongly everybody feels about the fact that we have to have the fencing, but I would like to incorporate that into the conversation today, please.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Is that it
2	for the presentation?
3	MS. TRIMMER: Yes.
4	MR. ERVIN: Thank you.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: We have a speaker.
6	If we could have Joe Carlucci please come
7	up.
8	MR. CARLUCCI: I'm going to be brief,
9	but I'll sit down so I can read my notes.
10	Joe Carlucci 1251 Mundy Drive, Jacksonville,
11	Florida 32207. I'm here on behalf of the
12	San Marco Merchants Association. I'm the
13	President of that business group there in
14	San Marco.
15	We just wanted to come real quick and
16	add our support to this project. I know you
17	guys kind of hinted towards that in your
18	earlier meeting. We think it will add foot
19	traffic to this area. It is definitely
20	esthetically pleasing. Refreshes both the
21	Hendricks side and the Kings Avenue side; we
22	love that. We've got members both on Kings
23	Avenue and Hendricks all along. We like the
24	fact that it connects Kings and Hendricks,
25	that's phenomenal. We really like the way

1	they did that.
2	And it worked really well with the
3	organizations and the community, being our
4	group and preservation. So anyways, that's
5	it. We just wanted to come here and support
6	them for that.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
8	Mr. Carlucci. Appreciate that.
9	That's the only card I had, so we'll
10	close the public comment section and move on
11	to the board comment section.
12	And, Ms. Durden, would you mind starting
13	us off?
14	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Certainly. Well,
15	I'll just start out saying it looks even
16	better than it did last time. I really like
17	it. I want to also voice that I like option
18	one, so that I think you were proposing one
19	or the other. And I just wanted I would
20	prefer option one.
21	I'd like to hear a little bit more maybe
22	from staff about the screening and
23	because I do actually like option one, and
24	whether or not that would require a
25	deviation. So if I could hear a little bit

1	about	that.

2.2

The other thing that I just want to mention is the -- if there was something that you could convince Mr. Rinaman to do with his wall, I think that would be really something that, again, would honor the Reddi-Arts history of the site.

I'd like to see -- I know that you mentioned -- Russ, you mentioned some sculpture on the Kings Avenue side. But what about is there any chance for something, you know, kind of again to recognize the longstanding Reddi-Arts on the Hendricks side? Is there something that could be done along that side also?

Other than that, I think you've done a great job. I want to congratulate you on the pedestrian zone. You know, that's kind of my column, or silo, or whatever I've been assigned. You've heard me talk about that a lot. I think that on both road frontages you've done a really nice job. And that's really all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. BALANKY: Thanks, Brenna, appreciate your comments. We're here to learn as much

1	as anything else. We've tried to surround
2	ourselves with some very, very talented
3	people, as you can see, who understand what
4	we're trying to accomplish here today. I
5	think they really hit the mark. Couple of
6	things as far as and thanks on that
7	option one because I just really think
8	that's a beautiful addition for Kings
9	Avenue. And living in the neighborhood
10	myself and having Russ across the street
11	looking at it every day, I'm trying to make
12	him as happy as I can too, so that's
13	important, right. But we really think it's
14	great design, we really do. And we think it
15	would be well received.
16	We have reached out to Jim Rinaman. And
17	verv pleasantly I shouldn't say

We have reached out to Jim Rinaman. And very pleasantly -- I shouldn't say surprised. I was very happy with the response I got from him. He was totally wide open. He's excited about what we're doing. And I'm -- I'd rather under-promise what I'm going to deliver. So I'm not going to make any commitments today, but he and I had conversations and I think everybody can get real excited about, but I can't speak

for him. I can just tell you he liked what we have in mind for that area; and he thinks it's going to be additive; and he knows it's going to enhance his property values as well.

Russ made the comment a month or so ago when we were standing on Kings Avenue looking at it and when we talked about how can we really do something special on the Kings Avenue side. Russ made the comment that, you know, it's not going to be too far in the future where Kings Avenue is going to probably be more retail activated than even Hendricks is, particularly once the district gets going and so forth and so on. So we think it's a good investment for the future to do some really cool stuff there.

And as far as the Reddi-Arts, I mean, they've been there 60 years. And Bruce and Gwen Meiselman are just the nicest people. So we had a meeting with them this morning, matter of fact. We're going to do some things to honor them and that heritage that I think everybody will be real excited about.

2.2

1	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Yeah. I want to
2	clarify for anybody that may be listening,
3	that suggestion was certainly not within the
4	realm of what DDRB can
5	MR. BALANKY: We understand.
6	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: It's just that,
7	when you look at it, it's just begging for
8	something. So
9	MR. BALANKY: I think it's an obvious
10	suggestion. I think it's a good suggestion.
11	And it's definitely one we're going to do
12	something with. We think you're 100 percent
13	spot on with that comment.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
15	Mr. Davisson.
16	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: I really like
17	how the project has developed since
18	conceptual. And just on your option one I
19	think what you've done is great. I think
20	that it's obvious there is more money and
21	there is more design in that option than
22	what's in our design guidelines. So I'm all
23	for what they've done. And I would like
24	I wish I could see more of it in
25	Jacksonville.

1	I think this project, though, is just
2	I just want to behold it because this
3	project, even though it's not monumental,
4	it's a project that's greater than the sum
5	of its parts. And, you know, there are
6	things that we can see in downtown, and I
7	just want to pick this project by just the
8	gesture that it's made and how it's working
9	with King Street. And I can visualize the
10	activity. And it's going to be some major
11	changes with the hotel, tied to the new
12	apartments. And I can just see this whole
13	area really becoming active. And I just
14	want to compliment you on that.
15	MR. BALANKY: Thank you, sir.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
17	Mr. Davisson.
18	Mr. Loretta.
19	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: If we could, I
20	would love to see a final, a survey, as well
21	as like a demo plan. One of the things that
22	really hasn't been talked about that we
23	talked a little bit about today, if I'm not
24	mistaken, we're cutting on back what's
25	called Building A on Hendricks; is that

1	correct? Are we also cutting back on
2	Building A on the north property line too?
3	MR. BALANKY: No.
4	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: No, okay. So
5	really the only cut is on one edge, because
6	I know there is concern on with some
7	folks in regards to, you know, retrofit,
8	this, that and the other, and if we're going
9	to be cutting into the building so much,
10	then what's the difference between tearing
11	down and starting over. And then coming
12	better to meeting code and so forth. So at
13	least we're only cutting on the western
14	edge. So that's good to hear.
15	If I may, Ms. Radcliffe-Meyers, what is
16	the dimension that our code has from the
17	back of curb for the parallel spaces to the
18	sidewalk for landscape? Is it five feet?
19	Is it five feet?
20	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yeah. Excuse me,
21	Board Member Loretta, through the Chair,
22	you're correct, yes.
23	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: And so, you know,
24	in the engineering plan, we're showing five
25	feet. The sketches currently, I think, you

probably reduce it a little bit. So you guys probably need to get that vetted out a little bit. So the reason why -- you know, I'm a landscape architect. The reason why I sometimes like the walls and fencing is because half the time the planting material doesn't look good and dies. So it would be great if we had a nice four feet clean hedge across this whole front and back, but the reality of that is like less than 25 percent chance of success, I would guess. I mean, it's just so low.

2.2

And so, you know, so I think that the fencing in between the walls and the back, they don't bother me as much, because that's more area for planting to grow and so forth. But really, I mean, there should be some wall hardscape element, I believe, in front of Building A, in front of those three parallel parking spaces within that five-foot section. It's not currently shown. And that's really the code criteria, that it completely be not conforming to.

And I don't know if that's something that we should completely give waivers on.

1	I'm flexible to allow some flexibility
2	like you have in the back with option one,
3	which is, I think, everybody's preference.
4	But I would like to see some assemblance of
5	something, you know. I don't know if it
6	needs to be a wall across the whole thing,
7	all sorts of stuff, but a little bit of 1
8	mean, you kind of have a white wall off
9	Building A and then we just stop it there,
10	you know.
11	MS. TRIMMER: Joe, would you be open to
12	a something like we did on the Kings side
13	where we have kind of a broken wall hedge
14	alternate?
15	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yeah, yeah. I'm
16	saying I'm open to some flexibility. I
17	just, you know, think it's almost like it's
18	almost not tied together because you have it
19	everywhere else, you just don't have it
20	here. So doing that would create that.
21	MR. ERVIN: Something akin to this
22	picture?
23	MR. BALANKY: We can do that,
24	absolutely.
25	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: Yeah. You know,

1	some folks want everything brought to the
2	front. And I mean, I understand that. But
3	we also have individual parcels that we have
4	to deal with, and so I feel like you did a
5	great job from a pedestrian and street scape
6	perspective. This conforms with code, but
7	does a good job with it. So thank you.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
9	Mr. Schilling.
10	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Yes. Thank you
11	very much. This it is clear this has
12	developed greatly since we saw it last. And
13	thank you for coming and doing the workshop
14	with us, because I think that was super
15	helpful. I want to thank you for
16	incorporating a couple of the suggestions I
17	had, the table crosswalk, I think I'm
18	glad to see that you can make that work. I
19	think it's going to be really helpful. I
20	think moving those parking spaces so you
21	have fewer people backing up into the
22	through road, or the drive aisle, I think,
23	is going to be helpful. I think it looks
24	great.

The couple things on Kings, I'll echo

what all my fellow board members have said,

I think option one looks great. I fully

support that, fully support that with the

fence.

2.2

So on the Hendricks side, I guess the one question and the thought I had as I was looking at it is right now, as shown in the rendering, I think it looks terrific. But I recognize that that's the view of the hedge as it's grown in. And just a thought I had, and I was going to throw out and see if

Mr. Loretta, this may help you, is maybe if the applicant would agree to more -- placing in more mature landscaping that's larger, to have it be more developed when it goes in and have less grow-in time. So I was just going to throw that out as an idea. But I think it looks great. Thank you.

MR. BALANKY: Thanks for the feedback,
Bill. Two things: Number one, we're going
to put in some nice landscaping there. San
Marco place doesn't look great now because
we've been on the River Road diet now for
the last 12 years. It looked fantastic and
we've been able to maintain it. We had to

1	make some changes with the landscape
2	companies to get the look and sustainability
3	we wanted there, but we're real big on to
4	your point, most places don't get it done.
5	I think that's what separates the good from
6	the bad is the people that stay on top of
7	it. So we're going to put some nice, mature
8	landscaping in from the very beginning.
9	It's going to be expensive retail for
10	the restaurants there, and they're going to
11	expect some really high quality stuff. And
12	like I said, I'm going to be driving by it
13	five, six times a day. My mom and dad are
14	going to be driving by five or six times a
15	day, so I'm going to hear a lot about it.
16	And from my neighbor back here.
17	But one of the best things we did was
18	flip that parking. That was a great
19	suggestion, and it is safer for pedestrians.
20	It is going to be a lot more attractive. So
21	we really appreciate that feedback.
22	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Thank you.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
24	Mr. Schilling. Appreciate that.
25	I think it's a really beautiful project.

1	It's come a long way. I think the
2	architecture is wonderful. It elevates the
3	nature and character of San Marco. So I
4	appreciate the hard work that went into
5	this.
6	Option one is materially better than the
7	first concept, so I think that's a real
8	winner. I would support no black fencing as
9	a part of option one. And I agree
10	absolutely with Mr. Schilling and
11	Mr. Loretta about breaking up that front
12	hedge and then kind of not having a black
13	fence there either and just letting the
14	landscape and that break up handle the
15	requirement. That would be my preference,
16	and what appears to be the preference of the
17	Board.
18	So that's all the comments I have.
19	Thank you for the hard work. It's great to
20	see this much work go into conceptual. I
21	wish we had this at every conceptual. Thank
22	you.
23	MR. ERVIN: Could I make one comment
24	to on Building A, he's talking about the
25	exterior, we have not done complete forensic

1	investigation on the structure of that
2	building. We're going to try to preserve
3	everything we can, obviously, and try to
4	reuse it and repurpose it. We know we're
5	pulling the front wall back. Rear wall, we
6	don't know enough about it structurally
7	whether it needs to be a new wall or we can
8	reinforce what's there. But we're going to
9	try to save as much as we can.
10	MR. BALANKY: We haven't been able to
11	get into it because they have an ongoing
12	business. They didn't want us going in and
13	disrupting their employees.
14	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'm going to just
15	go with we're keeping the rear wall because
16	it's just going to make everybody feel
17	better.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. So do we
19	have a motion to approve DDRB 2019-18 with
20	the recommendations of staff?
21	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: So moved.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. We have a
23	motion to approve by Ms. Duren.
24	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Second.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Second by

1	Mr. Davisson. All those in favor, say aye.
2	COLLECTIVELY: Aye.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Opposed? Motion
4	carries. Thank you.
5	MR. BALANKY: Thank you so much. We
6	appreciate it.
7	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Mr. Chairman, I
8	need to state for the record, for the next
9	item that's coming up, the JEA Headquarters
10	Building, I need to let everyone know that I
11	have a conflict on this item. Kimley-Horn,
12	the firm that I work with, is providing
13	professional services on this project. And
14	so I'm not going to be voting on this item.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you,
16	Mr. Schilling.
17	This brings up
18	MS. POLLOCK: If I may respond to that,
19	do you have I think it's a form B, form
20	nine form that you Karen has those. So
21	you got that? You've done that before?
22	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Yes, ma'am.
23	Thank you.
24	MS. POLLOCK: Thank you.
25	Thanks, Karen.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So our final agenda
2	item under action items is DDRB 2019-12,
3	final approval for the JEA Headquarters.
4	And do we have a staff report?
5	MS. RADCLIFFE-MEYERS: Yes, we do,
6	Chairman.
7	DDRB Application 2019-12 is seeking
8	final approval for the JEA Headquarters.
9	The project site is 1.52 acres and is
10	located in the Central Core Overlay
11	District. The zoning is Commercial Central
12	Business District, and the land use category
13	is Cental Business District.
14	The JEA Headquarters received conceptual
15	approval September 19th, 2019. The site is
16	bordered to the north and south by Monroe
17	Street and West Adams Street, respectively;
18	and bordered to the east and west by Julia
19	Street and Pearl Street, respectively. The
20	project is proposing a nine-story office
21	building and a nine-story parking deck that
22	will serve as the JEA Headquarters. Project
23	proposes 7,100 square feet of dedicated
24	retail space on the ground floor of the
25	parking deck along Julia Street.

1	Colored screening set in a diagonal
2	pattern helps to screen the interior of the
3	garage and adds visual interest to the
4	exterior. The color pallet used picks up on
5	the glue-gray glazing used on the main
6	building. This helps create a cohesive look
7	and feel between the two buildings.
8	Based on the foregoing, the Downtown
9	Development Review Board Staff supports
10	final approval of DDRB Application 2019-12
11	with the following recommendations:
12	Pedestrian zone paving shall follow the
13	business interdistrict design contained in
14	the downtown street scape design, and that
15	documentation will be provided that shows
16	that the 40 percent shade requirement has
17	been met at time of permitting.
18	This concludes the staff report. Staff
19	is available for questions. Thank you.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
21	Ms. Radcliffe-Meyers. Appreciate that. Is
22	there any public comment? I didn't get a
23	speaker card.
24	So welcome back, Ms. Trimmer. If you
25	could please announce your name, spell it

Τ	and provide your address.
2	MS. TRIMMER: Cyndy Trimmer, C-y-n-d-y
3	T-r-i-m-m-e-r, attorney for the applicant.
4	MR. JAY: Jon Jay, J-o-n J-a-y,
5	architect for Ryan Companies, address 201
6	North Tampa Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.
7	MR. MULLIS: How are you doing? Good
8	afternoon. Mike Mullis, with Kimley-Horn,
9	landscape architecture, address is 805
10	Nottage Hill Street, St. Johns County,
11	32259.
12	MR. HARRYMAN: Mike Harryman, with Ryan
13	Companies, Mike, M-i-k-e, Harryman,
14	H-a-r-r-y-m-a-n, address, 111 East Grand
15	Street or Grand Avenue, Suite 200 Des
16	Moines, Iowa, 50309.
17	MS. TRIMMER: Thank you. So as last
18	time we've been here twice before, so
19	we'll try to keep a focus on the changes and
20	what we've got in store that you have not
21	seen yet. So shifting through where we are
22	today, the site plan is largely what you
23	have seen in the past, handful of small
24	changes. We have updated the street scape
25	to make it more urban based on feedback that

1	we received from Mr. Loretta and Mr. Parola.
2	So you'll see more hardscape incorporated.
3	We have some elevated planters in place of
4	bollards that had been on the plan before.
5	You're going to notice the biggest
6	difference is we mentioned previously that
7	we had engaged folks from RFP to do space
8	planning and identified that we needed some
9	more square footage that we didn't have. So
10	there is additional building here. It is
11	one story.
12	So from the outside of the building and
13	all the elevations that you've seen to date,
14	there is not going to be any visual change
15	to those. But the internal courtyard that
16	had been here before is now going to be
17	planned office space.
18	Any other site changes we want to talk
19	about?
20	MR. MULLIS: That one-story addition
21	will have a rooftop terrace with egress
22	stairs, open air egress stairs, on either
23	side.
24	MS. TRIMMER: Thanks for putting in a

bigger one for us.

1	Just focusing in on each of the
2	elevations, do you want to run through
3	these?
4	MR. MULLIS: Sure. And I'll skip
5	through relative to the landscape. For the
6	record, this is the most powerful laser I've
7	ever seen.
8	So starting on the northwest corner
9	here, there are some existing live oaks that
10	will be maintained. And in general the
11	plantings for the site are just a mixture of
12	the existing plant materials, which are live
13	oaks at this intersection and at the
14	northeast. And it goes back one, if you
15	don't mind. Oh, boy.
16	MS. TRIMMER: Maybe it does.
17	MR. MULLIS: There you go. And so you
18	can barely see them with the imagery here,
19	but there are some existing live oaks that
20	will be maintained here, as well as on this
21	corner. The other plantings is basically a
22	mixture of evergreen shrub materials. There
23	are some grasses and ground covers in some
24	of the areas for the perennials. So
25	relative to the street scape, we worked in

collaboration with Jon here. And Jon can walk you through what they proposed.

2.2

MR. JAY: So I'm going to skip -- so we're still maintaining the pocket parks on both the north side and the south side. We will have a green wall along this wall, the back wall, of the pocket park with an entrance into the stair, mainly just exit out of the stairwell.

We still have the loading dock and some store frontage that will be primarily access into the fire pump room and escape egress out of the building. We have program space of multipurpose space conference rooms and other programmatic rooms. We still maintain the two parking spaces along Monroe Street.

Flipping to the northeast corner, we have the entrance going into the center of the parking garage. And then some -- the existing trees in a surface planting area here. On Julia Street, we have two recessed entrances going into Julia, into the active use space on Julia Street. This is one of them. We've incorporated a larger planting area that extends out into the parking area

of Julia Street. So we placed one spot, one space with a larger parking -- with a larger landscape area.

2.2

We have the stairwell to the parking garage in the northeast corner with an entrance/exit point on the north side. So it will be all storefront along the east side of the building along Julia Street.

We've also, since the last meeting, removed this little additional storage room, kind of programmatic room here, so that's gone.

It's all active use space which is still being programmed.

Switching down, I think I'll just skip ahead, yeah. So go down to the southeast corner of the property. We have the second recessed entrance into the active use space, the active use space, I think, is 38 feet.

I can't read that. It's 38 feet wide so plenty of depth there for whatever programmatic use it's going to be.

We have a series of raised planters along Julia Street with five feet separation between the glazing and the back of the planter, raised planter.

Going to the south along Adams Street,
we have the three parking spaces here with
planters, outside wrapped with a three-foot
wide kind of amenity area, a series of
benches and light poles.

2.2

2.4

We have the entrance going into the parking garage here with another entrance going into the second, or the main stair and elevator lobby for the park garage.

Continuing over west we have the south open urban space pocket park here. Again, this wall would be a green wall with a door going into the stairwell. And then we have a series of a continuous raised planter bed here with two new trees and planters wrapping around the entrance.

During our last workshop meeting, we had a discussion about these inlet drains. We have kind of created these flumes that direct the water back into the drain area inlets with a planter right out in front of them on the -- on Adams street and Pearl Street. So there are existing utilities in this area here that we can't relocate the -- those inlets out further into the street.

We have -- along Pearl Street we have bike parking here and then we have -- back over here we have an accessible ramp going up into the lobby through this vestibule here. And then we have a series of stairs here that will take you into the lobby as well. Those stairs will sit outside or inside of the right of way property line.

2.2

MR. MULLIS: You did a great job with explaining it. This is just a closer view. This is the actual planting plan that's been prepared to date. So taking advantage of the pavers that have been added at the four intersections -- maybe back up just one. So there are the paver detail for this are at the four intersections, which is really nice. We have the banding that is around the perimeter as well.

And the amenity zone, as Jon mentioned, there is a variety of -- not a variety, but there are benches, the double acorn light, as well as these really cool raised planters, which are a corten custom planter. So these are unique, really special. It's going to really provide a sense of place

1	there that I think is unique.
2	The main corridor is around the
3	building, there was some discussion about
4	keeping Pearl Street activated as far as the
5	main corridor. And this design does a great
6	job with that, especially with the main
7	entrance there on Adams and Pearl there.
8	So, again, from a pure planting
9	standpoint, it was very simple and
10	straightforward. We have existing trees,
11	grasses, some evergreen shrubs. And then
12	for the new proposed trees, we're proposing
13	the elms around the perimeter. So, yep,
14	that's that covers the yep.
15	MR. JAY: So skipping to the elevations,
16	so this is the elevation along Pearl Street.
17	You have the raised entrance going into the
18	lobby here on the southeast corner of the
19	building. And then the white glazing you
20	see here is a recessed glazing system that
21	provides balcony spaces on every other floor
22	and then starts the terrace along the ninth
23	floor.
24	Here is the elevation along Adams

Street. So on the west side you have the

recessed entrance again and then the storefront into -- going into the lobby area, the pocket park separating the two buildings, and then you can see how the wall between the buildings just got a little bit taller, probably five feet taller. So now that's a one-story addition between the two. So employees from JEA can park in the parking garage, come down to the second floor, cross over the roof terrace and go directly into the building.

2.2

We continue over to the parking garage, we have the vertical stair tower here, entrance into the parking garage and just store frontage starting about right where that car is. All of this would be glazed storefront system with active use space behind it.

And this elevation here, we have done some studies for different color schemes we've come up with for the screening system. I have a set of samples over there on the table. They're a 40 percent opening metal panel system that will be in a frame. And then we'll have a diagonal pattern to them,

give the facade just a little bit of activation.

2.2

The frame system itself will stick off of the building six or eight inches to give some depth to the -- watch out for the corners, the corners are probably a little sharp, so, sorry.

So here is a section through the -- what used to be the courtyard, through that one-story addition. And you can see how there are the open air stairs on either side with the pocket park.

And then we'll get into materiality.

MR. HARRYMAN: We have two glazing systems or glazing colors. The first one is the clear glazing and then the second one is the blueish-gray glazing. The blueish-gray glazing will pick up more of the blues from the sky and give the JEA building a nice blue appearance.

The metal panel system here is the metal panels that will wrap the -- two-thirds of the building, the partial south side, the full east side, and then partial north side.

And then we have the white metal fins in

1 this black metal.

2.2

The rooftop penthouse would be screened, have parapet walls that would be in this metal panel system here. The steel columns at the entrance and the terrace that are exposed, they'll either be painted in an intumescent iron, or color paint, or wrapped in a metal cladding, a study we have to continue.

And then the curtain wall system itself would have this gray color, anodized aluminum color. And then wherever we have soffits on the building, the soffits would be this aluminum wood grain texture that I will go into -- let's see. I'm trying to see if I have a -- so all of this would be the wood grain aluminum soffit. So very nice earthy kind of feel to the building in these areas. And that also occurs on the roof terrace up here in the top.

So we'll go back to materials. So here again, here is the curtain wall system. One that's a clear glazing with a -- with this gray mullion system, then glazing system type two, which is the blue glazing with the

1	white fins. Then the metal panel in this
2	gray color. And then here is the screening
3	system for the parking garage, you can see
4	how in this image right here we have the
5	diagonal pattern to the colors, and then
6	it's in a frame that's recessed or
7	elevated off the building.
8	We have the canopies that will also be
9	in this dark gray iron oar color to match
10	the steel columns.
11	Here in plan you can see the entry,
12	these exposed steel columns, the courtyard,
13	the pocket parks on either side.
14	And then going up to the eighth floor we
15	have a double height roof terrace here with
16	a stadium stair going up to a single-story
17	roof terrace that will wrap around to the
18	front of the building.
19	Parking garage with the active use
20	space. I think we've got a 19-foot-6-wide
21	drive aisle that we discussed in the
22	workshop.
23	And then here are the renderings. So
24	you can see the raised planter beds that act
25	as vegetation and security for for

1	security from entering the building with a
2	vehicle, unfortunately.
3	And then we have balconies on every
4	other floor.
5	Here is a picture of the corner entrance
6	on Adams and Pearl, the raised planters, the
7	steel columns, the recessed curtain wall
8	system, the exposed aluminum wood textured
9	soffits, the metal panel system for this
10	portion of the wall, and the awnings going
11	back towards Julia Street.
12	Here is a picture of the pocket park.
13	Solid wall, on the other side of the wall is
14	the stair, some seating and then raised
15	planters.
16	Here is an image of the parking garage
17	at the Adams Street and Julia Street corner.
18	So street level you have a raised planter
19	with some storefront along Adams Street and
20	Julia Street here at the corner. The
21	multicolored perforated screen panel systems
22	on both Adams Street and Julia Street.
23	Here is a picture of the active use
24	space along Julia Street, so the recessed
25	openings, the raised planters with a

1	five-foot pedestrian clear space between the
2	building and the planter, a larger planting
3	area that sticks out into the street.
4	And then here is the corner of Monroe
5	and Julia Street. So stair tower, entrance
6	into the stair tower will be off of Monroe.
7	And then we also have the multicolored
8	perforated panel system on Monroe as well.
9	And we've also included some raised planters
10	along Monroe to provide some green space
11	along Monroe. And that is it. Any
12	questions?
13	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you.
14	Appreciate that.
15	I'll open it up again to public comment.
16	I don't have a speaker card for public
17	comment. So we'll close that section and
18	move on to board comments.
19	And, Mr. Schilling, would you mind
20	starting us off?
21	BOARD MEMBER SCHILLING: Sure. Just a
22	couple of thoughts. And similarly, I can
23	definitely see the progression of the plan
24	from when y'all were here last. And I know
25	you incorporated a couple comments that I

made. I like the pocket parks. I know one of the ideas was maybe bring the benches out to wrap them around to bring some interest. And I see rather than doing that you all pulled the planter out a little bit so the people up and down the street could see something there. And I think that's a really good idea.

2.2

In the grand scheme of things, I really like the way the building has been set facing looking over the courthouse, basically the courthouse plaza. I think it looks really good. I also know that -- and it looks like we're still there. I know that the team has made tremendous efforts and strides in seeking this approval without any deviations. And it looks like you've made it. Congratulations on that. And it looks terrific. Thank you very much.

BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I would argue that I think they're at a definite stretch on the landscape. I don't really believe they meet the landscape code, but there is enough of a work effort to get close enough, to me, but I think it probably should have

1	been done as a deviation on the landscape.
2	The landscape planters, I feel like this
3	may be the first time I saw on the back,
4	which is Julia Street, the landscape plan
5	show the planters are 18 inches tall, the
6	sketches show them probably 30 inches tall.
7	Which one is correct?
8	MR. JAY: I would say they need to serve
9	a security purpose, so they'll be more
10	they'll be around 30 inches tall.
11	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I don't know
12	again, I don't think I ever saw that on
13	Julia Street. It's tough because it really
14	pinches that walkway down to five and a half
15	feet, which I guess meets code. Anyway, I'm
16	not going to get too much into that, but I
17	feel as though I kind of wish those weren't
18	3 feet or 30-inch tall planters back
19	there on Julia Street.
20	If you go to page 49, that's really the
21	page I want to talk about anyway. So, Bill,
22	I'll ask Bill back too, we're using I
23	continue to talk about these dang pedestriar
24	ADA crosswalks. I just looked up on DOT

standards. You guys are using CRE DOT ADA

accessible walk. I just don't understand why you don't use CRA DOT accessible walk, which is what is everywhere else in downtown Jacksonville. It can be done with brick. Ι mean, it just can look better versus like this directional walkway. The CRA has basically the flared sides and not the directional walkway.

2.2

And it just -- I mean, I just -- I continue to be bothered by that. I think that can be changed. And I think you should be able to make that happen. It frustrates me.

But I guess I love everything. This
picture right here, you know, when I saw the
new colors, I don't know that I actually
like the colors more than the gray, quite
frankly. But this picture right here
concerned me because we have this -- like,
now we have this nine-degree turn with
nothing. And it would sure be nice even if
the steps wouldn't be necessary to just put
another stairwell right there in that corner
to give this corner some treatment. I can't
imagine it would be that big of an impact to

1 the budget on this whole thing. But it just 2 seems like it's really -- this portion right 3 here, when I looked at it last week, I'm like, whoa it just really -- especially with 4 5 the colors, it just seems like it's lacking 6 big time. 7 And I'd sure love you all to say, maybe 8 we can put a stairwell in, and truly just 9 mirror the other side. I mean, you don't 10 need to do too much different. And it would 11 be such a -- to me, it would really frame that whole side down. 12 13 So that's kind of -- I'm -- I mean, I'm 14 not a big fan of Julia Street having a 15 30-inch planter, but it is what it is at 16 this point. I would like -- we can even ask 17 your engineer who is back there if he 18 understands what I'm saying with the CRA, if 19 we would definitely use that.

I would like to make that a recommendation. And I would sure love to hear from the client if they're willing to put a stairwell to give this corner a little bit better architectural design. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 Mr. Loretta.

2.2

2 Mr. Davisson.

BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: I think you've addressed much of the comments we had last time. And I want to just kind of piggyback, the one area that I made comment on was the corner of Julia Street. And I haven't seen that go anywhere. That's an important corner. And there is a redundancy to that perspective that — there is a redundancy to that perspective with those panels, I think, could be helped. Even how you treat it at the street.

And, also, the things that I've seen on this one that I think are going backwards are the planters. You've done a lot -- you've done a lot with the landscape, but then you turn right around, and I'm seeing something, and I understand the whole issue of vehicle intrusion, just like the federal courthouse is next to it. But there are other ways to deal with it, because those planters, after you've done all this landscape, these planters look like you've turned it into a fortress. And that's not

1	what you want it to look like. You may want
2	it to function that way, but that's what it
3	looks like.
4	Other than that, I think those are my
5	main comments.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
7	Mr. Davisson. Appreciate that.
8	Ms. Durden.
9	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Thank you. I want
10	to also say that it looks like it's come a
11	very, very long way.
12	In regards I think I was I had
13	mentioned that corner of Adams and Julia. I
14	was really worried about it, what it was
15	going to look like, and it is kind of you
16	know, when you compare page 49 to page 51,
17	which is the corner of Julia and Monroe,
18	it's very easy to see how Mr. Loretta's idea
19	of a stairwell there or some kind of feature
20	there really does a tremendous, you know
21	or has a tremendous impact on the way that
22	it looks. I'd like to see something a
23	little bit different there. I think it
24	would be important.

On the issue of the security and the

planters, you may have been here when I --when we were talking about the very first project today, and my concerns about the pedestrian zone there in the sports --adjacent to the arena. When you look at page 47, if you would -- and by the way, whoever numbered the pages, thank you, thank you very, very much. It's so much easier to talk to you.

MS. TRIMMER: You're welcome.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: I see that you have the bollards. If you see -- see these security bollards, I guess, is what these would be. And yet you also still have the planters. So -- and yet, when I -- so I'm just -- and I gather that that is around the office building portion.

So it leads me to wonder, you know,
we've got both there, is that necessary? Is
that something that you feel is needed? I
would wonder if that could have an impact in
regards to what Mr. Loretta was speaking to.

You know, I do worry about taking up a lot of space in the pedestrian zone with the width and the size. They are kind of, you

1 know, overwhelming in size. So that might
2 be something that you could rethink about
3 how to have that.

2.2

And the other thing is that, you know, the way that they're spaced out, you know, I really wonder if they're really creating a safety -- they really function as a security measure, because there is huge spaces in between along -- at least along Julia and some portions of Adams and some portions of Monroe. So, you know, I can't speak to that specific issue as well as Mr. Loretta can, but I think I understand what he's saying.

And from the pedestrian realm, which is my thing, I do really think that, if there was some other way for them not to be so massive, I mean, for instance if you look at page 49 and you look on the Julia Street side, it's -- those are really, really wide and take up a lot of that space. So just -- I would like you to really consider and think about some better way to deal with that.

And then the first thing that ${\tt I}$ mentioned was the corner, and how very much

different those two corners are and how much nicer the elevation on page 51 is than page 3 49.

2.2

So -- but I also want to leave you with some good comments too. And that is I like the colors. I held the screens up and it really does do what I was hoping, which is I can see that we're not -- I can see that I'm not going to see the cars. That was a big effort on your part. I wanted to say thank you for working so hard on that.

I love the idea of the new terrace on the building, the one-story building that's connecting that. That makes a lot of sense to me, especially for people, you know, JEA employees being able to get to the garage.

I had asked you guys about that. I thought I didn't understand how that was going to really function, so I thought that is really good.

I'm excited about this. This is -- in retro- -- I mean, in thinking big picture about downtown, this is like one of our -- I can't remember the last new, tall, wonderful office building. I still call it the

1	Barnett Tower, shows you how old I am, Bank
2	of America Building, I think that might have
3	been the last one, new one. So, you know,
4	this is really exciting.
5	I love the fact that you went for this
6	location, JEA, thank you for doing that.
7	And, you know, maybe we'll still have JEA,
8	who knows. I'm not going to get into that
9	part. But, you know, I am excited about the
10	project. And I appreciate all your effort
11	of listening to us.
12	And these last few comments, you know, I
13	do hope that you'll go back and really take
14	them into account and slim down those boxes
15	and maybe do something with that corner. I
16	think it would be really, really nice,
17	because, as somebody said, it's a really
18	important corner. And just comparing these
19	two, it's so easy to see that it would be
20	nice to have something a lot nicer. Thank
21	you very much.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you,
23	Ms. Durden.
24	I would like to see if I can get the
25	applicant to maybe respond to some of the

Τ	comments of the Board specifically. And I
2	think I would like to direct it to the
3	bollard and vehicular force protection
4	requirement. Traditionally, we've seen
5	bollards as force protection or planters as
6	force protection. In slide 47 we're seeing
7	both. And then again in Julia we're seeing
8	vast gaps where vehicles could get through.
9	We need some clarification on what's going
10	on.
11	MR. VEASEY: Thank you. Nancy Veasey,
12	V, as in Victor, -e-a-s-e-y. I work for JEA
13	as the project manager.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you for
15	coming.
16	MS. VEASEY: I would like to address a
17	few of the things you all have brought up.
18	The security folks at JEA are very committed
19	to us having bollards. I think part of it
20	was the balance of some earlier comments
21	about making it more urban landscape rather
22	than suburban. So it may be
23	misunderstanding translation. We're
24	thrilled to work to continue to work on
25	getting the right balance of those things.

The bollards are more important than the

planters. I think we thought that the

planters added to the appearance and the

landscape. They certainly could be scaled

down.

2.2

The bollards are most important around the three sides of the exposed main building. We could certainly do something different on Pearl -- pardon, Julia. I think it was just trying to carry the same themes to all four sides.

We did look at a similar tower on the other two corners of the garage. And there is an expense to that, but we will reconsider it and how that would interact with the retail space.

We struggled a lot with your desire to have interest and color and some differentiation. So several of us like the neutral pallet on the garage screening. We talked about other treatments, but thought this was maybe a happy medium to bring some interest to the screening while honoring the kind of elegance of the main building. So I think those hopefully will address, but

1	happy to answer others if needed.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So just to clarify,
3	we could remove some of the very tall
4	planters and put bollards in place, and
5	maybe these bollards could be inside of
6	planters? Do they have to be on
7	MS. VEASEY: He would want them in the
8	ground if we can do it that way.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Right. But I was
10	talking about the area around them, they're
11	going to be sunk in some kind of a concrete
12	foundation. But could the area around them
13	be a planter or does it have to be on a
14	sidewalk?
15	MS. VEASEY: I think it could be either.
16	I'll leave that to the designers. I've
17	given them enough design direction.
18	MR. HARRYMAN: I've done it both ways.
19	Inside of the planters is absolutely a good
20	option, it just eliminates one more thing on
21	the sidewalk.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Mr. Davisson.
23	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: I want to hone
24	my comment a little bit. When I talked
25	about the planters, it wasn't planters; it

1	was just the feel that you're getting on the
2	height of them. That was my comment.
3	Doesn't mean you have too many or too I
4	wasn't talking about that; it's just the way
5	they're drawn doesn't look good. They look
6	like they're three-and-a-half, three-feet
7	high. So that was I want to address
8	that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The other was the corner. Doesn't mean, you know -- so I'm specific, because I know when somebody makes a comment it's hard to digest, treating a corner doesn't mean putting a tower stair on the corner or spending a lot of money. In fact, it could be a negative space. You don't have stair, you don't have a car there, you don't even really need columns there on that corner because you don't have -- I mean, it's part of your structure, but it doesn't have to be. Or perhaps the first two floors are negative space, it's just how you treat what you're treating at the pedestrian level. My comment wasn't about redesigning the entire corner of the garage.

MR. HARRYMAN: I appreciate that. I

1	agree with you at the pedestrian level. So
2	level one, potentially level two there is a
3	number of subtle but impactful treatments
4	there that would be, to Mr. Loretta's point,
5	cost effective and add some interest.
6	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Exactly.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Thank you for that.
8	I appreciate that.
9	Have we addressed any other comments
10	from the Board that you would like feedback
11	from the applicant on?
12	While they think about that, I would
13	like to add a couple of my own comments, if
14	I could. I agree that the height of the
15	planters, in addition to the bollards, seems
16	to create almost like
17	a we-don't-want-you-in-here kind of feeling.
18	It feels very dark and big.
19	So I would like to see some more thought
20	put in to making sure that just like this
21	perspective shows you, which is outside
22	excuse me, inside the planters, not outside,
23	if you had a perspective outside the
24	planters, you might not see quite this much
25	from page 47, just making sure that it has

1	that feeling of being open and welcoming.
2	And then I wanted to ask about
3	perspective number 46, I see on your color
4	board that your mullions are a very light
5	gray. But perspective view 46 and some of
6	the other views show this sort of monolithic
7	feeling of the glass and the mullions being
8	almost like they're one color, which I think
9	is wonderful. I think this illustration is
10	really beautiful. But what I think we're
11	going to get is a lot of little boxes when
12	you put a gray, a very light gray mullion on
13	it.
14	MR. JAY: What we're trying to achieve
15	there is kind of a buck way system with no
16	vertical joints, mullions, and then just
17	have these tiny slivers of the horizontal
18	mullions.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. Perfect.
20	That answers that.
21	MR. JAY: So the goal is to have that
22	whole facade just act as a glass, solid
23	glass.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Perfect.
25	And what is the materiality of the railings

1	on the balconies? Is it glass or steel?
2	MR. JAY: So the curtain wall system
3	would expand up and create the handrail for
4	those terraces.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So it's glass?
6	MR. JAY: It's glass.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. Thank you.
8	I think the treatment of the garage is
9	great. I actually really like it. It's
10	definitely much better than the first
11	conceptual presentation. And the colors are
12	vibrant. And the diagonal gives it feeling
13	of emotion and movement. So I'm pretty
14	happy with it personally.
15	And, yeah, I think that's the only
16	comments I had. Did we want to other
17	than the applicant being willing to go back
18	and relook at the planters, the bollards,
19	and the mixtures, and I think with specific
20	attention to Julia, in terms of trying to
21	get a lot of pedestrian walkway there, if we
22	don't need planters for force protection, we
23	can use bollards maybe specifically there.
24	And then I think the other one is really

perspective 49, to Mr. Davisson's point, the

1	corner both in terms of its horizontality,
2	that plaza, with respect to how you treat
3	the visual detection system, to
4	Mr. Loretta's point, and how you treat at
5	least the pedestrian view corner, maybe
6	needs just a little bit more attention. Is
7	that going to be okay? Are those
8	requirements going to be okay.
9	MR. JAY: We're in agreement with that.
LO	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Okay. Thank you.
11	Yes, Ms. Durden, please.
12	BOARD MEMBER DURDEN: Through the Chair
13	to Mr. Parola. Do we need to add those as
L 4	conditions to the final approval so that
15	there is something in the record that, you
16	know, reflects our comments?
17	MR. PAROLA: I would, if for no other
18	reason because she's
L 9	MS. POLLOCK: Yes. Definitely, yes.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So let's see if we
21	can get this right: We have two
22	recommendations that are part of the staff
23	report. The staff is calling these
24	recommendations. We've traditionally called
>5	these conditions

1	MR. PAROLA: I think we phrase it as
2	conditions of final and we give
3	recommendations on conceptual, so conditions
4	if you would, please.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So we're going to
6	call these conditions, conditions A, B, and
7	then we'll have a condition C that will
8	require the applicant to redesign the force
9	protection around the perimeter to create a
10	larger sense of openness and amplify the
11	pedestrian walk space as much as possible
12	while maintaining their security.
13	We will also maybe we'll make D,
14	condition D, that will require the applicant
15	to redesign the Adams and Julia Street
16	corner, both the hardscape and the corner of
17	the structure, to focus on the pedestrian
18	experience, in particular the visual
19	detection system, and in particular the
20	perspective of the pedestrian at the lower
21	two floors. Would that be okay?
22	MS. POLLOCK: Uh-huh. And you guys are
23	okay with C and D?
24	MS. TRIMMER: And D is subject to final
25	approval by staff?

1	MS. POLLOCK: Yes.
2	MS. TRIMMER: Thank you.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: So we'll need a
4	motion and an approval and a vote on each
5	one of the conditions before we vote for the
6	final.
7	MS. POLLOCK: Normally, you can vote it
8	as one item to prove it subject to the
9	conditions A, B, C, and D, just one vote.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: Great. So I think
11	at this point we'll go to vote and if I
12	can get a motion.
13	BOARD MEMBER LORETTA: I'll make that
14	motion for approval with four conditions,
15	two from staff and two as you just drafted
16	up.
17	BOARD MEMBER DAVISSON: Second.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: We have a motion to
19	approve from Mr. Loretta and a second from
20	Mr. Davisson with the four conditions as
21	listed, two of the staff report, two that
22	the Board added. All those in favor, say
23	aye.
24	REMAINING: Aye.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN LEE: All opposed? And we

1	have one recusal, Mr. Schilling.
2	Motion passes. Thank you.
3	Congratulations.
4	That is the end of the action item
5	portion of the agenda. We have
6	presentations? We don't have presentations
7	great. So we'll skip that.
8	We'll move to old business. We have no
9	old business. Wonderful.
10	And public comments, I didn't get a
11	speaker card. But do we have any public
12	comments?
13	Then I will call the meeting adjourned.
14	Thank you.
15	(Meeting concluded at 5:50 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL 3
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 151, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 23rd day of December, 2019.
12	
13	
14	
15	Amanda E. Robinson,
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	