
also included a retail component, which the
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THE CHAIRMAN: That being the case, Karen has additional cards, if anyone would like to comment.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Congro, please identify yourself.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Steve Congro, 2339 Miller Oaks Drive South, 32217.

Yeah, I want to speak on the LaVilla property RFP that was recently conducted. As I understand it, a committee reviewed three different proposals, in which the Vestcor proposal was selected as the preferred option.

You know, in reviewing that, it seemed like the number and the way that it was scored was -- may not be in the best interest of all of us. And the reason I say that is because, if you look at the -- one of the competing proposals, specifically the one from Johnson Commons, you know, their proposal was unanimously among the committee decided to be a better proposal from an ROI perspective from the City, it was more in keeping with the master plan for the area over there, and it

Downtown Master Plan talks about encouraging commercial and industrial uses of property, particularly in the fact that they chose to include the retail component along Forsyth Street, which is, you know, one of the more heavily trafficked streets in that area.

Where Vestcor seemed to win, the -- the recommended choice I should say, was in the experience, but one of the questions I have is -- it seems like the experience argument in -- you know, in putting this as part of that is sort of like the college kid that just graduates that can't get the job without the experience, can't get the experience without the job.

But the challenge there is that, if -one, shouldn't we encourage a more diverse group of developers who want to invest in downtown? My feeling is that if we encourage that, it could bring more people to the table, bring in more capital from different sources, and thereby allow a little bit more diversity in the developments.

Second, experience, while it was part of the -- you know, the scoring, it seems like if
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you had the more -- you know, had more experience, then the proposal should be better anyway; yet it seems, based on the scoring, that the Johnson Commons proposal was considered the -- a better proposal. So, you know, I'd have to challenge -- it seems like we're sort of trying to consider that twice.

And, lastly, I would just say that if -you know, I understand financial resources, but that didn't seem to be a question in the scoring. It was reviewed that Johnson -- you know, I don't think they got a 20, but I think it was like high teens. So clearly you guys think that they have the financial resources to qualify for the proposal. So if they have the financial resources, are seen as the better proposal, then wouldn't -- shouldn't they be the preferred alternative?

Thank you for your time.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Congro, for your comments.

Next we have Alex Sifakis.
Alex.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Three minutes, please, sir.
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AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good afternoon.
THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Alex Sifakis. So I'm actually a principal in Johnson Commons, but I come here speaking more as a concerned citizen than a principal in -- as the applicant.

So it was -- already been spoken about that the Johnson Commons proposal was voted the top as far as the project and ROI to the City, which would seem to be a -- the number one consideration in choosing a project, especially when -- when you do look at our experience, which we lost 6 points on, we have actually -we will build over 500 townhomes and single-family homes this year in 100 percent infill locations and have -- what were the largest infill builder and developer in Jacksonville, both JWB and Corner Lot combined. So when you're talking about infill development, townhomes, it seems like the numbers just don't add up in that -- in that case. So curious to understand why that happened.

And then speaking more about the plan and
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1 how critical this street corner is on Forsyth and Lee Street, where you have the LaVilla Heritage Trail, and there's already alluded to a very high traffic corner right across from the JRTC -- in the plan, Page 46, it talks about transit-oriented development and says that you should have a critical mix of uses, including shopping, entertainment, and education, which our plan does all three, has shopping, has education in the form of 20 heritage signs that celebrates the history of LaVilla, its rich history, which has previously been -- been bulldozed. And also in the neighborhood development plan is -- we're supposed to embrace and show that to the world.

So it feels like, with the experience and the financial resources, the best project should come to the top. And I would ask, as a concerned citizen, that the board chooses the best project for downtown since the top two applicants clearly both have the ability to complete the project.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Sifakis.

Next we have J.C. Demetree.
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(Audience member approaches the podium.) THE CHAIRMAN: Please identify yourself. You have three minutes.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: J.C. Demetree.
The ROI has already been touched on, so I don't think I need to go back through that again. The most important thing for me is the commercial space that they're trying to bring on. I think we've seen with a lot of downtown, we've seen in a lot of other cities that commercial space really can vitalize an area, and that's really why I wanted to come and support Johnson Commons.

That's pretty much all I've got.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Demetree. Next we have Ted Stein.
(Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Ted stein.
I think we made one of the biggest mistakes Jacksonville has ever made in the early '90s by bulldozing LaVilla, which was referred to throughout the country as the Harlem of the South. This place not only represented the heritage of Jacksonville, but more importantly the heritage of the
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African-American community within our city.
I think it is vital for us as citizens to recognize our African-American roots within the city and within LaVilla. Not only will only putting condos and apartments there effectively reduce any incentive for any other citizen besides those that live there to go there; therefore, there will no longer be growth in that area. It's tapped out. That's it.

If we can put restaurant space within this, you will continue to encourage citizens to go down there within the revitalization of this part of our city.

But back to recognizing the heritage of our city. How can we go into LaVilla and not recognize its roots? How can we go into LaVilla and not recognize one of the greatest citizens to ever live in Jacksonville, James Weldon Johnson? I think by putting a memorial to James Weldon Johnson could be one of the most important things we do in this city.

Also, the heritage signs. You go to any large, urban city -- which is what we are woefully trying, in the past, to do in Jacksonville. To be one of these great cities,
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you need to have heritage signs, you need to remember your history. We want to encourage tourism, and we're not going to have any historical signs in one of the most historically dense areas of our city? I think it's crazy to do something that is not recognizing the heritage of the African-American community in Jacksonville.

Y'all voted, and I think y'all also voted that this is the best project. I was a little baffled reading that y'all -- some gave this project a failing grade on experience. I don't understand that, looking at the record.

At the end of the day, I really think -and I want y'all to look, that this is the best project in y'all's eyes as well.

So I ask you this today: Protect our city, protect our heritage, give Jacksonville a chance to thrive downtown by recognizing our roots, and please put our heritage over any politics.

Thank you for your time.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Stein.
Next card, Stanley Scott.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
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THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Scott, three minutes, please.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.
Stanley Scott with the African-American Economic Recovery Think Tank.

I'm appalled right now, and I'm talking about LaVilla. I was born and raised, 65 years old, born and raised in LaVilla. And I have been active in this community for 40 years. I'm talking about dealing with civil rights. And the plans that you have at the present time, it's evil, that's pure evil. It will reverse -- and if it was your -- if it was a Caucasian community, you -- you're concerned about your history. It will be a -- you know, sometimes it just break my heart when I come downtown. I spend all my free time here because I love this city, I love this community. I live in the community. I'm talking -- I live right here in LaVilla, and I'm appalled.

What y'all setting up is not really setting up for people to grow. You're concerned about money. Everything is not about money. I notice a lot of y'all may feel that
way, but one thing good that -- the spirit that I believe in, we all going to die. That's a great equalizer.

This is your opportunity to do the right thing for Jacksonville because downtown Jacksonville is dead, completely dead, and we need some people who are creative. But every time we look around, we talking about money. Everything about money. Because a lot of y'all don't live downtown, you don't care, you just want to make the money.

What you do when you have too many departments -- apartments that you're building right now? And I want to tell you the truth, I live in apartments. It's not a mixed-use community. You need to go back to the drawing board, start over, because right now, what I'm saying -- from the think tank that I operate -and I operate a national think tank -- the plans that you have is not going to move this downtown area.

Now, a lot of people going to make money off the taxpayer, but when it come down to creating, where it's -- it's wholistic for everybody involved, from low income to high
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income, your plan does not do that. All you're doing is building some buildings, but you're not changing the community for the better, and that's appalling to me.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Scott.
Next we have Elias -- is it Hionides? I'm sorry I butchered your name.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's all right.
Happens all the time.
Elias Hionides, 220 East Forsyth Street.
I reviewed the three proposals, and my comments to the board is -- as a property owner downtown who mostly owns retail properties, the challenge that we find as property owners is the density in downtown is such that it's a challenge to get spaces leased because when you have a high density area is when you're able to have retail activity. So the proposal that stands out to me is the one that provides the highest density on the site, and in this case that's Johnson Commons.

So my request to the board is for other property owners downtown Jacksonville who are
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also working and making strides to activate retail downtown, you know, let's try and get as much density as we can on the site in every opportunity that we have because once these go, then they're gone and we can't add more units to the site.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hionides. Next, we have George -- is it Leone? (Audience member approaches the podium.) AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
George Leone, 1538 Hendricks Avenue, Jacksonville.

First, I'd like to thank the board for the opportunity to speak here today. And for us having -- presenting a proposal -- I'm one -as well as Alex Sifakis, one of the principals at Corner Lot and Johnson Commons.

I know it's a very difficult decision that you all have to make. You've -- I felt like you had three very -- very good proposals that were put forth.

As a principal in the Johnson Commons, I have a lot of respect for Vestcor. They've done some great things in the LaVilla area,
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1 apartments and the for-rent product. I do have
2 a hard time finding how they would be
successful in the for-sale product. I know that they -- they put forth a great product and they're a great company, but I think we far outweigh them in the for-sale townhome product. As Alex said, we have over 500 combined units that we've sold. We have 135 active units in development right now in the townhome product and another 244 in the pipeline for next year. So we have a proven track record.

One of the things that we didn't highlight was the restaurant space. We're activating some retail space there immediately. We have worked out a plan on having Black Sheep there on a five-year lease that we are fully subsidizing so that we can bring people to the area, and Black Sheep will have an opportunity to get their business off the ground and not have to worry about the rent for the space for a considerable amount of time. We can subsidize that because of our experience in the townhome space and how we understand how to bring this project forward successfully.

So I won't take up any more of your time.
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I will just say, if a company and a group like ours cannot put forth a successful project in this area, then -- then who else can? We've definitely got the team put together and the track record to do it.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Leone. The next name is Glenn Weiss.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't know if this is normal to distribute information. It's just what I'm reading.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you very much for letting me talk today. My name is Glenn Weiss. I'm the director of the City's Art in Public Places program. You may see me in another light, but today I speak as a private citizen, not in that role.

And I just -- I wanted to speak not from the point of view -- I don't know about each of the developments and the proposals and the specifics. What I'm concerned about is Lift Every Voice and Sing Park and the historical trail and its relationship to the development.

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300

And so I come to you today to say, whatever the proposals are, that you recognize that this particular site has national historic
implications, both from a point of view of the heritage of this nation and, therefore, how Lift Every Voice and Sing Park is addressed and how the historic trail is addressed is part of the responsibility for us as a community to recognize our national responsibility, in addition to our local responsibility. And I just ask that, as you look at the proposals, that you make some changes to make sure that happens.

And one thing that -- you are very lucky that we're here today and not 50 years ago, is because very talented African-American architects, landscape architects, and artists exist who can come to this community and work to make that site into the kind of national prominence with the meaning and care that it deserves.

And so I ask you to release an RFP to look for those artists and architects to reconceptualize the park and to conceptualize the trail itself; and then I ask you to say to
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any developer, you will work with those people in order to develop that property, in order to make sure that your building reinforces the national character of the site and the importance of the site and reflects the City's dedication to that national honor and to its local heritage.

And then three is that you go ahead and set aside a 20 -foot easement on Lee Street, which I saw in the JTA proposal, to make sure that the heritage trail can go through those two blocks, between Lee -- between Adams and Forsyth.

So just those simple ideas, that you go ahead now and say, hey, we need to get ahead of the game, we need to have a really talented design team to deal with the trail and the park now, we need for those developers to work with that design team to make sure their buildings -- make sure that they have the kind of quality that we want, and, three, that we set aside the territory for that trail to exist now and in the future.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Weiss.
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and we make the biggest impact possible. Who do I deliver these unsolicited cash offers to?

MS. BOYER: You can deliver them to me.
MR. STANLY: (Tenders document to
Ms. Boyer.)
Number three, I did not put it in my proposal, I thought we'd have time to give presentations today. I have three extremely creative ideas of how to celebrate the African-American artists that come from our community, that tie it into everything we're trying to do in LaVilla, and I would be welcomed to have the opportunity to give you that presentation on the three creative ideas to celebrate what is long, long, long overdue, and that's celebrating the African-American citizens and the heritage of that area, and I've got some great ideas. But without a presentation, I don't have the forum to give that to y'all for y'all's consideration today. So I hope that you will give us the opportunity to make a presentation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Stanly.
Steve Diebenow.
(Audience member approaches the podium.)
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AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Steve Diebenow, 1 Independent Drive, Suite 1200.

The only comment I'd like to bring to the board's attention is that -- a lot of the comments that have been shared with you today really go to the process that was followed, and really essentially asked -- folks are asking for a do-over or they're asking for different criteria to be highlighted and be weighted in different ways, and what I would say is that, you know, the areas that they said that their applications were the strongest, they actually scored higher than Vestcor in those areas, but on balance, Vestcor had the highest number of points.

And certainly the board has the discretion to make the decisions that they want to in the best interest of the community, and I think what the -- what the total -- in total, what the scores represent is that the project that has the most likelihood of success is the one that would score the highest. And so we would ask you to adhere to the subcommittee's recommendation. We'd ask you to adhere to the
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process that was set forth in your previous board meeting.

And if we're going to have a do-over, then, you know, what was the point of having this conversation to begin with? What was the point about putting out all of the criteria? I mean, I can go back and point to specific criteria in certain sections and say, well, if we had gotten this many more points here or that many points there, we would have been ranked higher or we would have gotten more points, but that's not how this works. The way it works is that the board set out a criteria, everybody gave it their best shot, and -- and as a result, we think that you should go with the group that had the most points based on the committee's recommendation.

We're available to answer more questions later, but we sure appreciate all the hard work you've put into it today.

Thanks.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
That is the end of the public -- we have another card.

Christian "Andy" Allen.
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is what we know.
Obviously, you have heard before that we've done plenty of downtown -- excuse me -plenty of townhouse development throughout infill, but our macro goal actually is to spur other local, regional, and national developers into downtown, and we want them to join the momentum that's being created with y'all's assistance as well. And, frankly, that's a momentum that has really been created a lot by Vestcor, and we appreciate them and we respect them in the highest regard for that matter.

Here's a couple of words -- I don't know if you-all got a couple of letters of recommendation from some other local regional and national builders and developers, but do you mind if I share a couple of them in quotes?

KB Home, I quote, "As one of the country's largest home builders, we also try to align ourselves with companies who have financial capability and the ability to deliver. Corner Lot has been there for KB Home. In the development world, Corner Lot has pushed our boundary from traditional subdivisions to purchasing more infill developments. We look
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forward to them paving the way for the for-sale builder community in and around downtown Jacksonville." That was from Todd Holder, the division president of KB Home.

Another letter that we received, it's from D.R. Horton. Bob Porter, a very well respected developer and builder in our community. I quote, "Since working with Corner Lot, we've begun to evaluate more infill projects. And although we will not be a part of their proposed Johnson Commons project, we hope to find an opportunity to join them in a project downtown in the future. Corner Lot is one of our most trusted developers."

And the last letter that we received was from Lennar, another prominent builder in and around our area. I quote, "Corner Lot does just that, they execute. Corner Lot has extended our horizon while looking inward to our downtown. We are a leader in infill developments when it pertains to Lennar" --
(Timer notification.)
MR. ALLEN: Am I out of time?
THE CHAIRMAN: You're out of time.
MR. ALLEN: Okay.
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THE CHAIRMAN: Finish your sentence, though.

MR. ALLEN: Okay.
"We look forward to Corner Lot continuing to pave the way on infill developments as we do our part in joining the movement downtown."

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Allen.
Next we have Wyman Duggan.
(Mr. Duggan approaches the podium.)
MR. DUGGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I just want to close by emphasizing, this is the project that could jump-start the renaissance of LaVilla, and you only have one chance to get it right the first time. An enormous amount of time and effort was spent on developing the LaVilla redevelopment plan.

And the scoring, the Johnson Commons project scored the highest for consistency with that plan, and also in terms of investment in the park. And what that breaks down to include is more revenue to the City, more residents in the core, greater density, a retail component now and in the future, and paying respect and homage to the site, to the park, to the
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neighborhood, and the trail.

So, yes, there are five criteria that the projects were all scored on, but I think the amount of time that was spent getting the plan in place should be factored into the final decision in terms of which project is the most likely to realize the spirit and intent and vision of that plan.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Duggan.
I have no more comment cards. As a result, we can close the public comment, and we will open the Community Redevelopment Agency meeting.

We want to thank everyone for those comments. It will help us in our work today.

The first item is the meeting minutes, June 19, 2019, Community Redevelopment Agency meeting minutes.

BOARD MEMBER GREY: So moved.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks for the motion to approve.

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Properly moved and seconded.
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BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: It passes.
The next item is Resolution 2019-08-01, Project Sharp Economic Development Agreement.

MS. BOYER: Good afternoon, everyone.
So Project Sharp is a major local employer looking to expand its business operations. It provides services worldwide in a high-impact sector and is a qualified target industry. The new Jacksonville office building will serve as its corporate headquarters. Sharp is proposing to retain 1,216 existing jobs and create up to 500 new jobs at an average wage of 85,000 by 2029. The jobs will be created in accordance with the schedule committing to add 50 jobs per year for 10 years.

Sharp will also invest $\$ 145$ million in construction of a new 300,000-square-foot
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1 office building and associated parking structure in the Northbank combined downtown CRA.

Project Sharp has stated that the State of Florida and the City of Jacksonville incentives are a material factor in their decision to remain in Jacksonville and expand their operations here as opposed to another location.

The company is being actively recruited by other locations and the proposed project will keep the company in Jacksonville and not only retaining the 1,216 jobs, but significantly expanding its presence and brand here.

Furthermore, the company's choice of a downtown infill location not only returns an underutilized site to one that enhances the tax base but it does so in an area where underlying infrastructure already exists and creates an opportunity for a live/work environment, reducing the need for residents to commute.

There are three incentives associated with the development and proposal. The company is seeking the public investment through the Qualified Target Industry or QTI tax refund program for the creation of jobs. The QTI
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proposed is $\$ 6,000$ per job for 500 jobs. The City portion is 20 percent of that, for $\$ 1,200$ per job, up to 600,000 total. The QTI is payable after the jobs have been created and the average wage verified by the State of Florida.

A City Recaptured Enhanced Value Grant, REV Grant, that is 75 percent for 20 years, with a maximum indebtedness of 23.4 million. The investment is 145 million proposed, 130 million minimum. It would be reduced proportionally down to the 130 million if it was less than the 145-. If it's lower than the 130 million, it's forfeited.

And a $\$ 3$-and-a-half million City closing grant, consistent with the adopted public investment policy, payable upon completion of the improvements, not up front. And, again, with the minimum value of 130 million. None of it is owed if the 130 million investment is not made (inaudible).

And those are the essential terms of the development agreement. The development agreement has already been prepared. And depending upon board action today, we will be
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prepared to move forward with submitting legislation if the board elects to move forward with this resolution.

And that basically summarizes 2019-08-01, which is asking this board to approve that term sheet associated with the --

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
What is the pleasure of the board?
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: I move that we approve the resolution.

BOARD MEMBER MOODY: I second.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Before doing so --
THE CHAIRMAN: We have it on the table. We'll open it up for discussion.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Before we discuss it, Mr. Sawyer, can you kind of outline the disclosure rules?

MR. SAWYER: Yes. So Mr. Froats and I had a conversation and -- when there would be a prohibited relationship that would require a board member to abstain from voting, there is an exemption in state statute for CRA board members, which each of you are. And so the process as to any item on which you may wish to vote is to state the nature of the relationship
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that is causing the issue, you file the form, the 8 B disclosure form, with Karen as the keeper of the minutes, and then they're attached to the minutes. And when you do those two things, you're then eligible to vote on the matter before the board.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Okay. So not knowing what the project is -- but it's a large -- obviously a large organization here. So I just wanted to disclose that I could have a potential conflict, so I'd like to file that form with Karen.

MS. BOYER: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Froats.
Mr. Gillam, comment?
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Sure.
So we can't talk specifically because of the confidentiality situation with regard to this proposal. I would say, generally, this opportunity is what this board was created for. This community has been reticent in the past to step up and compete for opportunities like this. This is an 80-plus-billion-dollar business who wants to be in our community, and there are many other suitors who would like to
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have this opportunity. They are already here, they want to stay. And if we support anything, it -- that is what -- this is what this board is supposed to support. I'm totally in favor of this opportunity.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Froats.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: This is probably the biggest news we have out of all of these agenda items. This is great news for Jacksonville. I'm sure other cities would be competing significantly for this business. The fact that this company wants to stay here and is going to invest 500 jobs, that's \$42-and-a-half million in payroll. That's significant. That's going to benefit everybody. So I'm in big favor of this.

The only question I had was on the $\$ 3.5$ million grant, is this upon the completion of the building or is it substantial completion?

MS. BOYER: Substantial completion.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Okay. Is that different from other projects where we've made the COI the requirement?
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I think the terms are very similar.

MR. SAWYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Froats, the language typically is substantial completion of the project, which includes in the definition the issuance of at least a temporary Certificate of Occupancy, which would be issued when the project is complete, it's up and running, but you may have punchlist items, for example, but it's upon full completion of the project.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barakat.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: I'll echo the comments made by Mr. Froats and Mr. Gillam.

I think to add to that, a lot of us have stared at that vacant parking lot on the river for many years in frustration, given that poor land use, and I think we're excited to see a multistory development move forward there. That's the type of jobs we're looking for and the type of jobs that will have a multiplier effect into the residential and the retail that this city has incentivized in that area of town. So the momentum continues to build in
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Brooklyn. I think it is the right incentive package.

I also, as Mr. Froats, have one question on the closing, which is a bit of a -- not your typical incentive that we've given in the past. I was wondering why that was associated with the construction of the building and not the job creation. Was there a reason for that?

MS. BOYER: Simply because it is part of the citywide public investment policy as opposed to one of the DIA specific incentives. This is part of the PIP. And the PIP has its own criteria for, you know, applicable use of the funds, and it is not necessarily related exclusively to job creation. So this was really focused on the capital investment addition -- an additional part in relation to the capital investment.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Okay. And so to reiterate, that's not coming from a DIA current --

MS. BOYER: Correct.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: -- (inaudible)
fund? So it's --
MS. BOYER: Correct. Only the REV Grant
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is DIA funded. Both the QTI portion and the City grant, closing grant, would be City funded. And this whole package is going to City Council for approval.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Barakat.
Mr. Moody.
BOARD MEMBER MOODY: I'm sure that we're in competition with other cities. And what I would say is, don't let this one get away.

I see a big boom for the area, folks.
We've had a lot of really great things happen in Brooklyn. We've got Unity Plaza right across the street. We have more than a thousand new multifamily units that have happened in the last five years. We've got the new hotel that's going up in the same area. We've got the Gate station. We have the mini storage facility. So there's a lot of good things happening in Brooklyn. This will just continue to shore up that area. I think it's a great choice.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Grey.
BOARD MEMBER GREY: No comments,
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Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Padgett.
BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: I echo the comments of the other board members. No further comment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
All those in favor of Resolution
2019-08-01 signify by saying aye.
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposition?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: It passes.
MS. BOYER: Mr. Chairman, we're going to proceed on in the agenda, but just so you don't get distracted, I've asked Ms. Fetner to deliver a copy of that to you for signature, and then we are going to go ahead and file the legislation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Let's move on to Resolution 2019-08-02.
MS. BOYER: So resolution 2019-08-02 is the response to the request for the Notice of Disposition that you put out as a result of the last meeting, the June meeting, where we have received one unsolicited proposal. We had
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received interest in the property from Blue Cross Blue Shield to develop a parking garage at the corner of Park and Forest.

As with LaVilla, we did a formal Notice of Disposition. There was only one respondent on that Notice of Disposition. We received the one response. It was also scored. But, obviously, with only one respondent, there isn't a competitive scoring aspect to it.

Subsequent to that time, we then -- after receiving the one response, we have proceeded to meet with Guidewell, who is the respondent, and Florida Blue, who would be the actual developer of the parking garage, and are working on the terms of the redevelopment agreement.

We changed midstream, between the agenda package that you received and this package today, so I'm pointing out the difference, from a lease structure to a restrictive covenant on the back end side that guarantees the public right of access. It's really a legal/technical thing. We determined that it would be a better way to do it with a restrictive covenant, but it is a change in the language in both the
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## covenant is 39 years.
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resolution and in the documentation that we're preparing.

The essential terms are attached to your resolution as part of the term sheet. They include a conveyance of the City property at the intersection of Park and Forest at no cost to Florida Blue. The appraised value of that property was 3.17 million. And a DIA parking grant in the amount of 3.5 million, and this would be provided by DIA from our Economic Development Fund. That funding would be provided upon completion of construction. And, again, the technical term in the agreement is probably "substantial completion," which refers to actual use of the parking garage at that point in time.

The contract also contemplates that the \$3-and-a-half million parking grant would not be payable until such time as the restrictive covenant had been recorded, guaranteeing to the public and guaranteeing to the City the right to use 750 parking spaces -- minimum of 750 parking spaces after 6 p.m. daily and 24/7 on weekends. The term of that restrictive

The closing is scheduled to take place on or before January 3rd, 2020. The company is obligated to commence construction on or before March 31st of 2020. The company is obligated to complete construction on or before March 31st of 2021. And, interestingly, your term sheet says March 32nd, which is a date that probably doesn't exist on most of our calendars.

The City safeguards that are included in the project are, the deed will contain a right of reverter if construction is not commenced by March 31st, 2020. So if we were to convey the property and they were not to commence construction, we have a right to claim the property, take the property back. And the parking grant is not payable until completion of the garage and execution of the restrictive covenant.

And those are the essential terms of Resolution 2019-08-02. It authorizes me to proceed with Mr. Sawyer to negotiate the terms of the redevelopment agreement and restrictive covenant and to file appropriate legislation with City Council on this. This legislation
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would not be filed today but would be filed two weeks from now.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
What is the pleasure of the board on

## Resolution 2019-08-02?

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Move to approve.
BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Properly moved and seconded.

Can we get a comment? Mr. Padgett, any comments?

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: I have no comments.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Mr. Gibbs, before we comment, can I also point out that I completed a disclosure form that Florida Blue is a client of my firm?

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Froats.
Mr. Grey.
BOARD MEMBER GREY: No comments.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Moody.
BOARD MEMBER MOODY: Question. You lost me on the 39-year restrictive covenant.

MS. BOYER: So the public's right -guaranteed right of use of the parking spaces in the garage runs for a term of 39 years. It
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is possible that -- you know, if the garage is still standing and we're continuing to use it, but it's based on the useful life of the facility as constructed.

BOARD MEMBER MOODY: All right. No other comments.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barakat.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: The 3.5 million comes from the Downtown Economic Development Trust Fund, correct?

MS. BOYER: Correct.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: What is the balance of that fund today and what would be the remaining balance?

MR. PAROLA: Through the Chair, \$3,547,000 and change. So you would have a balance of \$47,000.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: So, Ms. Boyer, could you speak to the impact on our ability to do other deals? We've used that fund as a resource to create a lot of what we see in momentum downtown, and so --

MR. PAROLA: To be clear, it's for that object of which the funds are coming from.
Just to be clear. Money was put into a
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specific sub-object. I'm answering the question for the specific sub-object. So other programs would still remain, whatever balance is in there, so --

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Do you know what that balance is?

MR. PAROLA: I don't have them on me, but I can get them post-meeting.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Okay. So as far as -- well, before this project came to us, it's essentially a wash, the overall effect to the fund that we've been using? It's a wash?

MR. PAROLA: For this sub-object, yes. Essentially, a wash. The money wasn't in there, we got it, it's going. If this is approved, it would leave us \$47,000 and change.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Okay.
MS. BOYER: This does not impact the
funding available in the loan programs or
retail enhancement programs or any other programs, if that was your question.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Yes, that was where I was going. Thank you.

The parking available to the public -- you may have mentioned this and I missed it -- is
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it at a cost or is it free, is it the discretion of the --

MS. BOYER: It is totally the discretion of the City if we want to charge for the parking. Any rate set for the parking will be up to us, if we want to charge for it. It's intended initially that it will be free. If we do charge for parking, the revenue will be split 50/50 between us and Florida Blue. Florida Blue assumes all responsibilities for operation and maintenance of the facility and pays a hundred percent of those costs.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Okay. So as far as the restrictive covenant, there's no cost to the City for having that restrictive covenant?

MS. BOYER: Correct.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Okay. Thank you.
No further questions, Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Barakat.
Mr. Froats.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: So currently the land is not taxable? It's owned by the City as
a retention pond?
MS. BOYER: Absolutely.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Okay. The question
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I had -- if you can look into this for me. The retention pond was there for a reason. Is it no longer required?

MS. BOYER: Yes. So I reached out to Mr. Pappas in Public Works, and he's saying at the moment the retention pond only serves for water quality, not storage benefits to the city, and that we have excess water quality credits available, so there is no need for it from a water quality standpoint.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Okay. And the return on investment is positive?

MS. BOYER: A return on investment is very positive.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gillam.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: I should know this.
What's the cost of -- expected cost of construction for this parking garage?

MS. BOYER: The expected cost of construction is 21 million.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So it will go on our tax rolls, plus the value of the land. Do we have an estimate?

MS. BOYER: Correct.
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The cumulative -- I'm going to see if I have the annual. The cumulative ad valorem tax generated is about 5 million-1 over a 20-year period, but -- and we didn't use the 39-year period even though we have the restrictive covenant for that. So 5-million-1 was the taxes generated. So somewhere around \$190,000 a year.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So there is a -- we are taking City-owned land and making it profitable for the City?

MS. BOYER: Correct.
We're also reducing the maintenance costs that the City incurs every year on the property, which is an expense. So we're eliminating the expense, we're generating tax revenue, and we're getting parking spaces that we believe will not only help the Unity Plaza retail and some of the other retail in the area that was challenged by a lack of parking, but as you know there are proposals for redevelopment of Park Street. This board has funded some improvements on Park Street that are being implemented and are -- City Council has approved as a Capital Improvement Plan.
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There are developers who want to do a food hall on Park Street, and this will provide additional parking for that. So there are a lot of benefits to the parking as well.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: And for 2019-08-01 to move forward, we've got to provide -there's got to be some parking provided for Blue because they're going to be moving from the space where the proposed high-rise on the river would be built?

MS. BOYER: So Florida Blue is moving from their parking -- surface parking lot on the river, which does not comply with our design standards and is not something we would like to maintain, and creating this parking garage which will also give them the capacity to move additional employees to their downtown Riverside campus, which they can't do now because they don't have enough parking.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Gillam.
In terms of parking for the public after
6 p.m., weekends, holidays, is that being
negotiated?
MS. BOYER: Correct. I'm sure we can work
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that out.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. BOYER: We'll add that. Thank you for that one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Of course.
What is the pleasure of the board? All those in favor of the motion on the table signify by saying aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposition?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: It passes. Thank you.
The next item on the agenda is Resolution 2019-08-03.

MS. BOYER: So Resolution 2019-08-03 is the Notice of Disposition of the LaVilla property that we put out last week. It's what you had most of your public comments about.

I have a little bit more detailed description and presentation that I would like to share with you, if that's all right.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
MS. BOYER: So the first thing --
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: I guess before we talk about this, I guess I have to disclose

Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
my -- I served on the --
MS. BOYER: Why don't members -- whoever needs to disclose or did file an 8B, go ahead and do that at this time.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: I filed an 8B notice of conflict because of my firm's representation of Blackwater Capital. I'm not involved in those matters, but I understand from the General Counsel's Office that (inaudible) --

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gillam, microphone.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Sorry. Do you want me to start over?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: I filed an 8B in connection with this particular resolution because my firm has a representation of Blackwater Capital in unrelated matters. I don't represent that client, but my firm does. I understand that I (inaudible) exemption.

BOARD MEMBER GREY: I also, too, filed the 8B form with regard to Vestcor.

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: And I did as well with regards to Vestcor.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Mr. Chairman, I did as well in regards to Vestcor.
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THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Please proceed.
MS. BOYER: Okay. As you know, we spent a fair amount of time -- a long time at the June meeting discussing the procedure we were going to follow when we issued the Notice of Disposition and put this back out on the street. You spent time deciding how you were going to allocate points in the scoring process. So let me remind you of what the board's recommendations were and how we were allocating those points.

The decision was to allocate 20 points to financial resources, a demonstration that the respondent has the financial resources necessary to complete the development, essentially.

Past development experience, 20 points. That is about organizational capacity and whether the respondent has completed projects similar in the past.

Then Item Number 3 was consistency with the Northbank Redevelopment Plan and the LaVilla Development Strategy. You increased the number of points from what it had initially
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1 been proposed, from 20 to 30 . So that was
assigned 30 points in the scoring.

The fourth criteria was the relationship to Lift Every Voice and Sing Park. So there was a specific criteria to which you assigned 15 points for a relationship to the park.

And the last one was return on investment, which you also assigned 15 points to.

We received three responses. The three responses were all scored by the Scoring Committee, which consisted of myself, Mr. Wendland, and Mr. Barakat. You received -board members have all received copies of both the -- the entire RFP response, if you chose to review those, as well as a copy of the scoring sheet. I will go over the scoring sheet with you briefly right now.

What the scoring sheet revealed was, on financial resources, which was up to 20 points, Vestcor received 19.67, Johnson Commons received 18.33, and Blackwater Capital received 15.67.

On past development experience, Vestcor received 20, Johnson Commons received 14, Blackwater Capital received 12.
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On consistency with the Northbank plan, Vestcor received 24.3, Johnson Commons received 27, Blackwater Capital received 21.3.

With respect to relationship to the park, Vestcor received 11.3, Johnson Commons received 13.3, and Blackwater Capital received 10.6.

With respect to return on investment, Vestcor received 10.3, Johnson Commons received 11.6, and Blackwater Capital received 8.

So the rankings, based on the scoring of your Scoring Committee, collective, adding all of that together, had Vestcor ranked number 1 with 85.67 points, Johnson Commons ranked number 2 with 84.33 points, and Blackwater Capital ranked number 3 with 67.67 points.

So you can see that the first two rankings are very close to one another in the rankings that were provided by the Scoring Committee.

The direction to the board -- and, Mr. Sawyer, help me here if you disagree with anything I say.

You have been provided a resolution that is blank as to selecting the recipients and awarding this to whichever respondent you choose. You are not obligated to follow the
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1 ranking of the committee. The ranking of the committee is one thing for you to consider and is information to you, but it is the board's opportunity to choose the project that they deem to be in the public interest, furthers the Northbank Redevelopment Plan, and furthers Section 163.380, Florida Statutes. Those are your requirements as a CRA board.

I will say that that statutory reference includes the part about financial resources and looking at the financial resources and ability to perform of the respondent.

That being said, I think the board has the discretion to choose someone other than the number 1 ranked score. But based on the work that was done by the Scoring Committee, it would be my recommendation to pick the Number 1 ranked score.

I will, however, share with you, just in summary, some of the high-level differences between the projects:

Number of units, first of all, Vestcor has 88 units; Johnson Commons, 107 units; Blackwater, 64 units.

In terms of the average sale price of the
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proposed units -- and I will remind the board and members of the public, this was not an apartment property. These were for-sale units that we were requesting in the RFP.

Vestcor sale price was proposed at 250,000 per unit; Johnson Commons, 250,250; and, Blackwater, 239,000.

In terms of what they were offering to pay for land purchase price, Vestcor was offering to pay $\$ 100$, Johnson Commons was offering to pay $\$ 100,000$, and Blackwater was offering to pay $\$ 325,000$.

In terms of City participation in sales proceeds, Vestcor's offer was, the City participated in 75 percent of the proceeds above 250,000; Johnson Commons, 50 percent of the proceeds above 250,0000; and Blackwater did not include that in their offer.

In terms of keeping the streets open, which was part of the Redevelopment Plan and the LaVilla Strategy, they all proposed to keep the streets open.

And let me just divert here to say, from the perspective of the board, I would say that all of the responses improved in terms of
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1 consistency with the plan between where we were 45 days ago and where we are now, and this is one aspect where you see that, where things were altered to make them more consistent with the plan.

The LaVilla Strategy recommended adding a street between the park and the development. Vestcor did that, Johnson Commons did that, Blackwater did not.

In terms of whether the units were designed to face the park, have their entrances and steps facing the park as opposed to a side elevation: Vestcor, yes; Johnson Commons, yes; Blackwater, no.

In terms of their contribution to construction of the park or participation: Vestcor's offer was $\$ 100,000$ contribution to the park, design, and construct the northern half of the park as passive. Johnson Commons' offer was a $\$ 250,000$ contribution and a significant number of heritage signs. The Blackwater proposal was a four-block linear park, two blocks were off site of the RFP. Within that, they were going to design the trail in the park, provide on-street parking
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and utilities for the park and public art.
As to the Heritage Trail, Vestcor was going to provide a $\$ 100,000$ cash contribution to the trail and a 14-foot-wide trail within a 20-foot easement. Johnson Commons was going to construct a 10- to 12-foot-wide trail within a 15-foot easement at a $\$ 235,000$ value. Blackwater was going to provide engineering and land and construction of a 14-foot-wide trail.

Vestcor was proposing two- and three-story units, Johnson Commons were all three-story, Blackwater all two-story.

In terms of retail activation, the only project that proposed any retail space was Johnson Commons. They propose, now, to build a restaurant that they would provide rent free for five years. And there were letters included in the package from the operators of Black Sheep and Bellwether that they would have agreed to operate the restaurant.

And going through and having converted these to a consistent ROI formula, various ways one could calculate it, but we came up with an ROI of 1.49 for Vestcor, 1.84 for Johnson Commons, and 1.16 for Blackwater.
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That's kind of more detail than you wanted to know, but I wrote that down so that when you ask me questions about it, I have the specifics in front of me that I can answer.

Bottom line is -- and not unlike what you heard from some members of the public -- all the scores concluded that the Johnson Commons proposal was more consistent with the LaVilla Strategy, that it provided more density and that it did provide that retail activation; however, that was not the only criteria we were evaluating.

And when we evaluated experience, developing other product or similar product downtown, financial resources, other of the criteria, the aggregate score resulted in Vestcor being the number 1 ranked respondent.

And with that, I will answer any questions you have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
What is the board's pleasure on
2019-08-03?
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Mr. Chairman, I would suggest we have some discussion, since there was so much back and forth, before we
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make a motion.
THE CHAIRMAN: We need a motion to discuss. So do we have a motion?

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: I'll make the motion to discuss.

THE CHAIRMAN: Discuss what, please, sir?
BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: This proposal, whatever number it is, 2019-08-03.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Motion to discuss 2019-08-03.

Mr. Padgett, would you begin?
BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Obviously, all the proposals look good. And I think no matter who we end up with, we're probably going to have a nice product, something everybody can be proud of.

I heard a lot today about recognizing history and memorial signs. And I think any developer, if there isn't enough of that in what they propose, would probably be interested in adjusting that or changing it if it didn't meet the criteria. I'm not sure I want to speak for them, but I know everybody seems passionate about the area and they want to do
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1 what's right, as I think the board would as well.

One thing that is always important to me is, you know, the ability to execute and the history of -- the track record. And I know we've talked a lot about Vestcor and Corner Lot; they're the two frontrunners, and I think they both have that ability.

I was curious, more than anything, on the Corner Lot proposal -- I'm going to call up Corner Lot. They had a couple of entities -there was three different entities, not just one entity. And for me, that makes me wonder, why are we having -- what's the group, collectively, and all the history, collective?

So I'm not sure who to ask, if this is a Corner Lot/Johnson Commons question or someone on the board. Are they collectively getting a loan to build this? Are they collectively doing 750 units next year? Or is it one entity that -- I didn't understand that part of it and I'm wondering on the scoring, if that came into play at all.

MS. BOYER: So, Mr. Padgett, let me respond, and let me also add another piece of
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information for the discussion up here. Before I respond to your answer, we received an e-mail from Mr. Pease, who is the chief of Procurement for the City of Jacksonville. When we had a number of respondents who were asking me if they were going to be making presentations today or responding to questions and things like that, Mr. Pease' recommendation to us is that, based on the fact that we had criteria and we had scores assigned to them and we had responses, that we not engage the applicants with presentations or additional information and that you make your decision based on the information that's contained in the applications that we have before us. So I'm just communicating to you that that's what his recommendation was.

In terms of answering that question, clearly the applicant may be able to provide more information than I can, but what I can tell you is that the applicant is an LLC called Johnson Commons, LLC, which has multiple partners and multiple entities comprising it. I don't know what their respective ratios are and contributions; however, I can tell you that
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1 in the financial resources section, when they were not only showing the ability of Johnson Commons to borrow the money, they were also providing personal bank account balance information to show that they had the equity in order to do it, and that equity was several of the individual members and not just one. It was looking at a composite of several participants.

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Okay. Then I think I would just -- in closing, I mean, it is --
I've heard a lot of discussion about where one person scored higher, one group scored lower, and it is a collective scoring process for a reason, so that's all the comments that I have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Padgett. Mr. Grey.
BOARD MEMBER GREY: Just out of curiosity. We did publicly come up with all the scoring criteria, we put together the panel to actually go back, and with a member of the board. My question is, would we be ideally looking at -- I know a resolution to pass, but the question I'm asking myself is, is it that the process was flawed, which is a question,
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you know, because -- were we voting on asking for -- were we voting on something like that?

I ask the question because a do-over would require that the process was flawed, since we publicly came up with the process.

MS. BOYER: I would say a do-over, meaning if you put out the RFP again, would indicate that you thought the process was flawed and perhaps you assigned the wrong scores to various components. That is entirely different than this board exercising their discretion to make a decision in the public interest.

You are obligated to look at our rankings, but you're not obligated to follow it. And Mr. Sawyer kept pointing that out to me, that your role -- I think that if you're going to follow the process where we have scoring and we have rankings, you should take them seriously. But in a situation where they're close, if you feel strongly that particular attributes are more important and more in the public interest, you know, that is your role, that you can make that choice, if somebody wants to advocate for that.

BOARD MEMBER GREY: No further questions.
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were. And, you know, if the density is important to the citizens of that area -- of course it's important to us because we want to get 10,000 people downtown. So Johnson Commons would have a slight edge there. But all in all that's two very tough choices.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Moody.
Mr. Barakat, I want to thank you for your service on this subcommittee.

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Thank you for asking me to do it. I meant that.

So I've been on a number of these evaluation committees since being on the board, and I can tell you, by far, this was the most difficult of all the evaluations. The prior RFPs we've done in the past, we've had almost always either one respondent or only one that was clearly qualified. In this case, in my opinion, all three can pull this off and can pull this off well.

Just starting with Blackwater's, I can tell you -- my opinion, I felt the architectural design of Blackwater's was the most attractive. Their use of the small-scale brick and the row design and that attachment to
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the LaVilla historic architecture really spoke to me and really complied with the LaVilla plan and our overall master plan.

I think where they fell short was in the density. The 66 units does not comply with our LaVilla plan. I think our LaVilla plan calls for multifamily and some higher density there, or at least some residential, so -- and then the ROI for Blackwater, I think, fell short, which is probably attached also to the density.

Vestcor is the easy choice here in that we know their track record, we know they'll get it done, we know the team at Vestcor. So that's why I scored them a little bit higher. But, again, all three of these entities, I think, can pull this off. Barring some black swan economic event in the next 12 months, all of them could probably pull this off -- excuse me, Vestcor could probably pull this off more than the other two groups because of their scale, but that was a low, low consideration. They all have the financial wherewithal and experience.

One thing I did not consider as much, because I'm a commercial expert and not a
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residential one, is the experience in the townhome arena versus the multifamily arena. It is, obviously, a different kind of target market, and planning -- so I do think that that needs to be considered here, knowing that Johnson and Blackwater have more experience in that realm.

The reason I had scored Johnson higher is because of what the public had mentioned. We work very hard in this board to not act as a Design Review Committee. We have the DDRB that looks at design and looks more at placemaking, but this board needs to remind itself, we're not just in a deal-making business, we're also in the placemaking business.

So the integration of the project to the park, to the LaVilla trail and the Emerald Necklace can really, really capitalize and create momentum for the rest of the neighborhood. And the innovation of retail and introduction of retail into the area I thought was also a plus. So that's why I gave Johnson Commons the edge overall in my scoring. I think those are really, really critical components we need to consider.
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ROI is important, but we can't just look at ROI at this one pro forma. We have to look at the ROI of properties across the street, two blocks down, what kind of ROI will this development have to surrounding properties in the neighborhood. It is our job to look at the whole and not just the part or not just a project in a silo.

So given all of that, I gave Johnson Commons the highest marks. I don't think I heard anything today that would change my mind. I'm happy to hear from my colleagues if they have differing points of view. But even though Vestcor is the safest bet, the incremental risk of choosing others, to me, is outweighed by the higher quality of the design and the potential of it creating more positive, catalytic effects years after the development is completed.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Froats.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: Question for Mr. Barakat. You said a higher quality design, is that the building itself or the entire -the trails and the parks, or are you just talking about the building?

BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Well, both. The programming of the site, the way the buildings and the retail interfaces with the park and the trail, and also the design -- the design of the Johnson Commons is -- it wasn't my favorite. I mentioned Blackwater was my favorite, but it gave a little more architectural variety than the same kind of, you know, boxy, flat roof multifamily we're seeing a lot in downtown, and even in the suburbs. A lot of the multifamily development -- you can go to the corner of JTB and Southside and you'll see similar architecture.

So I think architectural variation in LaVilla is important. Again, we don't -- we try to avoid that kind of judgment in this board because we have a separate board that considers that. Because this is our first major project in LaVilla and because it's land that we own and, therefore, we can really kind of control the outcome, I think we need to really consider design and programming more than we usually do.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: So originally -- so I'm glad we took another 30 days to do this
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because I think we've got three -- we had three great proposals and we've got three better proposals, so I'm glad we did that.

I, too, thought the Blackwater design was probably the most appealing architecturally, but, again, density is a big factor in this, something we're going for in this area. So to echo your comments, a great design, probably fell short on the density piece.

The other two -- I see Vestcor has come back. Originally, they had the two-story units, now they have a variety of two-story and three-story units. So I think that helped a lot, for them to increase their density by adding some three-story units.

And I saw there were two options in there. I'm not sure how that works, if we vote on one of their options or -- if we were to select them, or we let them pick between the two. So that's a question.

MS. BOYER: So the Vestcor proposal had an alternate in it, to do multifamily on one of the blocks. I think our RFP was requesting for-sale product on all of the properties. So unless the board wanted to go in a different
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direction, my sense is that our response is, as to their proposal for single-family or for the for-sale units.

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: All right. Thank you.

So I think -- having been on this board since last October, one thing I realize, we approve a lot of things that take a long time to get done. Some of them don't get done. I think we're up against time with respect to a potential recession.

I do think that density is very important, but I also think speed to construction is very important. And I'm not sure, between all three of them, if it's equal or if one is faster, but I do get the impression that Vestcor would be able to do it faster. I did like that they included in their proposal a penalty that -- if they did not start construction, that they would be paying a significant penalty per day. So I do like that because I wish we could put that in all of our proposals, but we just can't. So I'm glad that they put it in there. So I do think that is a significant strength to the proposal.
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Lastly, just sitting here, listening to a lot of the comments, not just today's meeting but prior meetings -- I've been here 20 years, so I'm not as familiar with the history of that area, but it's not lost upon me that that's a significant area, and I think we -- whoever gets the proposal, should do -- should work with the City and the residents. And I like Mr. -- I apologize if I forget your name -- who did the presentation, the discussion, I like what he had to say, and I think it would be best for us to involve the developer and the DIA and the City in moving forward with something like that for that park, the park and the trail.

And I think the idea of the signs, the historic signs, I think that's just a requirement. We're just going to have to do that. Regardless of whether the developer does it or we do it, I think that's something that the DIA should look at.

That's all I have to say.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Gillam.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: First, I'll disclose
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ex-parte communication. I've not had any -not myself, but I did receive an e-mail from Mr. Congro, which I read, I did not respond to. I think we should not -- I think we're under a cone of silence not to do that.

I received a letter from Mr. Byers (phonetic) from Petratech, and also, I think, a letter -- an e-mail and a letter from him. I didn't respond to him either.

And I received an e-mail from Mr. Stanly from Blackwater, and I did not respond to him.

I had a question for -- maybe comments and echos -- or actually, it follows Mr. Froats. From a timing standpoint, do these proposals say that the units will be built and sold in kind of a developmental phase/project or are they all going to be built at the same time?

MS. BOYER: With respect to the Johnson Commons and the Vestcor proposals, the proposals are to construct all of the residential units in one phase, not to construct them in sequential phases.

The Johnson Commons does reserve some land for a future phase of retail, but is not included. The restaurant space is included in
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Phase I and committed to as part of Phase I.
All three of them have various time lines for construction associated within the time frame -- within a certain number of days after closing, they would commence construction, a certain number of days to get underway. And I can tell you that when we would enter into any kind of a deed or other documentation, similar to what we always do, there will be some kind of a -- if we are transferring this land for less than fair market value, which is the 3.5 million, we will be including a reverter if they don't start construction. So the expectation is people have to start construction and move forward.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So I just want to understand. You said the 88 units that Vestcor proposed to build and the 107 units that Johnson Commons proposes to build will be built at the same time? Meaning --

MS. BOYER: Single phase, as the proposals indicate.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So are the time frames proposed by Vestcor and Johnson Commons similar?

MS. BOYER: I would say they're similar. I won't say they're identical because one of them references within so many days of closing and another one talks about penalties after. And the question starts to become, well, when do we close on the property and how quickly do we move from the point that the board approves something until we get to a closing, and the amount of time it takes someone to get their financing, things like that.

So I didn't feel like I could make an apples-to-apples comparison, the answers on that. I do think there is a commitment to deliberately do so within a reasonable period of time. So I think they're both pretty short. I just can't tell you that one is six months and one is seven months because it's not that clear.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So when I ask the next hard question -- can you give us an estimate of the timing based on what your understanding is proposed for the (inaudible)?

MS. BOYER: I'll do that, but do you want to go on to another question? Because I'll -BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Sure. I'll make
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some comments while you're doing that.
MS. BOYER: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So, you know, the benefit of being last is most of the things I wanted to say have been said.

I'm personally excited, from a board perspective and a citizen of Jacksonville, from the standpoint that we have this kind of competition, finally, for a project that's downtown because we haven't had that before, and I think -- and that's wonderful.

And, you know, in favor of Johnson Commons, you know, for a moment I would say
that -- you know, I think we need to work to get more people participating, and so as a new participant, I mean, I think, you know, that is interesting to me.

I also will say from a street appeal, I like the Blackwater project. I mean, I'd say that both -- Johnson and Vestcor, I really like the look, and I think -- I mean, you know, so many of the things we've heard about what this project should be, needs to be, a lot of that goes to how it's going to look and how it's going to sell to the community, the broader
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community. And so I would say that to anybody who ends up being the successful applicant.

I do have a concern about getting this project done. You know, who knows what's going to happen in the financial -- in the future in this country, in the city of Jacksonville. (Inaudible), and that is really important to me. I want to see this project done by someone soon, and so that's why I have the question that I had.

MS. BOYER: Okay. So I can go back to -I can tell you that Blackwater committed to complete within 30 to 36 months.

Johnson Commons says that closing will occur within 30 days after acceptance, closing would occur 10 days after satisfaction of all conditions, but in no event later than December 31st, 2019. J.C. will break ground on the project no later than 9 months following execution of the agreement, but it doesn't give me a completion date. But breaking ground no later than 9 months after execution. And I'm about to get you the same exact term for Vestcor.

Vestcor will break ground no later than
Diane M. Tropia, Inc., Post Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FL 32203 (904) 821-0300
four months after DDRB final approval. So this is where I was getting into -- it gets a little -- because, depending on how far along you are in your architecture and when you go to DDRB, that might change, I'll assume that is.

So going back, Vestcor will break ground no later than four months after DDRB final approval or subject to a $\$ 500$ a day penalty to the City. They'll place 50,000 in escrow to be held for the purpose of discharging any fines applied for failure to meet the good-faith deadline. It does not have a -- that I'm seeing in this paragraph -- closing.

Execute definitive agreement by September 30th, 2019. Closing will occur within 10 days after satisfaction of all conditions to closing in the agreement, but in no event later than December 31st, 2019.

So the closing dates on Johnson Commons and Vestcor are the same. The start of construction dates are a little different; one is within four months after DDRB approval, and the other one is nine months following execution of the agreement.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Thank you.
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## THE CHAIRMAN: Anything further? BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have a blank resolution. What is the pleasure of the board?

BOARD MEMBER FROATS: I'll make a motion.
I make a motion to accept the Vestcor proposal.

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Properly moved and seconded that we accept the Vestcor proposal.

All those in favor signify by saying aye.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Discussion on that or not? Discussion on the motion?

THE CHAIRMAN: We can. Please.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: We may be retreading ground, but --

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion -- the prior motion was just to discuss, as I recall.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So with regard to
Vestcor, I -- you know, I want to reiterate some things I've said in prior meetings.
They've been a wonderful partner for
Jacksonville. When they told us they'd do
something, they did it. And the result is --
we see it all around downtown, particularly in
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LaVilla. You know, they've been a great partner and they have met their obligations, and I think they certainly could do that again. And for those reasons, it's easy to support them (inaudible) as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

UNIDENTIFIED BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to have to ask
for hands, please.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: (Indicating.)
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: (Indicating.)
BOARD MEMBER GREY: (Indicating.)
BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: (Indicating.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gillam votes aye,
Mr. Froats votes aye, Mr. Grey votes aye,
Mr. Padgett votes aye.
All those in opposition by like sign.
BOARD MEMBER MOODY: (Indicating.)
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: (Indicating.)
THE CHAIRMAN: I think the motion carries five to two.

Thank you.
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82 comments.
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The next item is Resolution 2019-08-04, what is the pleasure of the board?

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Move to approve the revised budget.

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Properly moved and seconded.

Discussion? Would you please start, Mr. Gillam.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: We spent time on this early this week in Finance. We had a great deal of work by our new chief executive officer that y'all should be appreciative of. It would be a plus, in favor of the right decision, selecting a very qualified, very hard-working new executive director. So I can tell you, we're in much better shape today because of her hard work and the staff.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Froats.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: No comments.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barakat.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: No comments.
BOARD MEMBER MOODY: No comments.
BOARD MEMBER GREY: No comments.
BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: No comments.
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THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
All those in favor of the motion,
2019-08-04, signify by saying aye.
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposition?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: It passes unanimously.
Thank you.
Resolution 2019-08-05 is our next item on the agenda. And what is the pleasure of the board?

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Move to approve the revised budget of the Southside.

BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Motion properly moved and seconded.

Yes.
MS. BOYER: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask the board to delete Section 3 from the Resolution and Exhibit 2. We will bring those back at the August 21st meeting. I have just received some information from the council auditors that may make a change in that, and so I would ask that you delete those two. We would be revising the '18/'19 budget, which is
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current year revenue, which is Exhibit 1, and reflected in Section 2 of the resolution, but we would not be taking action of Section 3 of the resolution until two weeks from now. They may have some other movements that they're suggesting. So take that into consideration.

THE CHAIRMAN: Does that change the motion?

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: It does.
Through the Chair to the chief executive, do you want to table the whole resolution?

MS. BOYER: I do not. I mean, we could since we're going to have the meeting on the 21st, if you'd like to, we could do that, or we can do the part of it that relates to this year's budget to make sure that we are properly allocating everything for -- frankly, I would prefer that we go ahead and do it for this because we've had some expenditures that were in excess of budget, some reference that came in that we owed more money than we had budgetary authority for and we're backfilling this with that amendment, so it would be good if we do that anyway and then we'll come up with a prospective (inaudible) for the next
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86
meeting.
BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So am I out of order to amend my motion -- my own motion?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, because it belongs to the body.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: So I'd amend my proposed motion to approve Resolution 2019-08-05 with the exception that we're only addressing the 2018/'19 budget, with Exhibit 1 and 2 will be --

MS. BOYER: So we're deleting Section 3 of the resolution and Exhibit 2.

BOARD MEMBER GILLAM: Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We have an amendment to the motion.

Any further discussion on the amendment to
the motion?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, all those signify by saying aye to the amendment.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposition by like sign.

BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
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```
    THE CHAIRMAN: Unanimous
    And now the motion. Any further
discussion on the motion?
    BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
    THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, all those in
favor signify by saying aye.
    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
    THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposition by like
sign.
    BOARD MEMBERS: (No response.)
    THE CHAIRMAN: Passes unanimous. Thank
you.
    Next item on the agenda is Resolution
2019-08-06. What's the pleasure of the body?
    BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: I'd like to make a
motion to approve Resolution 2019-08-06.
    BOARD MEMBER MOODY: Second.
    THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?
    Mr. Padgett, please begin discussion.
    BOARD MEMBER PADGETT: I have no comments.
    THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
    Mr. Grey.
    BOARD MEMBER GREY: No comment.
    THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Moody.
    BOARD MEMBER MOODY: No questions or
```
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    comments.
    THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Barakat.
    BOARD MEMBER BARAKAT: Just real quickly.
    As the chair of the committee, we -- due
    to demand from users, Mr. Parola and prior
staff and through experience, we decided to
increase the boundaries of the Retail
Enhancement Program one block to the north to
Ashley Street and several blocks to the west
into LaVilla to help support some of the older
structures in LaVilla, and given the recent
residential development and hopefully new
demand for retail services that we expect to
see in LaVilla in the years to come.

We also never codified as a board the actual boundaries for the Southside -- or the Southbank Retail Enhancement Program, which is the same boundaries of the Southbank TIF, which we've been operating under but never codified prior to this meeting. So that's what this resolution does.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Froats.
BOARD MEMBER FROATS: No comments.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gillam.
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